

of the Act and Securities Exchange Act Rule 19b-4(f)(4) because the proposed rule change effects a change in an existing service that does not adversely affect the safeguarding of securities or funds in DTC's custody or control and does not significantly affect the respective rights or obligations of DTC or the persons using the service. At any time within sixty days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street NW., Washington, DC 20549-0069. Comments may also be submitted electronically at the following e-mail address: rule-comments@sec.gov. All comment letters should refer to File No. SR-DTC-2002-16. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help us process and review comments more efficiently, comments should be sent in hardcopy or by e-mail but not by both methods. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the rule filing that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the rule filing between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at DTC's principal office. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-DTC-2002-16 and should be submitted within July 7, 2003.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁵

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-15086 Filed 6-13-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-48007; File No. SR-DTC-2003-07]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Depository Trust Company; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change To Establish a Transaction Look-Ahead Process

June 10, 2003.

I. Introduction

On April 9, 2003, The Depository Trust Company ("DTC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") proposed rule change SR-DTC-2003-07 pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act").¹ Notice of the proposal was published in the **Federal Register** on April 28, 2003.² For the reasons discussed below, the Commission is approving the proposed rule change.

II. Description

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to establish a transaction look-ahead process ("Look-Ahead") which will reduce transaction blockage by applying the net amount of offsetting receive and deliver transactions in the same security rather than the gross amount of the receive transaction to a participant's net debit cap.³

DTC's system controls prevent the processing of a transaction (*i.e.*, cause the transaction to recycle) when the deliverer has insufficient position or insufficient collateral, the receiver has insufficient collateral, or the processing of the transaction would cause the receiver's net debit cap to be breached. For purposes of these controls, each transaction is assessed individually without regard to offsetting transactions that might resolve any system control issue presented by the initial transaction itself.

In principle, a long series of back-to-back transactions could be blocked as a result of the first transaction failing. For example, if a transaction fails because of insufficient position, insufficient collateral, or breaching of the net debit cap, then a second transaction could fail because it is dependent on the first delivery to establish the necessary securities position, then a third could fail, and so on. This does in fact occur

quite often in the money market instrument ("MMI") market because of the large values involved when issuing/paying agents sell new commercial paper to broker-dealers who then make deliveries to custodians, who in turn have maturities of commercial paper awaiting acceptance by the issuing/paying agents.

DTC plans to introduce Look-Ahead in June. Look-Ahead will reduce transaction blockage by applying the net amount of offsetting receive and deliver transactions in the same security rather than the gross amount of the receive transaction to a participant's net debit cap. Look-Ahead will identify receive transactions pending due to a net debit cap insufficiency and will link them to offsetting delivery transactions in the same security pending for a quantity deficiency. DTC will calculate the net effect of the offsetting transactions on the three participants involved, and if the net of the transactions results in positive risk management controls in all three accounts, the transactions will be completed. Initially, this capability will be available only for muni and corporate bonds, including MMIs where it is expected to have the widest application.

As a result of Look-Ahead, the number of recycling transactions should be reduced which could also reduce the need for intraday funding by participants. Participants will not be required to make systemic changes and can continue to process their deliveries as they do today.

III. Discussion

Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act requires that the rules of a clearing agency be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions.⁴ The Commission finds that DTC's proposed rule change is consistent with this requirement because by applying the net amount of offsetting receive and deliver transactions in the same security rather than the gross amount of the receive transaction to a participant's net debit cap, the proposed rule change should reduce the number of blocked transactions at DTC which will promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions.

IV. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and in particular section 17A of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² Securities Exchange Act Release No. 47709 (April 21, 2003), 68 FR 22432.

³ The net debit cap, based upon the activity of the participant, is the maximum amount a participant may owe for transactions. Currently, the maximum allowable net debit cap is \$1.8 billion per participant.

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

⁵ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act, that the proposed rule change (File No. SR-DTC-2003-07) be and hereby is approved.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁵

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary

[FR Doc. 03-15112 Filed 6-13-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-48006; File No. SR-FICC-2003-04]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of Proposed Rule Change by the Fixed Income Clearing Corporation Relating to Intraday Clearing for the GCF Repo Service

June 10, 2003.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹, notice is hereby given that on March 31, 2003, the Fixed Income Clearing Corporation ("FICC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which items have been prepared primarily by FICC.² The Commission is publishing this notice and order to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested parties and to grant accelerated approval of the proposed rule change.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change would allow FICC to shift its GCF Repo service from an interbank service to an intrabank one.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, FICC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified

in Item IV below. FICC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.³

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

Since its introduction in 1998, the GCF Repo service of the Government Securities Division of FICC has grown in participation, volume, and importance to become a significant financing vehicle alternative to delivery-versus-payment and tri-party repos. However, attendant to its success have been certain payments system risk issues that arise from the interbank funds settlements related to the service.

In order to allow for sufficient time to identify, study, and implement satisfactory solutions to these issues, FICC is shifting the GCF Repo service from an interbank service to an intrabank one. This means that only those GCF Repo participants that clear within the same clearing bank will be permitted to trade GCF Repos with one another. FICC intends to return the GCF Repo service to interbank status as soon as it is able to resolve the attendant payments system risk issues.⁴

Members of FICC's Government Securities Division have been notified of this change via Important Notice and have been given sufficient time to switch to intrabank service.

The proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of Section 17A of the Act⁵ and the rules and regulations thereunder because it because it will allow FICC time to identify and implement a solution to the payment system risk issues that arise from settling GCF Repo transactions in an interbank environment.

(B) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

FICC does not believe that the proposed rule change will have any impact or impose any burden on competition.

(C) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

Written comments relating to the proposed rule change have not yet been solicited or received. FICC will notify the Commission of any written comment received by FICC.

III. Date of Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Commission finds that the proposal, which allows FICC to shift to intrabank clearing for its GCF Repo service, is consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) because it should help perfect the mechanism of a national system for the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions. Allowing FICC to move to intrabank clearing for GCF Repo transactions will permit FICC to continue to offer the GCF Repo service to its participants so they will continue to have uninterrupted access to liquidity pools within their clearing banks while providing FICC time to devise a solution to reduce the risks arising from the interbank funds settlement aspect of the service when offered as an interbank service.

FICC has requested that its proposed rule change be approved prior to the thirtieth day of the date of publication of notice of the filing. The Commission finds good cause for approving the proposed rule change prior to the thirtieth day after the date of publication of notice of the filing because such approval will allow FICC to immediately stop the risk associated with interbank funds settlements while still offering the GCF Repo service to its members on an intrabank basis.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609. Comments may also be submitted electronically at the following e-mail address: rule-comments@sec.gov. All comment letters should refer to File No. SR-FICC-2003-04. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help us process and review comments more efficiently, comments should be sent in hardcopy or by e-mail but not by both methods. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the

⁵ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² A copy of FICC's proposed rule change is available at the Commission's Public Reference Section or through FICC.

³ The Commission has modified the text of the summaries prepared by FICC.

⁴ FICC will file a proposed rule change to return to interbank clearing for its GCF.

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1.