Brooklyn, NY and Claudius Peters (Americas), Dallas, TX have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Portland Cement Association intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On January 7, 1985, Portland Cement Association filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on February 5, 1985 (50 FR 5015).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 31, 2003. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 3, 2003 (60 FR 10034).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 03–14600 Filed 6–9–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 30-day notice of information collection under review: extension of a currently approved collection; National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS).

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics has submitted the following information collection request for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval is being sought for the information collection listed below. The proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register**, Volume 68, Number 58, page 14698, on March 21, 2003, allowing 60 days for public comment.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until July 10, 2003. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to The Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk

Officer, Washington, DC 20530, or facsimile (202) 395–5806.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the accuracy, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, (including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses).

Overview of this information:

(1) Type of information collection: Extension of a Currently Approved Collection.

(2) The title of the form/collection: National Crime Victimization Survey.

(3) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the department sponsoring the collection: Form Number: NCVS-1, NCVS-1A, NCVS-2, NCVS-500, NCVS-7, NCVS-572(L), NCVS-573(L), NCVS-574(L), NCVS-541, NCVS-545, NCVS-1SP, and NCVS-2SP.

Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: Individuals or Households. Other: None. The National Crime Victimization Survey collects, analyzes, publishes, and disseminates statistics on the amount and type of crime committed against households and individuals in the United States. Respondents include persons age 12 or older living in about 45,650 interviewed households.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents is 110,100 and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply: It will take the average interviewed respondent an estimated 23 minutes to respond, the average non-interviewed respondent an estimated 7 minutes to respond, the estimated average follow-up interview is 12 minutes, and the estimated average follow-up for a non-interview is 1 minute.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection is 74,010 hours annual burden.

If additional information is required contact: Brenda E. Dyer, Department Deputy Clearance Officer, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, United States Department of Justice, 601 D Street NW., Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: June 4, 2003.

Brenda E. Dyer,

Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 03–14533 Filed 6–9–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–18–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

June 2, 2003.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor. To obtain documentation, contact Darrin King on 202–693–4129 (this is not a toll-free number) or e-mail: king. darrin@dol.gov.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Employee Benefits Security Agency, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 (202–395–7316/this is not a toll-free number), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

* Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility:

* Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

* Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Āgency: Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA).

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Title: Prohibited Transaction Class Exemption 97–41; Collective Investment Funds Conversion Transactions.

OMB Number: 1210-0104.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit; Not-for-profit institutions; and Individuals or households.

Frequency: On occasion.

Type of Response: Recordkeeping and Third party disclosure.

Number of Respondents: 75. Number of Annual Responses: 75. Estimated Time Per Response: 35 nours.

Total Burden Hours: 2,625. Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs: \$0.

Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$186,750.

Description: Prohibited Transaction Class Exemption 97-41 provides an exemption from the prohibited transaction provisions of the **Employment Retirement Income** Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) and from certain taxes imposed by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (Code). The exemption permits an employee benefit plan to purchase shares of one or more open-end investment companies (Mutual Funds) registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 in exchange for plan assets transferred inkind to the Mutual Fund from a collective investment fund (CIF) maintained by a bank or plan adviser, where the bank or plan adviser is both the investment adviser to the Mutual Fund and a fiduciary of the plan. The transfer and purchase must be in connection with a complete withdrawal of a plan's assets from the CIF. The exemption affects participants and beneficiaries of the plans that are involved in such transactions as well as the bank or plan adviser and the Mutual

In order to ensure that the exemption is not abused and that rights of participants and beneficiaries are protected, the Department requires the bank to give the independent fiduciary notice of the in-kind transfer and full written disclosure of information concerning the registered investment company. Further, the bank or plan

adviser must provide the independent fiduciary with certain ongoing disclosures.

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 03–14528 Filed 6–9–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–29–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employee Benefits Security Administration

[Prohibited Transaction Exemption 2003– 11; Exemption Application No. D-10840 et al.]

Grant of Individual Exemptions; Deutsche Bank AG

AGENCY: Employee Benefits Security Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Grant of individual exemptions.

SUMMARY: This document contains exemptions issued by the Department of Labor (the Department) from certain of the prohibited transaction restrictions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (the Act) and/or the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the Code).

A notice was published in the **Federal** Register of the pendency before the Department of a proposal to grant such exemption. The notice set forth a summary of facts and representations contained in the application for exemption and referred interested persons to the application for a complete statement of the facts and representations. The application has been available for public inspection at the Department in Washington, DC. The notice also invited interested persons to submit comments on the requested exemption to the Department. In addition the notice stated that any interested person might submit a written request that a public hearing be held (where appropriate). The applicant has represented that it has complied with the requirements of the notification to interested persons. No requests for a hearing were received by the Department. Public comments were received by the Department as described in the granted exemption.

The notice of proposed exemption was issued and the exemption is being granted solely by the Department because, effective December 31, 1978, section 102 of Reorganization Plan No. 4 of 1978, 5 U.S.C. App. 1 (1996), transferred the authority of the Secretary of the Treasury to issue exemptions of the type proposed to the Secretary of Labor.

Statutory Findings

In accordance with section 408(a) of the Act and/or section 4975(c)(2) of the Code and the procedures set forth in 29 CFR part 2570, subpart B (55 FR 32836, 32847, August 10, 1990) and based upon the entire record, the Department makes the following findings:

- (a) The exemption is administratively feasible;
- (b) The exemption is in the interests of the plan and its participants and beneficiaries; and
- (c) The exemption is protective of the rights of the participants and beneficiaries of the plan.

Deutsche Bank AG, located in New York, New York.

[Prohibited Transaction Exemption 2003–11; Exemption Application Number D–10840.]

Exemption

Section I—Retroactive Relief

For the period from June 4, 1999, until June 10, 2003, the restrictions of section 406(a) and (b)(1) and (b)(2) of the Act and the sanctions resulting from the application of section 4975 of the Code, by reason of section 4975(c)(1)(A) through (E) of the Code, shall not apply to the investment of the assets of a Bank Plan or a Client Plan (either, a Plan) in deposits of Deutsche Bank AG, its current or future branches, and/or its current or future subsidiaries, if—

- (a) Deutsche Bank AG is supervised by the Deutsche Bundesbank and/or the Bundesanstalt fur Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht (the BAFin),¹ and, in the case of a subsidiary
- by similar local government authorities; (b) The deposit bears a rate of interest that is reasonable, as defined in section III(f);

of Deutsche Bank AG, is also supervised

- (c) The investment is:
- (i) Made by a Bank Plan; or
- (ii) Made by a Client Plan and expressly authorized pursuant to a provision of such Plan (or trust thereof) or expressly authorized by an independent fiduciary,² as defined in

¹For purposes of this exemption, supervision of Deutsche Bank AG by the BAFin is deemed to include supervision of Deutsche Bank AG by the Federal Banking Supervisory Authority (das Bundesaufsichtsamt fuer das Kreditwesen), the predecessor to the BAFin.

²The Department notes that the Act's general standards of fiduciary conduct apply to arrangements involving the investment of Plan assets permitted by this exemption. In this regard, section 404 of the Act requires, among other things, a fiduciary to discharge his duties respecting a plan solely in the interest of the plan's participants and beneficiaries and in a prudent manner. Accordingly, an independent fiduciary with respect to a Plan must act prudently with respect to: (1) The decision to enter into an arrangement described