

*ERP No. D-COE-E39060-GA* Rating LO, Lake Sidney Lanier Project to Continue the Ongoing Operation and Maintenance Activities Necessary for Flood Control, Hydropower Generation, Water Supply, Recreation, Natural Resources Management and Shoreline Management, US Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Dawson, Forsyth, Lumpkin, Hill and Gwinnett Counties, GA.

*Summary:* EPA has no significant objections to the various management/operational changes being proposed.

*ERP No. D-COE-G32056-LA* Rating LO, Bayou Sorrel Lock Replacement (formerly IWW Locks) Feasibility Study to Relieve Navigation Delays and/or Provide Adequate Flood Protection, Atchafalaya Basin Floodway, Iberville Parish, LA.

*Summary:* EPA expressed a lack of objections to the preferred alternative.

*ERP No. D-FHW-H40176-00* Rating LO, US-81 Highway, Yankton Bridge Replacement, Missouri River Crossing between the City of Yankton, Yankton County, South Dakota and Cedar County, Nebraska, Funding and Permit Issuance, SD and NB.

*Summary:* EPA expressed a lack of objections to the project as proposed but offered clarification on disposal requirements for lead-based coatings if removed during the demolition phase.

*ERP No. D-FTA-K54028-CA* Rating LO, Transbay Terminal/Caltrain Development Downtown Extension/Redevelopment Project, New Multi-Modal Terminal Construction, Peninsula Corridor Service Extension and Establishment of a Redevelopment Plan, Funding, San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Clara Counties, CA.

*Summary:* EPA found that the document adequately discussed the environmental impacts of the proposed project.

*ERP No. DS-FHW-L50009-WA* Rating LO, Elliott Bridge No. 3166 Replacement, Updated and Reevaluated Information, Proposal to Replace the 149th Avenue SE Crossing the Cedar River, Funding, U.S. CGD Bridge Permit and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, City of Renton, King County, WA.

*Summary:* EPA has no objections to the project as proposed but recommends that the Final SEIS contain a Purpose and Need statement and improve discussion on how the proposed alternative will address old footing foundations.

#### Final EISs

*ERP No. F-AFS-H65012-MO*

Rams Horn Project to Accomplish the Direction and Desired Conditions

Identified in the Mark Twain National Forest, Land and Resource Management Plan, Houston/Rolla/Creek Ranger District, Phelps and Pulaski Counties, MO.

*Summary:* EPA has a lack of objections to the proposed project. Issues identified by EPA in the Draft EIS have been adequately addressed.

*ERP No. F-COE-C35014-NJ*

Meadowlands Mills Project, Construction of a Mixed-Use Commercial Development, Permit Application Number 95-07-440-RS, U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permit Issuance, Boroughs of Carlstadt and Monnachie, Township of South Hackensack, Bergen County, NJ.

*Summary:* EPA continued to raise environmental objections to the project and the alternatives, citing that there were offsite alternatives available that needed to be examined.

*ERP No. F-COE-E35021-FL*

Miami River Dredged Material Management Plan, River Sediments Dredging and Disposal Maintenance Dredging, Biscayne Bay, City of Miami, Miami-Dade County, FL.

*Summary:* EPA continues to have environmental concerns about the project's potential impacts.

*ERP No. F-COE-G35020-TX*

Texas City's Proposed Shoal Point Container Terminal Project, Containerized Cargo Gateway Development, U.S. Army COE Section 404 and 10 Permits Issuance, Material Placement Area (DMPA), City of Texas, Galveston County, TX.

*Summary:* EPA has no objections to the selection of the preferred alternative.

*ERP No. F-FRC-L05226-ID*

C.J. Strike Hydroelectric Project (FERC NO. 2055), New License Issuance, Snake and Bruneau Rivers, Owyhee and Elmore Counties, ID.

*Summary:* EPA continues to have environmental objections with the No Action Alternative, the Idaho Power Proposal and the Idaho Power Proposal with Modifications Alternative as they would not result in appreciable improvements to instream and riparian conditions. EPA believes that the Run-of-River Alternative provides the only strategy for improving aquatic and riparian conditions. EPA also raised concerns with the lack of an identified agency-preferred alternative in the EIS.

*ERP No. FS-AFS-F05123-00*

Bond Falls Hydroelectric Project related to Terms and Conditions for Geology and Soils, Water Quality and

Quantity, Fisheries, Terrestrial, Recreation, Aesthetic, Cultural, Socioeconomic and Land Use Resources, Ontonagon River Basin, Valas County, WI and Ontonagon and Gogebic Counties, MI.

*Summary:* EPA believes the specified terms and conditions will adequately protect the natural resources in the project area for this relicensing project on the Ottawa National Forest.

Dated: December 30, 2002.

**Joseph C. Montgomery,**

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 03-109 Filed 1-2-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7435-4]

### The Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands; Full Program Adequacy Determination of State Municipal Solid Waste Landfill Permit Program

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of final determination of full program adequacy of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI) municipal solid waste landfill permit program.

**SUMMARY:** Section 4005 (c) (1) (B) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), as amended by the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984, 42 U.S.C. 6945 (1) (B), requires States to develop and implement permit programs to ensure that municipal solid waste landfills (MSWLFs), which may receive hazardous household waste or conditionally exempt small quantity generator waste, comply with the revised Federal MSWLF Criteria. Section 4005 (c) (1) (C) of RCRA requires the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to determine whether States have adequate permit programs for MSWLFs. Approval of State permit programs allows the State to tailor permits to include site-specific conditions. Only those owners/operators located in States with approved permit programs can use the site-specific flexibilities provided by 40 CFR part 258 to the extent the State permit program allows such flexibility. EPA notes that, regardless of the approval status of any facility, the federal landfill criteria shall apply to all permitted and unpermitted MSWLF facilities.

The CNMI is defined as a "State" in 40 CFR 258.2. The CNMI has applied for a determination of adequacy under section 4005 (c) (1) (C) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6945 (c) (1) (C). EPA Region IX has reviewed the CNMI's MSWLF permit program application and has made a final determination that all portions of the CNMI's permit program application are adequate to ensure compliance with the revised MSWLF criteria.

On February 27, 2002, EPA published in the **Federal Register** its tentative determination that the CNMI MSWLF permit program would ensure compliance with the revised Federal Criteria. In the notice of tentative determination, EPA announced that the CNMI application would be available for public review during EPA's public comment period. Although not required by RCRA, EPA offered to hold a public hearing if there was sufficient public interest. EPA determined that there was not sufficient public interest to hold a public meeting, and the public comment period ended on April 29, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Kelly Doordan, Office of Pollution Prevention and Solid Waste, mail code WST-7, EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105, telephone 415-972-3383, or via the Internet: [doordan.kelly@epa.gov](mailto:doordan.kelly@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**A. Background**

On October 9, 1991, EPA promulgated revised Criteria for MSWLFs (40 CFR part 258). Subtitle D of RCRA, as amended by the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 (HSWA), requires States to develop permitting programs to ensure that MSWLFs comply with the Federal Criteria under 40 CFR part 258. Subtitle D also requires in section 4005 (c) (1) (C), 42 U.S.C. 6945 (c) (1) (C), that EPA determine the adequacy of state municipal solid waste landfill permit programs to ensure that facilities comply with the revised Federal Criteria. To fulfill this requirement, the EPA has promulgated the Final State Implementation Rule (SIR), which can be found at 40 CFR part 239. The rule specifies the requirements which State programs must satisfy to be determined adequate.

EPA interprets the requirement for states to develop "adequate" programs for permits or other forms of prior approval and conditions to impose several minimum requirements. First, each State must have enforceable standards for new and existing MSWLFs that are technically comparable to EPA's revised MSWLF criteria. Next, the State

must have the authority to issue a permit or other notice of prior approval and conditions to all new and existing MSWLFs in its jurisdiction. The State also must provide for public participation in permit issuance and enforcement, as required in section 7004 (b) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6974 (b). Finally, the State must show that it has sufficient compliance monitoring and enforcement authorities to take specific action against any owner or operator that fails to comply with an approved MSWLF program.

EPA Regions will determine whether a State has submitted an "adequate" program based on the requirements of the SIR. EPA expects States to meet all of these requirements for all elements of a MSWLF program before it gives full approval to a MSWLF program.

**B. CNMI**

On September 19, 2001, EPA Region IX received the CNMI's MSWLF Permit Program application for adequacy determination. Region IX reviewed the application, submitted comments to the CNMI, and requested supplementary information about the state program implementation. The CNMI addressed EPA's comments, provided the requested additional information, and submitted a revised narrative portion of the final application for adequacy determination on January 4, 2002. EPA reviewed the CNMI's final application and on February 27, 2002, published in the **Federal Register** its tentative determination that the CNMI MSWLF permit program met the requirements necessary to qualify for full program approval and ensure compliance with the revised Federal Criteria.

In the notice of tentative determination, EPA announced the availability of the application for public comments. Although not required by RCRA, EPA offered to hold a public hearing if there was sufficient public interest. The public comment period ended on April 29, 2002, and EPA determined that there was not sufficient public interest to hold a public meeting.

The CNMI has three municipal solid waste dumps that are currently out of compliance with the federal criteria for MSWLFs: the Puerto Rico Dump (PRD) on Saipan, one dump on Tinian, and one dump on Rota. The CNMI has developed a schedule for closure of the PRD and construction of a new MSWLF on Saipan. The federal regulations do not allow location of a landfill in a seismic zone without an approved State program. As the entire island of Saipan is considered a seismic zone, the CNMI intends to utilize the flexibility provisions afforded to approved states

under particular circumstances to construct a new MSWLF in a seismic impact zone and to use an alternative landfill liner.

During the application review process, EPA expressed concern about the CNMI's staffing capacity and anticipated schedule for bringing the dumps on Tinian and Rota into compliance with federal criteria. On January 4, 2002, the CNMI sent EPA a supplement to the original application with additional information on CNMI commitments to maintaining adequate staffing levels to oversee the program and to developing integrated solid waste management and dump closure plans for Tinian and Rota. Today's document gives public notice of EPA's final determination of full program adequacy for the CNMI MSWLF permit program.

Section 4005 (a) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6945 (a), provides that citizens may use the citizen suit provisions of section 7002 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6972, to enforce the Federal Criteria in 40 CFR part 258 independent of any State enforcement program. As EPA explained in the preamble to the final MSWLF criteria, EPA expects that any owner or operator complying with provisions in a State program approved by EPA should be considered to be in compliance with the Federal Criteria. See 56 FR 50978, 50995 (October 9, 1991).

**Administrative Requirements**

*A. Compliance With Executive Order 12866*

Executive Order 12866 requires Office of Management and Budget review of "significant regulatory actions." Significant regulatory actions are defined as those that (1) have an annual effect on the economy \$100 Million or more or adversely affect a sector of the economy, including state, local or tribal governments or communities; (2) create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency; (3) materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights or obligations of recipients; or (4) raise novel legal or policy issues. This tentative decision is a not a "significant regulatory action" and is not subject to the requirements of Executive Order 12866.

*B. Certification Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act*

Pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 605 (b), I hereby certify that this approval will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. It does not

impose any new burdens on small entities. This notice, therefore, does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis.

#### C. Unfunded Mandates Act

Under Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates and Reform Act of 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a federal mandate that may result in estimated costs to state or local governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector, of \$100 million or more. The EPA has determined that the approval action being promulgated does not include a federal mandate that may result in costs of \$100 million or more to either state or local governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This federal action approves preexisting requirements under state law, and imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to state or local governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

#### D. Executive Order 12875

Executive Order 12875 is intended to develop an effective process to permit elected officials and other representatives of state or local governments to provide meaningful input in the development of regulatory proposals containing significant unfunded mandates. Since this final federal action approves preexisting requirements of state law, no new unfunded mandates result from this action. See also the discussion under C, above, Unfunded Mandates Act.

#### E. Executive Order 13045

Executive Order 13045, effective April 21, 1997, concerns protection of children from environmental health and safety risks, and applies to regulatory action that is "economically significant" in that such action may result in an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more. The EPA has determined that the approval action being promulgated will not have a significant effect on the economy. This federal action approves preexisting requirements under state law, and imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, Executive Order 13045 does not apply to this action.

#### G. Executive Order 12898

Executive Order 12898 requires agencies to consider impacts on the health and environmental conditions in minority and low-income communities with the goal of achieving environmental justice. This tentative determination is consistent with Executive Order 12898.

**Authority:** This notice is issued under the authority of section 4005 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6946.

Dated: December 23, 2002.

**Laura Yoshii,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 9.*

[FR Doc. 03-107 Filed 1-2-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-2002-0338; FRL-7284-1]

#### Pesticide Products; Registration Applications

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces receipt of applications to register pesticide products containing new active ingredients not included in any previously registered products pursuant to the provisions of section 3(c)(4) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended.

**DATES:** Written comments, identified by the docket ID number OPP-2002-0338, must be received on or before February 3, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. Follow the detailed instructions as provided in Unit I. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Waller, Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (703) 308-9354; e-mail address: waller.mary@epa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. General Information

##### A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. Potentially affected entities may include, but are not limited to:

- Crop production (NAICS 111)
- Animal production (NAICS 112)
- Food manufacturing (NAICS 311)
- Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS 32532)

This listing is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be affected by this action. Other types of entities not listed in this unit could also

be affected. The North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes have been provided to assist you and others in determining whether this action might apply to certain entities. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

##### B. How Can I Get Copies of this Document and Other Related Information?

1. *Docket.* EPA has established an official public docket for this action under docket identification (ID) number OPP-2002-0338. The official public docket consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received, and other information related to this action. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA. This docket facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The docket telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

2. *Electronic access.* You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the "**Federal Register**" listings at <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/>.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, EPA Dockets. You may use EPA Dockets at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket/> to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the appropriate docket ID number.

Certain types of information will not be placed in the EPA Dockets. Information claimed as CBI and other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute, which is not included in the official public docket, will not be available for public viewing in EPA's electronic public docket. EPA's policy is that copyrighted material will not be placed in EPA's electronic public docket but will be available only in printed, paper form in the official public docket. To the extent feasible, publicly available docket materials will be made