

entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4). This rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely approves a state rule implementing a Federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

B. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small

Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

C. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by October 6, 2003. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action to approve revisions to the contingency measures for the Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley ozone maintenance plan may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: June 30, 2003.

Thomas C. Voltaggio,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.

■ 40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart 2020—Pennsylvania

■ 2. Section 52.2020 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(210) to read as follows:

§ 52.2020 Identification of plan.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(210) Revisions to the Pennsylvania Regulations which include amendments to the 2001 Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley ozone maintenance plan submitted on April 11, 2003 by the Pennsylvania

Department of Environmental Protection:

(i) Incorporation by reference.

(A) Letter of April 11, 2003 from the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection transmitting revisions to the Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley ozone maintenance plan.

(B) Amendments to the Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley ozone maintenance plan which add sections E-2 and E-3, effective April 2003.

(ii) Additional Material.—Remainder of the State submittal pertaining to the revisions listed in paragraph (c)(210)(i) of this section.

[FR Doc. 03-19739 Filed 8-4-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[NC-97-200319(w); FRL-7539-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans for North Carolina: Withdrawal of Direct Final Rule

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Withdrawal of direct final rule.

SUMMARY: Due to adverse comment, EPA is withdrawing the direct final rule published June 6, 2003, (*see* 68 FR 33873) approving revisions to the North Carolina State Implementation Plan. The purpose of the revision to rule 15A NCAC 2D.0521 was to provide sources using continuous opacity monitors (COM) the same opportunity to comply with the visible emissions rule as sources that do not use COM devices. EPA stated in the direct final rule that if EPA received adverse comment by July 7, 2003, the rule would be withdrawn and not take effect. EPA subsequently received adverse comment. EPA will address the comment in a subsequent final action based upon the proposed action published on June 6, 2003 (*see* 68 FR 33898). EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action.

DATES: The direct final rule is withdrawn as of August 5, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rosymar De La Torre Colón, Air Planning Branch, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. Phone number: 404/562-8965; E-mail: delatorre.rosymar@epa.gov.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: July 25, 2003.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 03-19926 Filed 8-4-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**40 CFR Part 63**

[FRN-7539-5]

RIN 2060-AK71

Amendments to Project XL Site-Specific Rulemaking for Georgia-Pacific Corporation's Facility in Big Island, VA

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is publishing this site-specific rule to implement a project under the Project eXcellence and Leadership (Project XL) program, an EPA initiative which encourages regulated entities to achieve better environmental results at decreased costs at their facilities. As part of the Project XL program, EPA is supporting a project for Georgia-Pacific Corporation's pulp and paper mill located in Big Island, Virginia. Under the project, Georgia-Pacific will attempt the first United States commercial scale demonstration of black liquor gasification, a new technology for the treatment of black liquor wastes that promises significantly lower air emissions and greater energy efficiency compared to conventional treatment methods. The technology, including its environmental and energy benefits, potentially is transferable to the rest of the pulp and paper industry.

As part of its support for the project, EPA issued a site-specific rule on March 26, 2001 (66 FR 16400) that amended a Clean Air Act hazardous air pollutant standard applicable to the Big Island facility. Those amendments, in part, provided Georgia-Pacific's facility up to an additional three years (from March 13, 2004, to March 1, 2007) to comply with the standard in the event the black liquor gasification system fails and the company must revert to installation of conventional means of controlling

emissions from black liquor treatment. Without the amendments, Georgia-Pacific would not have undertaken the project.

At this time, construction is well underway on the new gasification system. However, Georgia-Pacific has experienced certain, largely unavoidable, delays in construction. The delays have been significant enough that the company now projects starting-up the system about one year later than originally anticipated. As a result, Georgia-Pacific has requested that EPA extend the compliance date flexibility up to one year longer than provided in the original Project XL site-specific rule. After reviewing all information concerning Georgia-Pacific's request, we believe it appropriate to amend the original site-specific rule. This action amends the original compliance extension and allows Georgia-Pacific up to March 1, 2008 to comply with the standard, in the event the gasification system fails.

DATES: This direct final rule will be effective on November 3, 2003 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comments by September 4, 2003. If EPA receives adverse comment, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** informing the public that this rule will not take effect.

Public Comments. Comments on this direct final rulemaking must be received on or before September 4, 2003. All comments should be submitted in writing or electronically according to the directions below in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

Public Hearing. Commenters may request a public hearing no later than August 19, 2003. Commenters requesting a public hearing should specify the basis for their request.

If EPA determines that there is sufficient reason to hold a public hearing, it will be held on September 8, 2003, at 10 a.m. Requests to present oral testimony must be made by August 25, 2003.

ADDRESSES: To make comments by mail, send (two) 2 copies of your comments to the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mailcode: 6102T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC, 20460, Attention Docket ID No. A-2002-0072. Comments also may be submitted electronically, or through hand delivery/courier. Follow the detailed instructions as provided below in I.C. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

Persons interested in requesting a hearing, attending a hearing, or presenting oral testimony at a hearing

should call Mr. David Beck at (919) 541-5421. If a public hearing is held, it will take place at the Big Island Elementary School, 1114 Schooldays Road, Big Island, Virginia.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. David Beck, Office of Environmental Policy Innovation (E-143-02), U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711. Mr. Beck can be reached at 919-541-5421 (or by e-mail at: beck.david@epa.gov). Further information on today's action may also be obtained on the World Wide Web at <http://www.epa.gov/projectxl/>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Outline of Today's Document**

The information presented in this preamble is arranged as follows:

- I. General Information
 - A. Regulated Entities
 - B. How Can I Get Copies Of This Document and Other Related Information?
 - C. How and To Whom Do I Submit Comments?
 - D. How Should I Submit CBI to the Agency?
 - E. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?
- II. Authority
- III. Background
 - A. What is Project XL?
 - B. Description of Big Island Facility
- IV. The Georgia-Pacific XL Project
 - A. What Are the Basic Elements of the Project?
 - B. What Is the Construction Status Under the Project?
- V. What Regulatory Change Are We Making To Accommodate the Construction Delay?
- VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews
 - A. Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review
 - B. Paperwork Reduction Act
 - C. Regulatory Flexibility Act
 - D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act
 - E. Executive Order 13132: Federalism
 - F. Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments
 - G. Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children from Environmental Health and Safety Risks
 - H. Executive Order 13211: Actions that Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use
 - I. National Technology Transfer Advancement Act
 - J. Executive Order 12898: Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations
 - K. Congressional Review Act

I. General Information**A. Regulated Entities**

This amendment to the Pulp and Paper MACT II applies to a single source, the Georgia-Pacific Corporation's pulp and paper facility in Big Island, Virginia.