transport of contaminants from the spent ore and its drain down solutions, including metals uptake through the food chain, and more detailed information on the proposed closure process; existing water quality; impacts to air quality, including mercury emissions; and mitigation and monitoring measures.

#### Final EISs

ERP No. F–AFS–F65035–WI, Cayuga Project Area, Various Resource Management Projects, Implementation, Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest, Great Divide Ranger District, Ashland County, WI.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the FEIS since design features will avoid and reduce potential impacts, and the project is consistent with the Forest Management Plan.

ERP No. F-AFS-J65373-MT, Canyon Lake Dam and Wyant Lake Dam Project, Access to their Facilities with Prescribe Terms and Conditions, Authorization, Canyon Creek Irrigation District (CCID), Bitterroot National Forest, Selway Bitterroot Wilderness, Ravalli County, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential sedimentation and wetlands impacts that may occur during dam breaching and repair work, and noted the need for completed permits and authorizations prior to construction.

ERP No. F–FHW–E40787–AL, Memphis to Atlanta Corridor Study, Alabama State Line to I–65, Funding and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Colbert, Franklin, Lauderdale, Lawrence, Limestone and Morgan Counties, AL.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns regarding the preferred alternative, specially related to protect impacts associated with wetlands and aquatic resources, storm water, noise, relocations, and other natural habitat impacts. EPA recommends that commitments for wetland and aquatic resource mitigation be included in the ROD and mitigation for noise and relocation impacts should be considered further.

ERP No. F–FHW–E40793–00, Appalachian Corridor I–66 Highway Construction, US 23/119 south of Pikeville, KY eastward to the King Coal Highway southeast of Matewan, Funding and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Pike County, KY and Mingo County, WV.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns with the proposed project. Specifically, EPA recommends that avoidance and/or mitigation of wetlands and stream

impacts should be monitored and addressed as the project progresses. Furthermore, EPA recommends that impacts to ecosystems from the conversion of woodlands should be monitored and addressed as necessary.

ERP No. F-NPS-E65061-FL, Biscayne National Park General Management Plan Amendment, Evaluation of the Effects of Several Alternatives for a Long-Term Management Plan, Stillsville, Biscayne National Park, Homestead, Miami-Dade County, FL.

Summary: EPA fully supports National Park Service's two leasing alternatives of the Stillsville structures with appropriate waste water and trash management.

ERP No. F-NPS-J61022-MT, Glacier National Park—Going-to-Sun Road Rehabilitation Plan to Protect and Preserve a National Historic Landmark, Waterton-Glacier International Peace Park, The World's First International Peace Park, A World Heritage Site, MT.

Summary: EPA did not identify any potential environmental impacts requiring substantive changes to the proposal and expressed lack of objections.

ERP No. F–SFW–E91013–FL, Proposed Rulemaking for: The Incidental Take of Small Numbers of Florida Manatees (Trichechus manatus latirostris) Resulting from Government Programs Related to Watercraft Access and Watercraft Operation in the State of Florida, FL.

Summary: EPA supports the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Preferred Alternative that proposes legislation allowing unintentional taking of small numbers of Florida manatees in three regional populations.

ERP No. FA-FHW-J40030-UT, US-189, Wildwood to Heber Valley, between the junctions with UT-92 and US-40, Funding, Utah and Wasatch Counties, UT.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–FHW–B50013–RI, Sakonnet River Bridge Rehabilitation or Replacement Project, Portsmouth & Tiverton, Newport County, RI.

Summary: EPA has no significant objections to the preferred alternative.

Dated: June 17, 2003.

## Joseph C. Montgomery,

 $\label{lem:prop:condition} \textit{Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office} \\ \textit{of Federal Activities.}$ 

[FR Doc. 03–15672 Filed 6–19–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-2003-0199; FRL-7312-4]

Kansas State Plan for Certification of Applicators of Restricted Use Pesticides; Notice of Approval

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In the Federal Register of April 15, 2003 (68 FR 0073) (FRL-7299-2), EPA issued a notice of intent to approve an amended Kansas Plan for the certification of applicators of restricted use pesticides. In the notice EPA solicited comments from the public on the proposed action to approve the amended Kansas Plan. The amended Certification Plan Kansas submitted to EPA contained programmatic changes to its current Certification Plan. The proposed amendments establish new requirements for the recertification of pesticide applicators. No comments were received and EPA hereby approves the amended Kansas Plan.

ADDRESSES: The amended Kansas Certification Plan can be reviewed at the locations listed under Unit I.B. of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Tice, Water, Wetlands, and Pesticides Division, WWPD/PEST, 100 Centennial Mall N., Room 289, Lincoln, NE 68508; telephone number: (402) 437–5080; email address: *Tice.john@epa.gov*.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

This action is directed to the public in general. This action may, however, be of interest to those involved in agriculture and anyone involved with the distribution and application of pesticides for agricultural purposes. Others involved with pesticides in a non-agricultural setting may also be affected. In addition, it may be of interest to others, such as, those persons who are or may be required to conduct testing of chemical substances under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), or the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). Since other entities may also be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

B. How Can I Get Copies of this Document and Other Related Information?

1. Docket. EPA has established an official public docket for this action under docket identification (ID) number OPP-2003-0199 which references the original documents in docket identification (ID) number OPP-2003-0078. The official public docket consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received, and other information related to this action. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA. This docket facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The docket telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

2. Electronic access. You may access this Federal Register document electronically through the EPA Internet under the "Federal Register" listings at http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, EPA Dockets. You may use EPA Dockets at http://www.epa.gov/edocket/ to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the docket facility identified in Unit I.B.1. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the appropriate docket ID number.

In addition to the sources listed in this unit, you may obtain copies of the amended Kansas Certification Plan, other related documents, or additional information by contacting:

## 1. John Tice at the address listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

- 2. Jeanne Fox, Kansas Department of Agriculture, 109 SW 9th St., Third Floor, Topeka, KS 66612; telephone number: (785) 296-2265; e-mail address: jfox@kda.state.ks.us.
- 3. Jeanne Heying, Field and External Affairs Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania

Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (703) 308-3240; e-mail address: heying.jeanne@epa.gov.

## II. What Action is the Agency Taking?

EPA is approving the amended Kansas Certification Plan. This approval is based upon the EPA review of the Kansas Plan and finding it in compliance with FIFRA and 40 CFR part 171. Further, there were no public comments submitted to the earlier **Federal Register** notice soliciting comments. The amended Kansas Certification Plan is therefore approved.

## List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Education, Pests and pesticides.

Dated: June 11, 2003.

#### Iames B. Gulliford.

Regional Administrator, Region VII. [FR Doc. 03-15670 Filed 6-19-03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-S

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[FRL-7515-8]

## Air Quality Criteria for Particulate Matter (Fourth External Review Draft)

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of a draft for public review and comment.

**SUMMARY:** On or about June 30, 2003, the National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA), within EPA's Office of Research and Development, will make available for public review and comment a fourth external review draft of a revised EPA document, Air Quality Criteria for Particulate Matter. Under sections 108 and 109 of the Clean Air Act, the purpose of the revised document is to provide an assessment of the latest scientific information on the effects of airborne particulate matter (PM) on the public health and welfare, for use in EPA's current review of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for PM.

**DATES:** Comments on the draft document must be submitted in writing no later than August 31, 2003. Send the written comments to the Project Manager for Particulate Matter, National Center for Environmental Assessment-RTP (B243-01), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711.

ADDRESSES: A copy of the EPA document, Air Quality Criteria for Particulate Matter (Fourth External

Review Draft), consisting of two volumes, will be available on CD ROM from NCEA-RTP. Contact Ms. Diane Ray by phone (919-541-3637), fax (919-541–1818), or email (ray.diane@epa.gov) to request the document. Please provide the document's title, Air Quality Criteria for Particulate Matter (Fourth External Review Draft), and the EPA numbers for each of the two volumes (EPA/600/P-99/002aD and EPA/600/P-99/002bD), as well as your name and address, to facilitate processing of your request. Internet users will be able to download a copy from the NCEA Web site at http://www.epa.gov/ncea. Hard copies of the draft document can also be made available upon request to Ms. Ray.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:  $\mathrm{Dr.}$ Robert Elias, National Center for Environmental Assessment-RTP (B243-01), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711; telephone: 919-541-4167; fax: 919-541-1818; e-mail: elias.robert@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA is in the process of updating, and revising where appropriate, the document Air Quality Criteria for Particulate Matter as issued in 1996. Sections 108 and 109 of the Clean Air Act require that EPA carry out a periodic review and revision, where appropriate, of the air quality criteria and the NAAQS for "criteria" air pollutants such as PM. Details of EPA's plans for the review of the NAAQS for PM were initially announced in a previous Federal Register notice (62 FR 55201, October 23, 1997).

EPA made a First External Review Draft of the updated Air Quality Criteria for Particulate Matter available in 1999 for review by members of the public and the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC) (64 FR 57884, October 27, 1999). Following that public review and a meeting of the CASAC in December 1999 (64 FR 61875, November 15, 1999), EPA revised the document in response to CASAC and public comments, as well as to reflect additional new studies on PM effects that were not available in time for the First External Review Draft.

In April 2001, EPA made a Second External Review Draft of Air Quality Criteria for Particulate Matter available for public and CASAC review (66 FR 18929, April 12, 2001). Following that public review and a second CASAC meeting in July 2001 (66 FR 34924, July 2, 2001), EPA again revised the document in response to CASAC and public comments and to reflect more new PM studies that had become available.