

771(4)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1677(4)(B)).

(6) A list of all known and currently operating U.S. importers of the Subject Merchandise and producers of the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Countries that currently export or have exported Subject Merchandise to the United States or other countries since 1997.

(7) If you are a U.S. producer of the Domestic Like Product, provide the following information on your firm's operations on that product during calendar year 2002 (report quantity data in short tons and value data in U.S. dollars, f.o.b. plant). If you are a union/worker group or trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms in which your workers are employed/which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. production of the Domestic Like Product accounted for by your firm's(s') production;

(b) The quantity and value of U.S. commercial shipments of the Domestic Like Product produced in your U.S. plant(s); and

(c) The quantity and value of U.S. internal consumption/company transfers of the Domestic Like Product produced in your U.S. plant(s).

(8) If you are a U.S. importer or a trade/business association of U.S. importers of the Subject Merchandise from the Subject Countries, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 2002 (report quantity data in short tons and value data in U.S. dollars). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) The quantity and value (landed, duty-paid but not including antidumping or countervailing duties) of U.S. imports and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. imports of Subject Merchandise from each of the Subject Countries accounted for by your firm's(s') imports;

(b) The quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or countervailing duties) of U.S. commercial shipments of Subject Merchandise imported from each of the Subject Countries; and

(c) The quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or countervailing duties) of U.S. internal consumption/company transfers of Subject Merchandise imported from each of the Subject Countries.

(9) If you are a producer, an exporter, or a trade/business association of

producers or exporters of the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Countries, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 2002 (report quantity data in short tons and value data in U.S. dollars, landed and duty-paid at the U.S. port but not including antidumping or countervailing duties). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total production of Subject Merchandise in each of the Subject Countries accounted for by your firm's(s') production; and

(b) The quantity and value of your firm's(s') exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise from each of the Subject Countries accounted for by your firm's(s') exports.

(10) Identify significant changes, if any, in the supply and demand conditions or business cycle for the Domestic Like Product that have occurred in the United States or in the market for the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Countries since the Order Date, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase production (including the shift of production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the Domestic Like Product produced in the United States, Subject Merchandise produced in the Subject Countries, and such merchandise from other countries.

(11) (Optional) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the Domestic Like Product and Domestic Industry; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published

pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: July 25, 2003.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 03-19650 Filed 7-31-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-483]

In the Matter of Certain Tool Holders, Tool Sets, and Components Therefor; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review An Initial Determination Finding No Violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 and Terminating the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ's") initial determination ("ID") finding no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 and terminating the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Clara Kuehn, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3012. Copies of the ALJ's ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation by notice published in the **Federal Register** on December 27, 2002, in response to a complaint filed on behalf of Allen-Pal, LLC of San Jose and Los Gatos, California. 67 FR 79,148 (2002).

The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States and the sale within the United States after importation of certain tool handles, tool holders, tool sets, and components therefor by reason of infringement of claims 1, 2, 11, 12, 23, 24, 28, 29, and 30 of U.S. Patent No. 5,911,799 ("the '799 patent") and claims 1, 14, 18, 19, 34, 37, 40, and 41 of U.S. Patent No. 6,311,587 ("the '587 patent"). Id. The Commission named two respondents, Danaher Corporation of Washington, DC, and Danaher Tool Group of Hunt Valley, Maryland (collectively, "Danaher").

On April 22, 2003, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 7) terminating the investigation with respect to claims 2, 28, 29, and 30 of the '799 patent and with respect to claim 18 of the '587 patent. On April 24, 2003, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 8) amending the complaint and notice of investigation to add as respondents Hi-Five Products Developing Company of Taichung, Taiwan ("Hi-Five"), and Bobby Hu, of Taichung, Taiwan. Those IDs were not reviewed by the Commission.

On May 27, 2003, the Commission investigative attorney ("IA") moved, pursuant to Commission rule 210.15(a), for a summary determination of no violation based upon non-infringement of asserted claims 1, 11, 12, 23, and 24 of the '799 patent and asserted claims 1, 14, 19, 34, 37, 40, and 41 of the '587 patent, the only claims remaining in issue, by the accused tool handles, tool holders, and tool sets imported into and sold in the United States by Danaher. The IA noted that these are the same products that respondents Hi-Five and Hu are accused of selling.

On June 10, 2003, complainant and Danaher filed a joint motion pursuant to Commission rule 210.21(a) and (b) to terminate Danaher as a respondent on the basis of a settlement agreement. On June 11, 2003, Danaher filed a response stating that it would not submit a substantive response to the IA's motion for summary determination in light of the pending joint motion for termination of the investigation based on a settlement agreement. On June 11, 2003, complainant filed its opposition to the IA's motion for summary determination. On June 13, 2003, the IA filed a motion for leave to reply to complainant's opposition with attached reply. On June 18, 2003, complainant filed a reply opposition.

On June 20, 2003, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 14) granting the IA's motion for summary determination and terminating the investigation. The ID found no violation of section 337 by

reason of no infringement by any respondent of any of the 12 patent claims remaining in issue in the investigation. The ALJ noted that the June 10, 2003, joint motion for termination was pending before him. ID at 1 n.2. On June 26, 2003, complainant filed a motion for extension of time to file a petition for review of the ID. On June 27, 2003, the Chairman granted the motion and extended complainant's deadline for filing a petition for review until July 3, 2003. On July 2, 2003, the Commission extended the deadline for determining whether to review the ID until Wednesday, August 13, 2003. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's rules of practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: July 28, 2003.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 03-19611 Filed 7-31-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA")

Notice is hereby given that on July 11, 2003, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. BNZ Materials, Inc. et al.*, Civil Action No. 00-527-M ("Consent Decree"), was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire.

The proposed Consent Decree resolves the United States' claims against four defendants for the recovery of costs incurred by the United States in response to releases and threatened releases of friable asbestos, a hazardous substance at the Site pursuant to sections 107(a) and 113 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Recovery Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a) and 9613 pertaining to the Johns Manville Manufacturing Plant Superfund Site, located in Nashua, New Hampshire (the "Site"). The United States incurred approximately \$4,600,000 in past response costs, including enforcement costs and interest, relating to the Site. Under this Consent Decree, the defendants will pay \$2,500,000 plus interest within 30 days of entry of the Consent Decree, to

resolve their liability for past costs at the Site.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States v. BNZ Materials, Inc. et al.*, D.J. Ref. 90-11-2-07309.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 55 Pleasant Street, Concord, New Hampshire 03301-3904 (contact Civil Chief, Assistant U.S. Attorney Gretchen Witt), and at the U.S. EPA Region I, One Congress Street, Boston, Massachusetts, 02114 (contact Assistant Regional Attorney Steven Schlang). During the public comment period, the Consent Decree, may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$7.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury.

Ron Kluck,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 03-19440 Filed 7-31-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

George Minor Meredith, II, M.D. Revocation of Registration

On April 22, 2002, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to George Minor Meredith, M.D. (Respondent) of Great Bend, Kansas, notifying him of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not revoke his DEA Certificate of Registration, AM8703995 under 21 U.S.C. 824(a), and deny any pending applications for renewal or modification of that registration. As a