

Institution and settlement of injunctive actions; and
Formal orders of investigation.

The subject matter of the Closed Meeting scheduled for Thursday, July 17, 2003 will be:

Institution and settlement of administrative proceedings of an enforcement nature;
Institution and settlement of injunctive actions; and
Formal orders of investigation.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items. For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted, or postponed, please contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 942-7070.

Dated: July 8, 2003.

Jonathan G. Katz,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-17662 Filed 7-8-03; 3:56 pm]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-48126; File No. SR-Amex-2003-61]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the American Stock Exchange LLC Relating to a Six-Month Extension of the Exchange's Pilot Program for Automatic Execution of Orders for Exchange Traded Funds

July 2, 2003.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4² thereunder, notice is hereby given that on June 17, 2003, the American Stock Exchange LLC ("Exchange" or "Amex") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by Amex. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Amex seeks a six-month extension of Amex Rule 128A to continue its pilot program for the automatic order execution feature ("Auto-Ex") for Exchange Traded Funds ("ETFs").

The text of the proposed rule change is available at Amex and at the Commission.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, Amex included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. Amex has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

On June 19, 2001, the Commission approved the Exchange's proposal, adopted as Amex Rule 128A, to implement an automatic execution system for ETFs on a six-month pilot program basis.³ On December 20, 2001, June 17, 2002, and December 30, 2002, the pilot was extended for consecutive terms of six months.⁴ The Exchange now seeks to extend the pilot for an additional six months.

Since 1986, the Exchange has had an Auto-Ex feature for eligible orders in listed options. The Chicago Board Options Exchange, Philadelphia Stock Exchange, and Pacific Exchange established similar Auto-Ex features at about the same time as Amex, and the newest options exchange, the International Securities Exchange, also features automatic order execution. Auto-Ex, accordingly, has been a standard feature of the options markets for a number of years.

In 1993, Amex commenced trading Standard and Poor's Depository Receipts' ("SPDRs"), the first ETF to be listed and traded on Amex. ETFs are individual securities that represent a fractional, undivided interest in a portfolio of securities. Currently, more than 100 ETFs are listed on Amex. Like an option, an ETF is a derivative security, and, according to Amex, its

price is a function of the value of the portfolio of securities underlying the ETF. Thus, the Exchange asserts that, as is the case with options, it is not the price discovery market for ETFs, and that the price discovery market is the market or markets where the underlying securities trade.

The Exchange is now proposing to extend its current Auto-Ex technology for an additional six months to ETFs listed under Amex Rules 1002, 1002A, and 1202. Amex represents that this will continue to provide investors that send eligible orders to the Exchange with faster executions than they otherwise would receive. The Exchange believes that many investors desire rapid executions in trading securities that are priced derivatively since the value of the underlying instruments may fluctuate during order processing. Amex, moreover, will continue under the pilot extension to incorporate a price improvement algorithm into Auto-Ex for ETFs, which Amex expects will provide investors with better execution prices on their orders. The price improvement algorithm works in the following manner:

When Amex establishes the National Best Bid or Offer ("NBBO"), Auto-Ex is programmed to execute eligible incoming ETF orders at the Amex Published Quote ("APQ") plus a programmable number of trading increments with respect to the Amex bid, and less a programmable number of trading increments in the case of the Amex offer.⁵ For example, if the APQ were 90.10 to 90.20, and the APQ constituted the NBBO, incoming sell orders might be automatically executed at 90.12 (the Amex bid plus two ticks) and incoming buy orders might be executed at 90.18 (the Amex offer less two ticks).

If Amex does not establish the NBBO, Auto-Ex is programmed to execute eligible incoming ETF orders at or better than the NBBO up to a specified number of trading increments relative to the APQ.⁶ Auto-Ex executes an eligible

⁵ The term "establish," as used in Amex Rule 128A, means that the APQ is currently at the NBBO, regardless of whether Amex was the first exchange to be at that price. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 44449 (June 19, 2001), 66 FR 33724 (June 25, 2001).

⁶ Amex represents that once an order that is Auto-Ex eligible is sent to the Exchange, the person that initiated the order has no control over its execution. This is the case regardless of whether the order is executed by Auto-Ex or is executed by the specialist because Auto-Ex is unavailable. If the order is routed to the specialist for handling because Auto-Ex is unavailable, the specialist does not know if the order is for the account of a broker-dealer or for the account of a customer. This information is in

Continued

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 44449 (June 19, 2001), 66 FR 33724 (June 25, 2001) (approval of File No. SR-Amex-2001-29).

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 45176, 66 FR 67582 (December 31, 2001); 46085, 67 FR 42836 (June 25, 2002); and 47105, 68 FR 592 (January 6, 2003) (notices of filing and immediate effectiveness of File Nos. SR-Amex-2001-105, SR-Amex-2002-42, and SR-Amex-2002-99, respectively).

order at an improved price relative to the APQ unless such execution would result in a trade-through with respect to the price of an away market that is a participant in the Intermarket Trading System ("ITS").⁷ If a trade-through would result, the order is routed to the specialist for processing through the Amex electronic order book.

For example, assume that Auto-Ex is programmed to execute an order at the Amex bid plus two ticks. If the Amex bid were 90, and an away ITS market were bidding 90.01, an incoming sell order would be automatically executed on Amex at 90.02. Continuing with this example, if the away market were bidding 90.02, an incoming sell order would be automatically executed on Amex at 90.02 (matching the away market). If the away market were bidding 90.03, the incoming sell order would not be automatically executed. Instead, it would be routed to the specialist for electronic processing through the Amex electronic order book.

The amount of price improvement that the system provides, both when the Amex establishes the NBBO and when it does not, is determined by the Auto-Ex Enhancements Committee ("Committee") upon the request of a specialist and may differ among ETFs. The Committee consists of the Exchange's four Floor Governors and the Chairmen (or their designees) of the Specialists Association, Options Market Makers Association, and the Floor Brokers Association, respectively. The Exchange believes that the amount of price improvement will vary among securities based upon factors such as the width of the spread, the volatility of the underlying basket of securities underlying the ETF, and liquidity of available hedging vehicles. The amount of price improvement may be adjusted intra-day by the Committee.

As detailed in Amex Rule 128A, Auto-Ex for ETFs with price improvement is unavailable when the spread is at a specified minimum and maximum variation that may be adjusted security to security. The Committee determines, upon the request of a specialist, the minimum and maximum spreads at which Auto-Ex is unavailable. As further provided by Amex Rule 128A, Auto-Ex is also unavailable with respect to incoming sell orders when the Amex bid is for 100 shares, and similarly unavailable with

respect to incoming buy orders when the Amex offer is for 100 shares.

Orders that are otherwise Auto-Ex eligible orders are also routed to the specialist, and not automatically executed, in situations where the specialist in conjunction with a Floor Governor or two Floor Officials determine that quotes are not reliable and the Exchange is experiencing communications or systems problems, "fast markets," or delays in the dissemination of quotes. Members and member organizations are notified when the Exchange has determined that quotes are not reliable prior to disengaging Auto-Ex.

Specialists and Registered Options Traders ("ROT's") that sign onto the system are automatically allocated the contra side of Auto-Ex trades for ETFs. Due to the automatic price improvement feature, the specialist and ROTs that sign onto Auto-Ex for ETFs are deemed to be on parity for purposes of allocating the contra side of ETF Auto-Ex trades. Amex Rule 128A incorporates the following methodology for the allocation of the contra side to Auto-Ex ETF trades:

Number of ROTs signed on to Auto-Ex in a crowd	Appropriate number of trades allocated to the specialist throughout the day ("target ratio percent")	Approximate number of trades allocated to ROTs signed on to Auto-Ex throughout the day ("target ratio percent")
1	60	40
2-4	40	60
5-7	30	70
8-15	25	75
16 or more	20	80

At the start of each trading day, the sequence in which trades are allocated to the specialist and ROTs signed onto Auto-Ex is randomly determined. Auto-Ex trades then are automatically allocated in sequence on a rotating basis to the specialist and to the ROTs that have signed onto the system so that the specialist and the crowd achieve their "target ratios" over the course of a trading session. If an Auto-Ex eligible order is greater than 100 shares, Auto-Ex divides the trade into lots of 100 shares each. Each lot is considered a separate trade for purposes of determining target ratios and allocating trades within Auto-Ex.

Round lot orders delivered to the post electronically for 2,000 shares or less are eligible for Auto-Ex for ETFs. Orders for an account in which a market maker in ETFs registered as such on another market has an interest are ineligible for Auto-Ex for ETFs. The Exchange

represents that, if orders for such market makers were eligible for Auto-Ex with price improvement, Amex specialists and ROTs would be unable to make markets with the proposed liquidity for other investors. (Orders for Amex ROTs are ineligible for Auto-Ex for ETFs pursuant to Commentaries .04 and .05 to Amex Rule 111 and Amex Rule 950(c).)⁸

The specialist may request the Exchange to increase the maximum size of Auto-Ex eligible orders. Under Amex Rule 128A, such requests are reviewed by the Committee, which approves, disapproves, or conditionally approves such requests. Amex Rule 128A directs the Committee to balance the interests of investors, the specialist, ROTs in the crowd, and the Exchange in determining whether to grant a request to increase the size of Auto-Ex eligible orders. The Committee also may consider requests from the specialist or ROTs to reduce the size of Auto-Ex eligible orders, balancing the same interests that it would consider in reviewing a request to increase the size of Auto-Ex eligible orders. The Committee, however, is not permitted to reduce the size of Auto-Ex eligible orders below 2,000 shares.

In addition, under Amex Rule 128A, the Committee may delegate its authority to one or more Floor Governors. Amex Rule 128A provides, however, that the Committee must meet promptly to review a Floor Official's decision in the event that a Floor Governor acts pursuant to its delegated authority.

Amex Rule 128A further provides that, in the event of system problems or unusual market conditions, a Floor Governor is permitted to reduce the size of Auto-Ex eligible orders below 2,000 shares or increase the size of Auto-Ex eligible orders up to 5,000 shares. Any such change is temporary and lasts only until the end of the unusual market condition or the correction of the system problem. Members and member organizations are notified when the size of Auto-Ex eligible orders is adjusted due to system problems or unusual market conditions.

⁸ The Commission notes that, pursuant to Amex Rule 128A, Auto-Ex eligible orders for any account in which the same person is directly or indirectly interested may be entered only at intervals of ten seconds or more between the entry of each such order in an ETF. Under Amex Rule 128A, member and member organizations are responsible for establishing procedures to prevent orders for any account in which the same person is directly or indirectly interested from being entered at intervals of less than ten seconds with respect to an ETF. Amex has proposed to eliminate this ten-second "speed bump" while allowing it to be reinstated if conditions so warrant. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 48004 (June 9, 2003), 68 FR 35741 (June 16, 2003) (notice of File No. SR-Amex-2003-28).

the Exchange's order processing systems and is unavailable to the specialist.

⁷ The number of trading increments designated for price improvement when the Amex establishes the NBBO may be different than the number of increments designated for price improvement when Amex does not establish the NBBO. *Id.*

Amex Rule 128A also provides that the Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Exchange, acting jointly, determine which ETFs are Auto-Ex eligible.

2. Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6 of the Act,⁹ in general, and with section 6(b)(5) of the Act,¹⁰ in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers and dealers.

The proposed rule change will allow the Auto-Ex for ETFs pilot program to continue for an additional six months. The Exchange believes that the proposal also facilitates the comparison and settlement of trades since Auto-Ex transactions result in "locked-in" trades.

Moreover, Auto-Ex for ETFs automatically provides investors with price improvement on their orders.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

Amex believes that the proposed rule change will impose no burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange believes that the proposal, in fact, will enhance competition among markets and market makers and thereby benefit investors by allowing the Exchange to continue to provide Auto-Ex for ETFs with price improvement.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change (1) does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (2) does not impose any

significant burden on competition; and (3) by its terms, does not become operative until 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, and the exchange provided the Commission with written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change or such shorter time as designated by the Commission, it has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹¹ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.¹²

Amex has requested that the Commission waive the usual five-business-day notice period and the usual 30-day pre-operative waiting period. The Commission notes that this proposal simply extends the existing pilot program and does not alter the pilot in any way. As a result, the Commission believes that it is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest to waive the five-business-day notice period and accelerate the operative date so that the pilot can continue without delay and because the proposal raises no new regulatory issues. Therefore, the Commission designates that the proposal become operative immediately.¹³ This pilot extension will expire on December 19, 2003.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of this proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements

with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of Amex. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-Amex-2003-61 and should be submitted by July 31, 2003.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁴

J. Lynn Taylor,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-17476 Filed 7-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-48123; File No. SR-NASD-2002-77]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. Relating To Disclosure of Audit Opinions With Going Concern Qualifications

July 2, 2003.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on June 11, 2002, the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD"), through its subsidiary, The Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. ("Nasdaq"), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by Nasdaq. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Change

Nasdaq proposes to amend NASD Rule 4350(b) to add a requirement for issuers to announce publicly any audit opinions with going concern

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹² 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹³ For purposes only of accelerating the operative date of this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

¹⁴ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).