

§ 512.23 Under what circumstances may NHTSA publicly release confidential information?

(a) Information that has been claimed or determined to be confidential under this part may be disclosed to the public by the Administrator notwithstanding such claim or determination, if disclosure would be in the public interest as follows:

(1) Information obtained under chapter 325, 327, 329 or 331 of title 49 of the United States Code (formerly under the Motor Vehicle Information and Cost Savings Act) may be disclosed when that information is relevant to a proceeding under the chapter under which the information was obtained.

(2) Information obtained under chapter 301 of title 49 of the United States Code (49 U.S.C. § 30101 *et seq.*), relating to the establishment, amendment, or modification of Federal motor vehicle safety standards, may be disclosed when relevant to a proceeding under the chapter.

(3) Except as specified in the next sentence, information obtained under Chapter 301 of title 49 of the United States Code (49 U.S.C. 30101 *et seq.*), related to a possible defect or noncompliance, shall be disclosed when the Administrator decides the information will assist in carrying out sections 30117(b) and 30118 through 30121 of title 49 or is required to be disclosed under 30118(a) of title 49, except as provided in paragraph (a)(4) of this section.

(4) No information will be disclosed under paragraph (a) of this section unless the submitter of the information is given written notice of the Administrator's intention to disclose information under this section. Written notice will be given at least twenty (20) working days before the day of release, unless the Administrator finds that shorter notice is in the public interest. The notice under this paragraph will include a statement of the Administrator's reasons for deciding to disclose the information, and will afford the submitter of the information an opportunity to comment on the contemplated release of the information. The Administrator may also give notice of the contemplated release of information to other persons and may allow these persons the opportunity to comment. In making the determination to release information pursuant to this section, the Administrator will consider ways to release the information that will cause the least possible adverse effects to the submitter.

(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this part, information that

has been determined or claimed to be confidential may be released:

- (1) To a committee of Congress;
- (2) Pursuant to an order of a court of competent jurisdiction;
- (3) To the Office of the Secretary, U.S. Department of Transportation and other Executive branch offices or other Federal agencies in accordance with applicable laws;
- (4) With the consent of the submitter of the information; and
- (5) To contractors, if necessary for the performance of a contract with the agency or any Federal agency, with specific prohibitions on further release of the information.

Appendix A—Certificate in Support of Request for Confidentiality*Certificate in Support of Request for Confidentiality*

I _____, pursuant to the provisions of 49 CFR part 512, state as follows:

- (1) I am (official's name, title) and I am authorized by (company) to execute this certificate on its behalf;
- (2) I certify that the information contained in (pertinent document(s)) is confidential and proprietary data and is being submitted with the claim that it is entitled to confidential treatment under 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4) (as incorporated by reference in and modified by the statute under which the information is being submitted);
- (3) I hereby request that the information contained in (pertinent document(s)) be protected for (requested period of time);
- (4) This certification is based on the information provided by the responsible (company) personnel who have authority in the normal course of business to release the information for which a claim of confidentiality has been made to ascertain whether such information has ever been released outside (company);
- (5) Based upon that information, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, the information for which (company) has claimed confidential treatment has never been released or become available outside (company); (except as hereinafter specified);
- (6) I make no representations beyond those contained in this certificate and, in particular, I make no representations as to whether this information may become available outside (company) because of unauthorized or inadvertent disclosure (except as stated in paragraph 5); and
- (7) I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on this the _____ day of _____, _____. (If executed outside of the United States of America: I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct). (signature of official)

Appendix B—General Class Determinations

The Chief Counsel has determined that the following classes of information will cause substantial competitive harm if released:

(1) Blueprints and engineering drawings containing process and production data where the subject could not be manufactured without the blueprints or engineering drawings except after significant reverse engineering;

(2) Future specific model plans (to be protected only until the date on which the specific model to which the plan pertains is first offered for sale); and

(3) Future vehicle production or sales figures for specific models (to be protected only until the termination of the production period for the model year vehicle to which the information pertains).

Appendix C—Early Warning Reporting Class Determinations

(a) The Chief Counsel has determined that the following information required to be submitted to the agency under 49 CFR 579, subpart C, will cause substantial competitive harm and will impair the government's ability to obtain this information in the future if released:

- (1) Reports and data relating to warranty claim information;
- (2) Reports and data relating to field reports, including dealer reports and hard copy reports; and
- (3) Reports and data relating to consumer complaints.

(b) In addition, the Chief Counsel has determined that the following information required to be submitted to the agency under 49 CFR 579, subpart C, will cause substantial competitive harm if released: Reports of production numbers for child restraint systems, tires, and vehicles other than light vehicles, as defined in 49 CFR 579.4(c).

Appendix D—OMB Clearance

The OMB clearance number for this regulation is 2127-0025.

Issued on: July 21, 2003.

Jeffrey W. Runge,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 03-19069 Filed 7-24-03; 11:00 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration****50 CFR Part 648**

[Docket No. 030509120-3171-02; I.D. 033103D]

RIN 0648-AQ32

Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Recreational Measures for the Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Fisheries; Fishing Year 2003

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: NMFS issues this final rule to implement recreational measures for the 2003 summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fisheries. The intent of these measures is to prevent overfishing of the summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass resources.

DATES: Effective July 28, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Copies of supporting documents used by the Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Monitoring Committee and of the Environmental Assessment, Regulatory Impact Review, Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (EA/RIR/IRFA), and Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (EA/RIR/FRFA) are available from Patricia A. Kurkul, Regional Administrator, Northeast Region, National Marine Fisheries Service, One Blackburn Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930-2298. The EA/RIR/IRFA is also accessible via the Internet at <http://www.nero.nmfs.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sarah McLaughlin, Fishery Policy Analyst, (978) 281-9279, fax (978) 281-9135, e-mail sarah.mclaughlin@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Fishery Management Plan (FMP) and its implementing regulations found at 50 CFR part 648, subparts A, G (summer flounder), H (scup), and I (black sea bass), describe the process for specifying annual recreational measures. Final quota specifications for the 2003 summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fisheries were published on January 2, 2003 (68 FR 60); a correction notice was published on March 3, 2003 (68 FR 9905). These specifications were determined to be consistent with the 2003 target fishing mortality rate (F) for summer flounder and the target exploitation rates for scup and black sea bass. The 2003 coastwide recreational harvest limits are 9.28 million lb (4,209 mt) for summer flounder, 4.01 million lb (1,819 mt) for scup, and 3.43 million lb (1,557 mt) for black sea bass.

The proposed rule to implement annual Federal recreational measures for the 2003 summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fisheries was

published on May 21, 2003 (68 FR 27768), and contained management measures (minimum fish sizes, possession limits, and fishing seasons) intended to keep annual recreational landings from exceeding the specified harvest limits. A complete discussion of the development of the recreational measures appeared in the preamble of the proposed rule and is not repeated here.

The recreational measures for scup and black sea bass contained in this final rule are unchanged from those published in the proposed rule. Table 1 contains the coastwide Federal measures for scup and black sea bass that are being implemented. For summer flounder, this final rule implements conservation equivalency, as the process was described in the proposed rule. The management measures will vary according to the state of landing (see Table 2). All minimum fish sizes discussed below are total length (TL) measurements of the fish, i.e., the straight-line distance from the tip of the snout to the end of the tail while the fish is lying on its side.

TABLE 1 - 2003 RECREATIONAL MEASURES

| Species | Minimum Size (total length) | Possession Limit | Open Season |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|---|
| Summer Flounder | Varies according to state of landing | | |
| Scup | 10 inches (25.4 cm) | 50 fish | January 1 through February 28, and July 1 through November 30 |
| Black Sea Bass | 12 inches (30.5 cm) | 25 fish | January 1 through September 1, and September 16 through November 30 |

TABLE 2 - 2003 STATE RECREATIONAL MANAGEMENT MEASURES FOR SUMMER FLOUNDER

| State | Minimum Size (inches) | Minimum size (cm) | Possession Limit | Open Seasons |
|-------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| MA | 16.5 | 41.9 | 7 fish | Year-Round |
| RI | 17.5 | 44.5 | 5 fish | May 1 through September 20 |
| CT | 17 | 43.2 | 6 fish | Year-Round |
| NY | 17 | 43.2 | 7 fish | Year-Round |
| NJ | 16.5 | 41.9 | 8 fish | May 3 through October 13 |
| DE | 17.5 | 44.5 | 4 fish | Year-Round |
| MD | 17 | 43.2 | 8 fish | Year-Round |
| VA | 17.5 | 44.5 | 8 fish | March 29 through December 31 |
| NC | 15 | 38.1 | 8 fish | Year-Round |

Changes from the Proposed Rule

Section 648.107(a) is corrected to clarify that the recreational measures proposed to be implemented by the states of Maine through North Carolina for 2003 are the conservation equivalent of the non-preferred (i.e., to be implemented in the Exclusive Economic Zone if conservation equivalency is not

implemented) coastwide season, minimum size, and possession limit prescribed in §§ 648.102, 648.103, and 648.105(a), as identified in the proposed rule.

There were no public comments received on the proposed rule.

Classification

This final rule has been determined to be not significant for purposes of E.O. 12866.

This action establishes recreational management measures for federally permitted vessels participating in the recreational fishery, specifically party/charter vessels. The recreational

summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fisheries are fully underway. In 2002, recreational black sea bass landings exceeded the recreational harvest limit by approximately 30 percent. This information was not available until development of this rule was begun. Immediate action to impose a more stringent minimum fish size in the black sea bass fishery must be taken to slow the recreational harvest of black sea bass and enhance the probability that the black sea bass harvest limit will not be exceeded in 2003. For summer flounder, this action is necessary to achieve consistency between state and Federal measures. Recreational summer flounder landings have exceeded the recreational harvest limits by an average of 50 percent over the 1996–2002 period. Failure to implement these provisions immediately could result in similar overharvest in 2003. Furthermore, because the Federal fishery is currently operating under status quo measures and the states have already implemented measures for state waters, inconsistencies between state and Federal regulations also can lead to confusion for operators of federally-permitted vessels. The Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, NOAA (AA), therefore finds good cause under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3) to waive the 30-day delay in effectiveness of the 2003 summer flounder and black sea bass recreational measures.

For the scup fishery, the recreational sector is currently fishing under the Federal EEZ measures, which allow for a possession limit of only 20 fish. This final rule will increase the possession limit to 50 fish, thereby relieving the possession limit restriction. This increase is being implemented to allow the recreational fishing sector to achieve the recreational harvest limit. The improving status of these fisheries has allowed NOAA Fisheries to implement these regulations, which provide some relief to the recreational sector from the current, more restrictive, measures. Implementation of the revised possession limit as soon as possible is important to allow for the increased scup fishing opportunities intended by the Council in amending this regulation. Because the increase to the possession limit relieves a restriction on the recreational fishing industry, the AA finds that the 30-day delayed effectiveness period of the scup regulations contained within this final rule does not apply, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(1).

Included in this final rule is the Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis prepared pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 604(a). The FRFA is composed of the IRFA, the comments

(and responses) on the proposed rule, and the analyses completed in support of this action.

Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

Statement of Objective and Need

A description of the reasons why action by the agency is being taken and the objectives of this final rule are explained in the preambles to the proposed rule and this final rule and are not repeated here.

Summary of Significant Issues Raised in Public Comments

No comments were received on the economic impacts of the measures contained in the proposed rule.

Description and Estimate of Number of Small Entities to which Rule Will Apply

The Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (Council) estimated that the proposed action could affect any of the 760 vessels possessing a Federal party/charter permit for summer flounder, scup, and/or black sea bass in 2001, the most recent year for which complete permit data are available. Only 368 of these vessels reported active participation in the recreational summer flounder, scup, and/or black sea bass fisheries in 2001.

Description of Projected Reporting, Recordkeeping, and Other Compliance Requirements

No additional reporting, recordkeeping, or other compliance requirements are included in this final rule.

Description of the Steps Taken to Minimize Economic Impact on Small Entities

It is not possible to further mitigate economic impacts on small entities because the Council selected the alternative with least significant impacts relative to the other alternatives determined to achieve the biological objectives. Specifications of recreational fish size limits, possession limits, and open fishing seasons is constrained by the conservation objectives of the FMP, and implemented at 50 CFR part 648 under the authority of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act).

The economic analysis assessed the impacts of the various management alternatives. In the EA, the no action alternative for each species is defined as the continuation of the management measures implemented for the 2002 fishing season. The Council did not analyze an alternative combining the status quo measures in place for all

three species. In consideration of the Council-recommended recreational harvest limits established for the 2003 fishing year, implementation of the same recreational measures established for the 2002 fishing year would be inconsistent with the goals and objectives of the FMP and its implementing regulations, and, because it could result in overfishing of the black sea bass fishery, it also would be inconsistent with National Standard 1 of the Magnuson-Stevens Act. Therefore, the no action alternative was not considered to be a reasonable alternative to the preferred action and its collective impacts were not analyzed in the EA/ RIR/IRFA. The no action measure for summer flounder was analyzed in Alternative 1, in combination with preferred measures for scup and black sea bass. The no action measures for scup and black sea bass were considered as part of Alternative 2, in combination with the non-preferred coastwide measures for summer flounder, i.e., the measures that would be implemented if conservation equivalency were not implemented in the final rule. A summary of the economic impacts of the measures to be implemented follow.

Impacts of Summer Flounder Alternatives

Landings projections for 2002 indicate that Virginia (VA) is the only state required to reduce summer flounder landings (by 11 percent) in 2003. States other than VA do not require any reductions in recreational summer flounder landings, if their current regulations are maintained. At this time, it is not possible to determine the economic impact of conservation equivalency for Virginia. However, it may be proportional to the level of landings reductions required. If the preferred conservation equivalency alternative is effective at achieving the recreational harvest limit, then it is likely to be the only alternative that minimizes economic impacts, to the extent practicable, yet achieves the biological objectives of the FMP. Because states have a choice of specific measures to implement, it is more rational for the states to adopt conservation equivalent measures that result in fewer adverse economic impacts than to adopt the much more restrictive measures contained in the precautionary default alternative.

The impacts of the non-preferred summer flounder coastwide alternative (in Alternative 2), which proposes a 17-inch (43.2-cm) minimum fish size, a possession limit of four fish per person, and no closed season, were evaluated. Impacted trips were defined as

individual angler trips taken aboard party/charter vessels in 2002 that landed at least one summer flounder smaller than 17 inches (43.2 cm), or that landed more than four summer flounder. The analysis concluded that the measures would affect 1 percent or less of the party/charter trips in most states, with state revenue losses identified for Massachusetts (MA) (\$927), Rhode Island (RI) (\$15,850), New York (NY) (\$155,636), New Jersey (NJ) (\$22,208), Delaware (DE) (\$570), Maryland (MD) (\$570), VA (\$7,362), and North Carolina (NC) (\$161). (These figures are for all vessels operating in each state, rather than for each vessel). No state revenue losses were identified for Maine (ME), New Hampshire (NH), or Connecticut (CT).

The average maximum gross revenue loss per party/charter vessel was estimated to be \$9 in MA, \$634 in RI, \$2,993 in NY, \$347 in NJ, \$285 in DE, \$190 in MD, \$409 in VA, and \$23 in NC. As discussed in the proposed rule, it is very likely that the Council's analysis of economic impacts overestimates potential revenue impacts of the proposed measures, since some anglers would continue to take party/charter vessel trips, even if the restrictions limit their landings, because they participate in catch and release fishing or target other species.

Precautionary default measures are defined as measures that would achieve at least the overall required reduction in landings for each state. The precautionary default measures specified by the Council and the Council's Demersal Species Committee and the Commission's Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Management Board (in Alternative 3) consists of an 18-inch (45.7-cm) minimum fish size, a possession limit of one fish per person, and no closed season.

The precautionary default measures would reduce state specific landings by a range of 41 percent (DE) to 88 percent (NC). As specified by Framework 2 to the FMP, states that fail to implement conservation equivalent measures would be required to implement precautionary default measures. The state-specific landings reductions associated with the precautionary default measures are substantially higher than the reductions that would be implemented using conservation equivalency. As such, it is expected that states will avoid the impacts of precautionary approach measures by establishing conservation equivalent management measures. Therefore, the precautionary default provision that is included in the conservation

equivalency proposal was not analyzed as a separate provision.

Impacts of Scup Alternatives

The proposed action for scup would limit coastwide landings to 4.01 million lb (1,819 mt) and reduce landings by at least 27 percent compared to 2001. The overall estimated economic impacts of the proposed action are less than the impacts that would result from implementation of either of the other scup alternatives analyzed.

For the preferred scup alternative (in Alternative 1), impacted trips were defined as individual angler trips taken aboard party/charter vessels in 2002 that landed at least one scup smaller than 10 inches (25.4 cm), that landed more than 50 scup, or that landed at least one scup during the proposed closed seasons of March 1 through June 30, and December 1 through December 31. The analysis concluded that the measures would affect 10 percent of the party/charter trips in MA and 1 percent or less of the party/charter trips in five states, with statewide revenue losses identified for MA (\$421,057), RI (\$2,324), NY (\$1,829), NJ (\$6,475), MD (\$25,450), and NC (\$8,064).

The average maximum gross revenue loss per party/charter vessel associated with the preferred scup alternative was estimated to be \$8,593 in MA, \$166 in RI, \$59 in NY, \$185 in NJ, \$25,450 in MD, and \$2,688 in NC.

For the scup no action alternative (in Alternative 2), impacted trips were defined as individual angler trips taken aboard party/charter vessels in 2002 that landed at least one scup smaller than 10 inches (25.4 cm), that landed more than 20 scup, or that landed at least one scup during the periods of March 1 through June 30, and October 3 through December 31. The analysis concluded that the measures would affect 11 percent of angler trips taken aboard party/charter boats in MA, 4 percent in RI, 5 percent in NY, and less than 1 percent in NJ, DE, MD, and NC, with statewide revenue losses identified for MA (\$486,423), RI (\$55,664), NY (\$702,429), NJ (\$67,060), MD (\$25,450), and NC (\$8,064). No state revenue losses were identified for ME, NH, CT, DE, or VA.

The average maximum gross revenue loss per party/charter vessel associated with this alternative was estimated to be \$9,927 in MA, \$3,976 in RI, \$22,659 in NY, \$1,916 in NJ, \$25,450 in MD, and \$2,688 in NC.

For the scup measures considered in Alternative 3, impacted trips were defined as individual angler trips taken aboard party/charter vessels in 2002 that landed at least one scup smaller than 10

inches (25.4 cm), that landed more than 50 scup, or that landed at least one scup during the period March 1 through July 13. The analysis concluded that the measures in this alternative would affect 11 percent of the party/charter trips in MA and 1 percent or less of the party/charter trips in most states, with statewide revenue losses identified for MA (\$469,518), RI (\$9,576), NY (\$81,902), NJ (\$19,880), MD (\$25,450), and NC (\$8,064). No state revenue losses were identified for ME, NH, CT, DE, or VA.

The average maximum gross revenue loss per party/charter vessel associated with this alternative was estimated to be \$9,582 in MA, \$684 in RI, \$2,642 in NY, \$568 in NJ, \$25,450 in MD, and \$2,688 in NC.

Impacts of Black Sea Bass Alternatives

The proposed action for black sea bass would limit coastwide landings to 3.43 million lb (1,557 mt) and reduce landings by at least 27 percent compared to 2002. Although the economic impacts of the preferred alternative (in Alternative 1) are greater than for the status quo measures (in Alternative 2), Alternative 1 was selected for implementation because it is expected to achieve the necessary reduction in landings relative to 2002, consistent with the goals and objectives of the FMP, while resulting in less economic impact than would the measures in Alternative 3.

For the preferred black sea bass alternative, impacted trips were defined as individual angler trips taken aboard party/charter vessels in 2002 that landed at least one black sea bass smaller than 12 inches (30.5 cm), that landed more than 25 black sea bass, or that landed at least one black sea bass during the proposed closed seasons of September 2 through September 15, and December 1 through December 31. The analysis concluded that the measures would affect 3 percent of the party/charter trips in NJ, 4 percent in DE, and 1 percent or less in most states, with statewide revenue losses identified for MA (\$1,805), RI (\$5,404), CT (\$368), NY (\$20,332), NJ (\$441,702), DE (\$89,544), MD (\$41,331), VA (\$19,418), and NC (\$364). No state revenue losses were identified for ME or NH.

The average maximum gross revenue loss per party/charter vessel associated with the proposed black sea bass alternative was estimated to be \$19 in MA, \$193 in RI, \$46 in CT, \$442 in NY, \$8,334 in NJ, \$44,772 in DE, \$13,777 in MD, \$1,022 in VA, and \$52 in NC.

For the non-preferred black sea bass measures considered in Alternative 2, impacted trips were defined as

individual angler trips taken aboard party/charter vessels in 2002 that landed at least one black sea bass smaller than 11.5 inches (29.2 cm), or that landed more than 25 black sea bass. The analysis concluded that the measures would affect 3 percent of the party/charter trips in DE, 2 percent in NJ, and 1 percent or less in most states, with statewide revenue losses identified for RI (\$1,960), CT (\$368), NJ (\$248,570), DE (\$82,988), MD (\$16,329), VA (\$21,261), and NC (\$119). No state revenue losses were identified for ME, NH, MA, or NY.

The average maximum gross revenue loss per party/charter vessel associated with this alternative was estimated to be \$70 in RI, \$46 in CT, \$4,690 in NJ, \$41,494 in DE, \$5,443 in MD, \$1,119 in VA, and \$17 in NC.

For the non-preferred black sea bass measures considered in Alternative 3, impacted trips were defined as individual angler trips taken aboard party/charter vessels in 2002 that landed at least one black sea bass smaller than 12.5 inches (31.8 cm) or that landed more than 25 black sea bass. The analysis concluded that the measures would affect approximately 5 percent of the party/charter trips in DE, 3 percent in NJ, and 1 percent or less in most states, with statewide revenue losses identified for RI (\$1,960), CT (\$368), NY (\$3,220), NJ (\$483,095), DE (\$125,132), MD (\$40,395), VA (\$29,602), and NC (\$364). No state revenue losses were identified for ME, NH, or MA.

The average maximum gross revenue loss per party/charter vessel associated with this alternative was estimated to be \$70 in RI, \$46 in CT, \$70 in NY, \$9,115 in NJ, \$62,566 in DE, \$13,465 in MD, \$1,558 in VA, and \$52 in NC.

Combined Impacts of Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Alternatives

Potential revenue losses in 2003 could differ for party/charter vessels that land more than one of the regulated species. The cumulative maximum gross revenue loss per vessel varies by the combination of permits held and by state. In RI, for example, revenue losses could reach \$993 for vessels that land all three species in 2003, compared to expected revenues for 2002. However, in MD, where the contribution of black sea bass to the total catch by party/charter vessels is nearly twice as high as it is for other states, a vessel that lands all three species could potentially lose up to a maximum of \$39,417 in 2003. On average, the largest potential losses were projected for party/charter vessels operating out of MA, NJ, DE, and MD in 2003.

Small Entity Compliance Guide

Section 212 of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA) states that, for each rule or group of related rules for which an agency is required to prepare a FRFA, the agency shall publish one or more guides to assist small entities in complying with the rule, and shall designate such publications as ≥small entity compliance guides.≥ The agency shall explain the actions a small entity is required to take to comply with a rule or group of rules. As part of this rulemaking process, a small entity compliance guide (the guide) was prepared. Copies of the guide will be sent to all holders of Federal party/charter permits issued for the summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass fisheries. The guide will be available on the Internet at <http://www.nero.noaa.gov>. Copies of the guide can also be obtained from the Regional Administrator (see ADDRESSES).

List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 648

Fisheries, Fishing, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: July 22, 2003.

John Oliver,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Operations, National Marine Fisheries Service.

■ For the reasons set out in the preamble, 50 CFR part 648 is amended as follows:

PART 648—FISHERIES OF THE NORTHEASTERN UNITED STATES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 648 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

■ 2. In § 648.105, the first sentence of paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 648.105 Possession restrictions.

(a) Unless otherwise specified pursuant to § 648.107, no person shall possess more than four summer flounder in, or harvested from, the EEZ, unless that person is the owner or operator of a fishing vessel issued a summer flounder moratorium permit, or is issued a summer flounder dealer permit. * * *

* * * * *

■ 3. In § 648.107, the first sentence of the introductory text is revised to read as follows:

§ 648.107 Conservation equivalent measures for the summer flounder fishery.

(a) The Regional Administrator has determined that the recreational fishing measures proposed to be implemented by the states of Maine through North

Carolina for 2003 are the conservation equivalent of the season, minimum size and possession limit prescribed in §§ 648.102, 648.103 and 648.105(a), respectively. * * *

* * * * *

■ 4. In § 648.122, paragraph (g) is revised to read as follows:

§ 648.122 Time and area restrictions.

* * * * *

(g) *Time restrictions.* Vessels that are not eligible for a moratorium permit under § 648.4(a)(6), and fishermen subject to the possession limit, may not possess scup, except from January 1 through February 28 and from July 1 through November 30. This time period may be adjusted pursuant to the procedures in § 648.120.

■ 5. In § 648.125, the first sentence of paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 648.125 Possession limit.

(a) No person shall possess more than 50 scup in, or harvested from, the EEZ unless that person is the owner or operator of a fishing vessel issued a scup moratorium permit, or is issued a scup dealer permit. * * *

* * * * *

■ 6. Section 648.142 is revised to read as follows:

§ 648.142 Time restrictions.

Vessels that are not eligible for a moratorium permit under § 648.4(a)(7), and fishermen subject to the possession limit, may not possess black sea bass, except from January 1 through September 1 and September 16 through November 30. This time period may be adjusted pursuant to the procedures in § 648.140.

■ 7. In § 648.143, paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:

§ 648.143 Minimum sizes.

* * * * *

(b) The minimum size for black sea bass is 12 inches (30.5 cm) TL for all vessels that do not qualify for a moratorium permit, and for party boats holding a moratorium permit, if fishing with passengers for hire or carrying more than five crew members, and for charter boats holding a moratorium permit, if fishing with more than three crew members. The minimum size may be adjusted for recreational vessels pursuant to the procedures in § 648.140.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 03-19133 Filed 7-25-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S