

EPA ICR No. 1975.01; NESHAP for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines; in 40 CFR part 63, subpart ZZZZ; on 06/16/03 OMB filed a comment.

EPA ICR No. 1897.05; Information Requirements for Nonroad Diesel Engines (Nonroad Large SI Engines and Marine Diesel Engines); in 40 CFR part 94, 40 CFR part 1048; on 06/11/2003 OMB filed a comment.

EPA ICR No. 1967.01; NESHAP for Stationary Combustion Turbines; in 40 CFR part 63, subpart YYYY; on 07/03/2003 OMB filed a comment.

EPA ICR No. 2096.01; NESHAP for Iron and Steel Foundries (Proposed Rule); in 40 CFR 63.7700, 40 CFR 63.7720, 40 CFR 63.7340, 40 CFR 63.7750, 40 CFR 63.7741, 40 CFR 63.7751, 40 CFR 63.7752; on 07/03/2006 OMB filed a comment.

EPA ICR No. 2099.01; Implementation of Pollution Prevention Alternatives; in 40 CFR part 63; on 07/03/2003 OMB filed a comment.

EPA ICR No. 2046.01; NESHAP for Mercury Cell Chlor-Alkali Plants (Proposed rule) in 40 CFR part 63, subpart IIII; on 07/03/2003 OMB filed a comment.

EPA ICR No. 2044.01; Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements for National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Plastic Parts and Products Surface Coating in 40 CFR part 63, subpart PPPP; on 06/24/2003 OMB filed a comment.

Withdrawn

EPA ICR No. 2083.01; Estimating the Value of Improvements to Coastal Waters—A Pilot Study of a Coastal Valuation Survey; on 06/12/2003 EPA withdrew ICR from OMB review.

Dated: July 17, 2003.

Doreen Sterling,

Acting Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. 03-19003 Filed 7-24-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[IL200-3; FRL-7535-7]

Adequacy Status of the Submitted 2005 and 2007 Revised Attainment Demonstration Budgets for the 1-hour Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard for Transportation Conformity Purposes for the Chicago, IL Severe Ozone Nonattainment Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that we have found the revised attainment year motor vehicle emissions budgets ("budgets") for volatile organic compounds (VOC) and nitrogen oxides (NO_x) in the submitted revision to the 1-hour ozone attainment demonstration state implementation plan (SIP) for the Chicago, Illinois severe nonattainment area to be adequate for conformity purposes. These attainment year budgets were recalculated using EPA's latest motor vehicle emissions factor model, MOBILE6. On March 2, 1999, the DC Circuit Court ruled that submitted state implementation plan budgets cannot be used for conformity determinations until EPA has affirmatively found them adequate. As a result of our finding, the Chicago, Illinois severe ozone nonattainment area can use the revised 2007 attainment year budgets of VOC and NO_x from the submitted revision to the 1-hour ozone attainment demonstration SIP for future conformity determinations. Illinois also submitted an updated 2005 VOC budget as part of the Rate Of Progress requirement. As a result of this finding, the 2005 VOC budget can also be used for conformity determinations in the Chicago, Illinois area.

DATES: This finding is effective August 11, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patricia Morris, Environmental Scientist, Regulation Development Section (AR-18J), Air Programs Branch, Air and Radiation Division, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-8656, morris.patricia@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, whenever "we", "us" or "our" is used, we mean EPA.

Background

Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA Region 5 sent a letter to the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency on June 26, 2003, stating that the revised attainment year motor vehicle emissions budgets in the Chicago, Illinois submitted 1-hour ozone attainment demonstration SIP revision (dated April 11, 2003) are adequate for conformity purposes. The purpose of Illinois's April 11, 2003 submittal was to address its enforceable commitment to revise the attainment year budgets using MOBILE6 within two years of the release of the model. This enforceable commitment was approved

by EPA on November 13, 2001 (66 FR 56903). EPA's adequacy finding will also be announced on EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/traq>, (once there, click on the "Conformity" button, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions for Conformity").

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to SIPs and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they conform. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

We have described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in guidance (May 14, 1999 memo titled "Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999 Conformity Court Decision"). We followed this guidance, which can also be found on EPA's Web site at: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/traq>, in making our adequacy determination.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. *et seq.*

Dated: July 9, 2003.

Bharat Mathur,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 03-19004 Filed 7-24-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7536-4]

National Drinking Water Advisory Council; Request for Nominations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) invites all interested persons to nominate qualified individuals to serve a three-year term as members of the National Drinking Water Advisory Council (Council). This Council was established by the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) to provide

practical and independent advice, consultation and recommendations to the Agency on the activities, functions and policies related to the implementation of the SDWA. The Council consists of fifteen members, including a Chairperson, appointed by the Deputy Administrator. Five members represent the general public; five members represent appropriate State and local agencies concerned with water hygiene and public water supply; and five members represent private organizations or groups demonstrating an active interest in the field of water hygiene and public water supply. The SDWA requires that at least two members of the Council represent small, rural public water systems. On December 15 of each year, five members complete their appointment. Therefore, this notice solicits names to fill the five vacancies, with appointed terms ending on December 15, 2006.

Any interested person or organization may nominate qualified individuals for membership. Nominees should be identified by name, occupation, position, address and telephone number. To be considered, all nominations must include a current resume providing the nominee's background, experience and qualifications.

DATES: All nominations must be received by October 15, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Please submit nominations to Brenda P. Johnson, Designated Federal Officer, National Drinking Water Advisory Council, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water (4601), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda P. Johnson at the address listed in the **ADDRESSES** section, by telephone at 202/564-3791, or by e-mail at Johnson.BrendaP@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Persons selected for membership will receive compensation for travel and a nominal daily compensation while attending meetings. The Council holds two face-to-face meetings each year, generally in the spring and fall. Additionally, members may be asked to serve on one of the Council's workgroups that are formed each year to assist the EPA in addressing specific programmatic issues. These workgroup meetings are held approximately four times a year, typically with two meetings by conference call.

Dated: July 22, 2003.

Cynthia C. Dougherty,
Director, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water.

[FR Doc. 03-19008 Filed 7-24-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6642-4]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 4, 2003 (68 FR 16511).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-F65042-WI Rating EC2, Sunken Moose Project, Proposal to Restore and/or Maintain the Red and White Pine Communities, Washburn Ranger District, Chequamegon-Nicolet Forest, Bayfield County, WI.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns relating to adverse impacts to interior forest species, habitat fragmentation and non-native invasive species.

ERP No. D-DOA-K36137-HI Rating EC2, Lahaina Watershed Flood Control Project, To Reduce Flooding and Erosion Problems, U.S. Army COE Section 404 and NPDES Permits, County of Maui, HI.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the project's impacts on the nearshore marine environment, waters of the U.S., and water quality. In addition, EPA is concerned about the range of alternatives evaluated in the DEIS, and whether future development on adjacent lands have been incorporated into project design and evaluation of impacts.

ERP No. D-FHW-L40217-AK Rating EC2, South Extension of the Coastal Trail Project, Existing Tony Knowles Coastal Trail Extension from Kincaid Park through the Project Area to the Potter Weigh Station, U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits Issuance, Municipality of Anchorage, Anchorage, Alaska.

Summary: EPA has environmental concerns with the proposed project,

particularly concerning impacts to the aquatic environment. EPA recommends alignment modifications to further avoid and minimize adverse impacts. EPA requests further information regarding the affected environment, stream crossings, design detail for accommodating wildlife passage, siting or location clarifications, and other specifics.

ERP No. D-NOA-E91013-00 Rating EC1, Essential Fish Habitat Components of Amendment 13 to the Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan, Selection of the Best Method of Minimizing Impacts of Groundfish Fishing on Essential Fish Habitats, New England Fishery Management Council, ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT, NY, NJ, DE, MD, VA, and NC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern on numbers of traps, wet storage of traps and no tag accountability.

ERP No. D-NOA-G39038-LA Rating LO, Programmatic EIS—The Louisiana Regional Restoration Planning Program, Establishment and Implementation of Natural Resource Trust Mandates, LA.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the selection of the preferred alternative.

ERP No. D-NOA-L91017-00 Rating EO2, Programmatic EIS—Pacific Salmon Fisheries Management Plan, Off the Coasts of Southeast Alaska, Washington, Oregon and California, and the Columbia River Basin, Implementation, Magnuson-Stevens Act, AK, WA, OR, and CA.

Summary: EPA has environmental objections due to uncertainty about the effects of the alternatives on the 26 listed ESUs of salmon. The draft programmatic EIS does not clearly disclose critical information regarding the effect of the alternatives, does not provide identifiable evidence to support its conclusions that all alternatives would not jeopardize ESUs, and does not identify a preferred alternative. EPA recommends that analysis in the final programmatic EIS demonstrate that alternatives would protect and recover the 26 listed species of salmon affected by proposed activities.

ERP No. D-UAF-K11109-AZ Rating LO, Barry M. Goldwater Range (BMGR) Proposed Integrated Natural Resources Management Plan (INRMP), Implementation, Military Lands Withdrawal Act of 1999 (Pub. L. 106-65) and Sike Act (16 U.S.C. 670), Yuma, Pima and Maricopa Counties, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed a lack of objections but recommended mitigation to protect water quality by restriction on driving recreational vehicles in desert washes.