

Summary: EPA has no significant concerns with the preferred alternative.

ERP No. D-BLM-K65250-NV Rating LO, Black Rock Desert-High Rock Canyon Emigrant Trails National Conservation Area (NCA) and Associated Wilderness and Other Contiguous Lands Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Great Basin, NV.

Summary: EPA had no significant concerns with the preferred alternative.

ERP No. D-FHW-G40173-LA Rating LO, I-49 South Lafayette Regional Airport to LA-88 Route U.S. 90 Project, Upgrading Existing U.S. 90 from the Lafayette Regional Airport to LA-88, Funding, Iberia, Lafayette and St. Martin Parishes, LA.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the selection of the preferred alternative.

ERP No. D-FHW-H40179-MO Rating LO, Missouri River Corridor Widening and Improvements, New Four Lane Expressway, Corridor consist of Four Segments: Front Street, Chouteau Trafficway, South Riverfront Expressway (SRE) and Little Blue Expressway (LBE), Funding, Jackson and Clay Counties, MO.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the proposed project. However, EPA recommends that a chronological evaluation of other planned actions relative to the proposed implementation schedule of the Missouri River Corridor be utilized to derive the preferred alternative.

ERP No. D-NPS-C61055-NJ Rating LO, Morristown National Historical Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Morris and Somerset Counties, NJ.

Summary: EPA has no objections with the management plan and requests the opportunity to review future NEPA documents prepared for specific actions outlined in the programmatic plan.

ERP No. DA-FHW-B40037-RI Rating EC2, Jamestown Bridge Replacement Project, New Information Regarding the Demolition of the Old Jamestown Bridge (Bridge No. 400), Federal Aid Project Number (BRF-0138(002), U.S. Coast Guard Bridge, NPDES and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permits Issuance, Towns of North Kingstown and Jamestown, Washington and Newport Counties, RI.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns and requested additional information to more fully describe flora and fauna to the project area and the existing conditions at candidate reef sites; to document the impacts associated with both the demolition and disposal phases of the project; and to address air quality issues associated with the work.

ERP No. DS-FTA-C40150-NY Rating EC2, Second Avenue Subway Project, Transit Access Improvements to Manhattan's East Side and Excess Crowd Reduction on the Lexington Avenue Subway, Funding, New York, NY.

Summary: EPA has environmental concerns with the proposed project's air quality impacts, particularly carbon monoxide (CO) and particulate matter, as well as wetland impacts.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-F65032-MN Holmes/Chipmunk Timber Sale Project, Implementation, Superior National Forest, LaCroix Ranger District, Saint Louis County, MN.

Summary: EPA determined that previous environmental concerns have been addressed in this Final EIS.

ERP No. F-AFS-K65245-AZ Kachina Village Forest Health Project, Forest Health Improvements and Potential Wildfire Reductions on National Forest System Land, Implementation, Coconino National Forest, Mormon Lake Ranger District, Coconino County, AZ.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-BLM-K09808-NV Ivanpah Energy Center Project, 500 Megawatt (MW) Gas-Fired Electric Power Generating Station Construction and Operation, Approval, Right-of-Way Grant, BLM Temporary Use Permit, FHWA Permit to Cross Federal Aid Highway, U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits and NPDES Permit Issuance, Clark County, NV.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-FHW-E40783-SC Dave Lyle Boulevard Extension on New Location, SC-161/Dave Lyle Boulevard Intersection in York County to SC-75, at the US-521/SC-75 Intersection, near the South Carolina/North Carolina Border in Lancaster, Funding, York and Lancaster Counties, SC.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns with the proposed project regarding impacts and mitigation for endangered species, wetlands, and traffic noise.

ERP No. F-FHW-F40410-IL Milan Beltway Extension, Airport Road to Blackhawk Road/John Deere Expressway, Funding and Permits Issuance, Rock River, Rock Island County, IL.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the preferred alternative, which we believe will have minimal environmental impacts, provided mitigation is implemented, and which meets the stated purpose of addressing area traffic volume.

ERP No. F-FHW-K40247-CA CA-22/ West Orange County Connection Project, Transportation Improvements between I-605 and CA-55, Funding, Cities of Los Alamitos, Seal Beach, Garden Grove, Westminster, Santa Ana and Orange, Orange County, CA.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the proposed project. However, EPA asked that FHWA's Record of Decision clarify if the project disturbs or removes polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), a toxic substance, at facilities or structures proposed for displacement.

ERP No. F-JUS-K81028-CA Juvenile Justice Facility and East County Hall of Justice Development, Potential Construction of Both Projects on the Same Site or on Separate Sites, Alamenda County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed a lack of objections to this project.

ERP No. F-NPS-K65239-AZ Tonto National Monument General Management Plan, New Administrative Facility Construction within the Monument Boundaries, Implementation, AZ.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. FS-AFS-L61199-ID Salmon Wild and Scenic River Management Plan, Timeline Change From December 31, 2002 to December 31, 2005 and Clarification of Economic Impacts on the Camps, Stub Creek, Arctic Creek and Smith Gulch Creek, Salmon National Forest, Salmon County, ID.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: June 30, 2003.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 03-16848 Filed 7-2-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6641-8]

Public Input Requested on the Proposed Site Designation of the "LA-3" Ocean Dredged Material Disposal Site off Newport Bay, Orange County, California

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of Intent to initiate the scoping phase for public input in advance of preparing an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to designate "LA-3" as a permanent ocean dredged material disposal site (ODMDS) off Newport Bay, California.

PURPOSE: EPA has the authority to designate ODMDS under Section 102 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act (MPRSA) of 1972 (33USC 1401 *et seq.*). EPA's preparation of this EIS is being carried out pursuant to the October 29, 1998 Notice of Policy and Procedures for Voluntary Preparation of National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (63 FR 58045). Public comments on the scope of the EIS evaluation will be accepted for 45 days from the date of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, TO SUBMIT COMMENTS, AND TO BE PLACED ON A PROJECT MAILING LIST, CONTACT: Mr. Allan Ota, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, Dredging and Sediment Management Team (WTR-8), 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 972-3476 or FAX: (415) 947-3537 or E-mail: R9_LA3LA2disposal_sites_scoping@epa.gov.

SUMMARY: EPA intends to conduct public meetings and collect public comments in advance of preparing an EIS to designate LA-3 as a permanent ODMDS off Newport Bay, California. The EIS will also re-evaluate an annual disposal volume limit for the existing LA-2 ODMDS, and how to minimize cumulative environmental impacts from two ODMDS in the region.

NEED FOR ACTION: Dredging is essential for maintaining safe navigation in harbors and marinas in the Los Angeles County and Orange County region. Not all dredged materials are suitable for beneficial re-use (e.g., construction, wetlands restoration), and it is not feasible to use the existing LA-2 ODMDS for all projects in the region. The LA-3 ODMDS has been used by some Orange County projects in the past, but its "interim" status has expired. Therefore there is a need to designate LA-3 as a permanent ODMDS.

ALTERNATIVES: The following proposed alternatives have been tentatively defined.

—“No Action”—Do not designate LA-3 as a permanent ODMDS, and continue to manage the existing LA-2 ODMDS without a designated maximum annual disposal volume limit.

—“Maximize Use of LA-2”—Do not designate LA-3 as a permanent ODMDS, but establish a maximum annual disposal volume limit for the LA-2 site adequate to meet the ocean disposal needs of all Los Angeles-Orange County region projects.

—“Local Use of LA-3 and LA-2”—Designate LA-3 as a permanent ODMDS primarily for Orange County projects, and establish a higher maximum annual

disposal volume limit for LA-2 to accommodate most Los Angeles area projects.

—“Maximize Use of LA-3”—Designate LA-3 as a permanent ODMDS with a maximum annual disposal limit to meet the ocean disposal needs of all Los Angeles-Orange County region projects to the extent feasible, and establish an annual disposal volume limit for LA-2 to accommodate only those projects that could not feasibly use LA-3.

SCOPING: EPA is requesting written comments from federal, state, and local governments, industry, non-governmental organizations, and the general public on the need for action, the range of alternatives considered, and the potential impacts of the alternatives. Scoping comments will be accepted for 45 days, beginning with the date of this Notice. Public scoping meetings are scheduled at two locations on the following dates: 1. July 21, 2003, 2–4 p.m. and 7–9 p.m., in Orange County at the Upper Newport Bay Peter and Mary Muth Interpretive Center, 2301 University Drive, Newport Beach, California 92660 (corner of University Drive and Irvine Avenue). 2. July 22, 2003, 2–4 p.m. and 7–9 p.m., in Los Angeles County at the Port of Long Beach, 925 Harbor Plaza, Long Beach, California 90802, on the 5th Floor Conference Room.

Estimated Date of Draft EIS Release: February 2004.

Dated: June 30, 2003.

Anne Norton Miller,
Director, Office of Federal Activities.
[FR Doc. 03-16846 Filed 7-2-03; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-2003-0229; FRL-7315-4]

Pyridaben; Notice of Filing a Pesticide Petition to Establish a Tolerance for a Certain Pesticide Chemical in or on Food

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the initial filing of a pesticide petition proposing the establishment of regulations for residues of a certain pesticide chemical in or on various food commodities.

DATES: Comments, identified by docket ID number OPP-2003-0229, must be received on or before August 4, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. Follow the detailed instructions as provided in Unit I. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Shaja R. Brothers, Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (703) 308-3194; e-mail address: brothers.shaja@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. Potentially affected entities may include, but are not limited to:

- Crop production (NAICS 111)
- Animal production (NAICS 112)
- Food manufacturer (NAICS 311)
- Pesticide manufacturer (NAICS 32532)

This listing is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be affected by this action. Other types of entities not listed in this unit could also be affected. The North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes have been provided to assist you and others in determining whether this action might apply to certain entities. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

B. How Can I Get Copies of this Document and Other Related Information?

1. *Docket.* EPA has established an official public docket for this action under docket identification (ID) number OPP-2003-0229. The official public docket consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received, and other information related to this action. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA. This docket