

energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165, as follows:

#### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.

2. Add a new § 165.765 to read as follows:

#### § 165.765 Regulated Navigation Area; Port Everglades Harbor, Fort Lauderdale, Florida.

(a) *Location.* The following area in the vicinity of Port Everglades Harbor is a regulated navigation area: all waters of the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway and Port Everglades Harbor, from shore to shore, south of the 17th Street Bridge (at a line connecting 26° 06.04' N, 080° 07.17' W and 26° 06.04' N, 080° 07.05' W), north of the intersection of the Dania Cut Off Canal and the Intracoastal Waterway (latitude 26° 04.72' N) and west of a north-south line connecting red day board #6 and green day board #7 at the entrance to Port Everglades Harbor (longitude 080° 06.30' W).

(b) *Regulations.* Vessels entering and transiting through the regulated navigation area shall proceed at a slow speed. Nothing in this rule alleviates vessels or operators from complying with all state and local laws in the area, including manatee slow speed zones.

(c) *Definition.* As used in this section, *slow speed* means the speed at which a vessel proceeds when it is fully off plane, completely settled in the water and not creating excessive wake. Due to the different speeds at which vessels of different sizes and configurations may travel while in compliance with this definition, no specific speed is assigned to slow speed. A vessel is not proceeding at slow speed if it is:

- (1) On a plane;
- (2) In the process of coming up on or coming off of plane; or
- (3) Creating an excessive wake.

Dated: 27 May 2003.

**James S. Carmichael,**

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Seventh Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 03–14306 Filed 6–5–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

##### 40 CFR Chapter I

[FRL–7509–6]

#### Advisory Committee for Regulatory Negotiation Concerning All Appropriate Inquiry; Meeting

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency, as required by the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), is announcing the date and location of an upcoming meeting of the Negotiated Rulemaking Committee On All Appropriate Inquiry.

**DATES:** A meeting of the Federal Advisory Committee on Regulatory Negotiation for All Appropriate Inquiry is scheduled for July 8 and July 9, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will take place at the Hotel Washington, 15th and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20004. The meeting is scheduled to begin at 8:30 a.m. and end at 4:30 p.m. on both days. Dates and locations of subsequent meetings will be announced in later notices.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Persons needing further information should contact Patricia Overmeyer of EPA's Office of Brownfields Cleanup and Redevelopment, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Mailcode 5105T, Washington, DC 20460, (202) 566–2774, or [overmeyer.patricia@epa.gov](mailto:overmeyer.patricia@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under the Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act, EPA is required to develop standards and practices for carrying out all appropriate inquiry. The Federal Advisory Committee meeting is for the purpose of negotiating the contents of a proposed regulation setting federal standards and practices for conducting all appropriate inquiry. At its meeting on July 8 and 9, the Committee will continue substantive deliberations on the proposed rulemaking including discussion of the criteria established by Congress in the Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act amendments to CERCLA (101)(35)(B)(iii). These criteria include:

“(I) The results of an inquiry by an environmental professional.

(II) Interviews with past and present owners, operators, and occupants of the facility for the purpose of gathering information regarding the potential for contamination at the facility.

(III) Reviews of historical sources, such as chain of title documents, aerial photographs, building department records, and land use records, to determine previous uses and occupancies of the real property since the property was first developed.

(IV) Searches for recorded environmental cleanup liens against the facility that are filed under Federal, State, or local law.

(V) Reviews of Federal, State, and local government records, waste disposal records, underground storage tank records, and hazardous waste handling, generation, treatment, disposal, and spill records, concerning contamination at or near the facility.”

All meetings of the Negotiated Rulemaking Committee are open to the public. There is no requirement for advance registration for members of the public who wish to attend or make comments at the meeting. Opportunity for the general public to address the Committee will be provided starting at 2:30 p.m. on both July 8 and July 9, 2003.

Dated: June 2, 2003.

**Thomas P. Dunne,**

*Associate Assistant Administrator, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response.*

[FR Doc. 03–14322 Filed 6–5–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

##### 40 CFR Part 52

[NC97–200319a; FRL–7497–9]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; North Carolina: Approval of Revisions to the Visible Emissions Regulation Within the North Carolina State Implementation Plan

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is proposing to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources for the purpose of amending rule NCAC 2D .0521 Visible Emissions. In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the North Carolina SIP revision as a direct final rule without

prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no significant, material, and adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before July 7, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** All comments should be addressed to: Randy Terry at the EPA, Region 4 Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960.

Copies of the State submittal(s) are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours:

Environmental Protection Agency,  
Region 4, Air Planning Branch, 61  
Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia  
30303-8960. Randy Terry, 404/562-  
9032.

North Carolina Department of  
Environment and Natural Resources,  
512 North Salisbury Street, Raleigh,  
North Carolina 27604.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Randy B. Terry at 404/562-9032, or by  
electronic mail at [terry.randy@epa.gov](mailto:terry.randy@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For  
additional information see the direct  
final rule which is published in the  
Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: April 30, 2003.

**A. Stanley Meiburg,**  
*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.*  
[FR Doc. 03-12023 Filed 6-5-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 140-0396; FRL-7509-4]

#### Disapproval of State Implementation Plan Revisions, Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District, Butte County Air Quality Management District, Kern County Air Pollution Control District, Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, and Shasta County Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection  
Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to disapprove revisions to the Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District (AVAQMD), Butte County Air Quality Management District (BCAQMD), Kern County Air Pollution Control District (KCAPCD), Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (MDAQMD), and Shasta County Air Quality Management District (SHAQMD) portions of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) concerning excess emissions. We are proposing action on local rules that regulate these emissions under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). We are taking comments on this proposal and plan to follow with a final action.

**DATES:** Any comments must arrive by  
July 7, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail comments to Andrew  
Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR-  
4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental  
Protection Agency, Region IX, 75  
Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA  
94105 or e-mail to  
[steckel.andrew@epa.gov](mailto:steckel.andrew@epa.gov).

You can inspect copies of the  
submitted rule revisions and EPA's  
technical support documents (TSDs) at  
our Region IX office during normal  
business hours. You may also see copies

of the submitted rule revisions at the  
following locations:

California Air Resources Board,  
Stationary Source Division, Rule  
Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street,  
Sacramento, CA 95814.  
Antelope Valley AQMD, 43301 Division  
St., Ste. 206, Lancaster, CA 93535-  
4649.  
Butte County AQMD, 2525 Dominic  
Drive, Suite J, Chico, CA 95928-7184.  
Kern County APCD, 2700 "M" Street,  
Suite 302, Bakersfield, CA 93301-  
2370.  
Mojave Desert AQMD, 14306 Park  
Avenue, Victorville, CA 92392-2310.  
Shasta County AQMD, 1855 Placer  
Street, Ste. 101, Redding, CA 96001-  
1759.

Copies of the rules may also be  
available via the Internet at [http://  
www.arb.ca.gov/drdb/drdbltx.htm](http://www.arb.ca.gov/drdb/drdbltx.htm).  
Please be advised that this is not an EPA  
Web site and may not contain the same  
version of the rule that was submitted  
to EPA.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Thomas C. Canaday, EPA Region IX,  
(415) 947-4121.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**  
Throughout this document, "we," "us,"  
and "our" refer to EPA.

#### Table of Contents

- I. The State's Submittal
  - A. What rules did the State submit?
  - B. Are there other versions of these rules?
  - C. What is the purpose of the submitted rules?
- II. EPA's Evaluation and Action
  - A. How is EPA evaluating the rules?
  - B. Do the rules meet the evaluation criteria?
  - C. Proposed action and public comment
- III. Administrative Requirements

#### I. The State's Submittal

##### A. What Rules Did the State Submit?

Table 1 lists the rules proposed for  
disapproval with the date that they were  
adopted and submitted by the California  
Air Resources Board (CARB).

TABLE 1.—SUBMITTED RULES

Local agency	Rule No.	Rule title	Adopted	Submitted
AVAQMD .....	430	Breakdown Provisions .....	03/17/98	02/16/99
BCAQMD .....	275	Reporting Procedures for Excess Emissions .....	02/15/96	05/10/96
KCAPCD .....	111	Equipment Breakdown .....	05/02/96	07/23/96
MDAQMD .....	430	Breakdown Provisions .....	12/21/94	01/24/95
SHAQMD .....	3:10	Excess Emissions .....	12/05/95	05/10/96

On April 23, 1999, we determined  
that the AVAQMD Rule 430 submittal  
met the completeness criteria in 40 CFR  
part 51 Appendix V, which must be met

before formal EPA review. On July 19,  
1996, we determined that the BCAQMD  
Rule 275 submittal and the SHAQMD  
Rule 3:10 submittal met the

completeness criteria. On October 30,  
1996, we determined that the KCAPCD  
Rule 111 submittal met the  
completeness criteria and on February