the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) minimize the burden on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

To comply with the public consultation process, we published a notice in the **Federal Register** on May 5, 2003 (68 FR 23759), announcing that we would submit this ICR to OMB for approval. The notice provided the required 60-day comment period. We received no comments in response to this notice.

If you wish to comment in response to this notice, you may send your comments to the offices listed under the ADDRESSES section of this notice. OMB has up to 60 days to approve or disapprove the information collection but may respond after 30 days. Therefore, to ensure maximum consideration, OMB should receive public comments by September 4, 2003.

Public Comment Policy: We will post all comments in response to this notice on our Web site at http:// www.mrm.mms.gov/Laws R D/InfoColl/ InfoColCom.htm. We will also make copies of the comments available for public review, including names and addresses of respondents, during regular business hours at our offices in Lakewood, Colorado. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from the public record, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold from the rulemaking record a respondent's identity, as allowable by law. If you request that we withhold your name and/or address, state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. However, we will not consider anonymous comments. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

MMS Information Collection Clearance Officer: Jo Ann Lauterbach, (202) 208–7744.

Dated: July 25, 2003.

### Lucy Querques Denett,

Associate Director for Minerals Revenue Management.

[FR Doc. 03-19914 Filed 8-4-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### **Bureau of Reclamation**

#### Klamath Project, Oregon

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of order establishing prohibitions in areas of Bureau of Reclamation Lands and Projects.

**PURPOSE:** To provide for the safety of the public and protection of government property.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to 43 CFR part 423, Public Conduct on Bureau of Reclamation Lands and Projects, the Bureau of Reclamation is issuing a Closure Order for certain lands and waters of the Klamath Project in the State of Oregon.

In accordance with 43 CFR part 423, Public Conduct on Bureau of Reclamation Lands, Reclamation is publishing the Closure Order in the Federal Register.

**DATES:** immediately and indefinitely. **ADDRESSES:** Klamath Basin Area Office, 6600 Washburn Way, Klamath Falls, Oregon 97603,

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dave Sabo, Area Manager, (541) 883–6935

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This action is being taken under 43 CFR 423, to protect Reclamation facilities and property and to improve public safety. The Order prohibits trespassing, entering, or remaining in or upon the closure areas as described; tampering or attempting to tamper with the facilities, structures or other property located within the closure areas or moving manipulating, or setting in motion any parts thereof; vandalism or destroying, injuring, defacing, or damaging property or real property that is not under one's lawful control or possession. The following areas are closed to public access:

A Canal Headgate Area—The closure area includes all lands, waters and facilities within 100 feet of either side of the centerline of the A Canal which lies between the Highway 97 onramp and the canal's confluence with Upper Klamath Lake. This closure area includes the entire A Canal headgate facility and related structures and buildings, walkways, gate operating mechanisms and all lands surrounding such structures within the described area.

Link River—The closure area includes the entire dam structure and surrounding lands and water 100 feet downstream and 50 feet upstream of the dam and 50 feet from the right and left abutments.

Station 48 Drop—The closure area includes the land, water and facilities within and including the existing fence surrounding the headgate structure.

surrounding the headgate structure. Klamath Basin Area Office
Headquarters Area—The closure area includes the land and facilities immediately adjacent to and south of the KBAO office building and lying within and including the existing chain link fence which is bounded on the north by Joe Wright Road and on the east by Washburn Way and excludes the formal offices of the Fish and Wildlife Service and the Bureau of Reclamation.

The following acts are prohibited on the facilities, lands and waters in the above described closure areas:

- 1. Trespassing, entering, or remaining in or upon the closure areas described above. Exceptions: Operations and Maintenance personnel that have express authorization from Reclamation, law enforcement officers and Reclamation employees acting within the scope of their employment, and any others who have received express written authorization from Reclamation to enter the closure areas.
- 2. Tampering or attempting to tamper with the facilities, structures or other property located within the closure areas or moving, manipulating, or setting in motion any of the parts thereof. Exceptions: see 1 above.
- 3. Vandalism or destroying, injuring, defacing, or damaging property within the closure areas or real property that is not under one's lawful control or possession. This order is posted at the Klamath Basin Area Office, and at closed areas in Klamath Falls, Oregon, in accordance with 43 CFR Part 423.3(b).

Dated: July 29, 2003.

# Christine D. Karas,

Acting Area Manager, Klamath Basin Area Office.

[FR Doc. 03–19837 Filed 8–4–03; 8:45 am]

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

### **Bureau of Reclamation**

# Sacramento Valley Water Management Program—Implementation of Shortterm Projects

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare a programmatic environmental impact statement/environmental impact report (EIS/EIR) and hold public scoping meetings.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) and the California Department of Water Resources (CDWR) propose to prepare a Programmatic EIS/ EIR to analyze the potential effects of the short-term phase of the Sacramento Valley Water Management Program (Short-term Program). The Short-term Program would include implementation of multiple short-term water management projects and other actions. The short-term projects would be implemented by Reclamation, CDWR, and Sacramento Valley water-users, and each project would operate for 10 years after implementation. The programmatic analysis in this EIS/EIR would include, but is not limited to, projects described in the "Sacramento Valley Water Management Agreement Short-term Workplan, October 2001" ("Short-term Workplan"). The purpose of implementing the Short-term Program is to promote better water management in the Sacramento Valley and develop additional water supplies through a cooperative water management partnership. The Short-term Program was developed to resolve water quality and water rights issues as an alternative to determining responsibility for flowrelated water quality objectives of the 1995 Sacramento/San Joaquin Bay-Delta Water Quality Control Plan through a State Water Resources Control Board water rights hearing.

The environmental effects of some short-term projects would also be analyzed at a site-specific level of detail in the Programmatic EIS/EIR, and would constitute the final CEQA or NEPA document for those projects. As many short-term projects as possible would be analyzed at a site-specific level; however, the specific projects to be analyzed at that level are unknown at this time. Specific alternatives have not been identified at this time, and will be developed following scoping. Public scoping meetings regarding the preparation of the Programmatic EIS/ EIR will be conducted as described

below.

This notice is published in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act regulations

found in 40 CFR 1501.7. The purpose of this notice is to obtain suggestions and information from other agencies and the public on the scope of issues to be addressed in the Programmatic EIS/EIR. A similar notice is being published by the CDWR in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act. Comments and participation in this scoping process are encouraged.

DATES: Two public scoping meetings will be held:

- Wednesday, August 20, 2003, 3-5 p.m., Sacramento, CA
- Thursday, August 21, 2003, 3–5 p.m., Colusa, CA

**ADDRESSES:** Scoping meetings will be held at:

• Sacramento at the Expo Inn, 1413 Howe Avenue (just south of Arden Way), The Expo Room.

 Colusa at the Colusa Industrial Properties, 100 Sunrise Boulevard (off Highway 45/20), The Conference Room.

Written comments on the scope of the Short-term Program or issues to be addressed in the EIS/EIR should be sent to the California Department of Water Resources, Attention: John Fielden, Project Manager, P.O. Box 942836, Sacramento, CA 94236-0001 by September 5, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Fielden with CDWR via e-mail at *jfielden@water.ca.gov* or by calling (916) 651-7053 or Robert Eckart with Reclamation via e-mail at reckart@mp.usbr.gov or by calling (916) 978-5051. Additional information may also be found on the CDWR Web site at www.water.ca.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As an alternative to participating in the State Water Resources Control Board's Phase 8 Bay-Delta Water Rights Hearings, Reclamation, CDWR, and numerous Sacramento Valley and export water interests entered into the "Short-term Agreement to Guide Implementation of the Short-term Water Management Actions to Meet Local Water Supply Needs and to Make Water Available to the SWP and CVP to Assist In Meeting the Requirements of the 1995 Water Quality Control Plan and to Resolve Phase 8 Issues' (the Short-term Settlement Agreement). The Short-term

Settlement Agreement established a process by which parties collaborate in the development and implementation of a variety of projects and actions to help meet flow-related water quality objectives established for the Sacramento/San Joaquin Bay-Delta, meet local water needs, and improve water supplies throughout the State.

Five categories of short-term projects and actions will be considered in the Short-term Program EIS/EIR:

- Water Management—includes groundwater substitution in lieu of surface water supplies, conjunctive use of groundwater and surface water, refurbish existing groundwater extraction wells, install groundwater monitoring stations, and install new groundwater extraction wells (some actions include construction of facilities)
- Reservoir Re-operation—includes changes in the operational diagrams and schedules for reservoirs in the Sacramento River watershed
- System Improvement—includes canal lining, tailwater recovery, and improved operations (some actions include construction of facilities)
- Surface Water and Groundwater Planning—includes studies, modeling, monitoring, and area wide inventory or assessment (actions could include minor construction of facilities for monitoring and testing purposes)
- Other Actions—includes potential water transfer actions and/or actions with substantial regulatory/institutional requirements (does not involve construction of facilities).

The effects of implementing the Short-term Program (short-term projects and actions) will be evaluated at the programmatic level. The known shortterm projects proposed throughout the Sacramento Valley are presented in Table 1. In addition to the programmatic analysis, some proposed projects would also be analyzed at a site-specific level to allow for their immediate implementation. As many projects as possible would be analyzed at a sitespecific level of review; however, the specific projects to be analyzed at that level are unknown at this time.

TABLE 1.—PROPOSED SHORT-TERM WATER MANAGEMENT PROJECTS TO BE ANALYZED IN THE PROGRAMMATIC EIS/EIR\*

Project name (type)	Proponent	County	Description
Redding Sub-basin: Conjunctive Use Program (Water Management)	Anderson-Cottonwood Irri-	Shasta County	Construct monitoring and extraction wells

Table 1.—Proposed Short-term Water Management Projects To Be Analyzed in the Programmatic EIS/ EIR\*—Continued

Project name (type)	Proponent	County	Description
Churn Creek Lateral Improvements (System Improvement).	Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation District.	Shasta County	Replace leaky canal lateral with pipeline in the reach east of the Sacramento River to eliminate seep- age and spills.
Main Canal Modernization Project (System Improvement).	Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation District.	Shasta County	Construct canal improve- ments to eliminate spills and reduce diversions.
Redding Basin Water Resources Management Plan (Surface Water/Groundwater Planning).	Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation District.	Shasta County	Complete Phase 2C— Water Supply and Man- agement Alternatives, part of multi-step plan- ning process.
Feather/Butte Sub-basin: Integrated Watershed and Resource Conservation Program (Surface Water/Groundwater Planning).	Butte County	Butte County	Integrated watershed and resource conservation, groundwater monitoring and modeling, forecast water use.
Groundwater Monitoring Program (Surface Water/ Groundwater Planning).	Butte County	Butte County	Install additional monitoring wells and extensometers, moni- toring.
Groundwater Modeling Program (Surface Water/ Groundwater Planning).	Butte County	Butte County	Update existing model to support decision-making about groundwater re- sources, as well as over- all water resources man- agement in the County.
Sutter-Butte Main Canal Lining Project (System Improvement).	Sutter Extension Water District, Gridley Water District, Richvale Irrigation District.	Butte and Sutter Counties	Conduct field study, obtain environmental permits, develop final construc- tion drawings, construct.
Concow Dam (Reservoir Reoperation)	Thermalito Irrigation District.	Butte County	Feasibility study for raising existing concrete dam.
Water Management Project (Water Management) $\dots$ Colusa Sub-basin:	RD 1004	Colusa County	Install extraction wells.
Development of Conjunctive Water Management Facilities (Water Management).  Conjunctive Use Project (Water Management)	Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District. Maxwell Irrigation District	Glenn and Colusa Counties. Colusa County	Fully use private land- owner wells.  Test-hole drilling, evalua- tion and production well construction and testing,
Stony Creek Fan Conjunctive Water Management Program (Water Management).	Orland-Artois Water District, Orland Unit Water Users' Association, Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District.	Glenn County	groundwater monitoring. Feasibility study, ground- water production inves- tigation, groundwater monitoring program, in- tegrated groundwater/ surface water model, and outreach plan.
Pilot Well Development/Conjunctive Management Project (Water Management).	RD 108	Colusa and Yolo Counties	Development of production well and analysis of basin expenses.
Tehama-Colusa Canal Extension (Water Management and System Improvement).	Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority Yolo-Zamora Water District.	Colusa and Yolo Counties	Prepare hydrologic and concept reports, conduct preliminary design, and collect information for project-specific environmental analysis.
Glen County Groundwater Monitoring Program and Model Development (Surface Water/Groundwater Planning).	Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District.	Glenn County	Develop groundwater data clearinghouse, analyze existing data, design monitoring program, in- stall new monitoring wells, develop ground- water model.
Water Inventory and Analysis (Surface Water/ Groundwater Planning).	Tehama County	Tehama County	Information gathering process and analysis.

Table 1.—Proposed Short-term Water Management Projects To Be Analyzed in the Programmatic EIS/ EIR\*—Continued

Project name (type)	Proponent	County	Description
Feasibility Study: Regulatory Reservoirs and Off- canal Storage (Surface Water/Groundwater Plan- ning).	Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District.	Glenn and Colusa Counties.	Feasibility study.
Flow Measurement Devices in Main Canal, Lateral System, and Drain Outflow Points/Existing Automation Program (System Improvement).	Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District.	Glenn and Colusa Counties.	Permitting, design, and construction of 12 flow measurement devices at previously identified system outflow points/permitting, design, and construction of 5 Main Canal check structures.
Regional Water Use Efficiency Project (System Improvement).	Orland Unit Water Users Association and Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority.	Glenn County	Conduct feasibility studies, build pilot projects, and begin conceptual design of regional pipeline.
Development of Conveyance Alternatives for TCCA Emergency Water Supplies (System Improve- ment).	Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority.	Colusa County	Feasibility study for Stony Creek Conveyance op- tions; investigate an in- terim solution to operate a constant head orifice; agency coordination and permit planning.
Tehama-Colusa Canal Conveyance of Water to Sites Reservoir (System Improvement).	Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority.	Glenn and Colusa Counties.	Feasibility study, review ability of Tehama-Colusa Canal to convey potential water to a Sites Reservoir.
Antelope Creek Retention Basin Feasibility Study (Surface Water/Groundwater Planning).	Tehama County Flood Control and Water Conservation District.	Tehama County	Feasibility study for con- struction of a retention basin.
Water Management Project (Water Management)	Princeton-Codora-Glenn Ir- rigation District.	Glenn and Colusa Counties.	Construct one groundwater extraction well.
Water Management Project (Water Management)	Provident Irrigation District	Glenn and Colusa Counties.	Construct one groundwater extraction well.
Water Management Project (Water Management)	River Garden Farms	Yolo County	Construct three ground- water extraction wells.
Yuba Sub-basin: Coordinated Operations Project (Surface Water/ Groundwater Planning).	Yuba County Water Agency.	Yuba County	Feasibility investigation of water supply benefits for out-of-county use, environmental and Endangered Species Act assessment and potential increased flood control benefits.
Conjunctive Use and Water Management Project (Water Management.	Brown's Valley Irrigation District.	Yuba County	Development of four groundwater production wells in lower portion of district and a lift pump and conveyance pipe to supply water to upper end of district.
Conjunctive Use Project (Water Management)	Yuba County Water Agen- cy.	Yuba County	Installation of extraction wells.
Sutter Sub-basin: Grounddwater Monitoring Program (Surface Water/Groundwater Planning).	Sutter Mutual Water Company.	Sutter County	Additional monitoring well, monitoring and data col- lection.
Watershed Assessment and Monitoring Program (Surface Water/Groundwater Planning).	Sutter County	Sutter County	Information gathering process and analysis.
Groundwater Management Plan (Surface Water/ Groundwater Planning).	Sutter County	Sutter County	Information gathering process and analysis.
Irrigation Recycle Project (System Improvement)	Sutter Mutual Water Company, RD 1500.	Sutter County	Feasibility analysis of a tailwater recovery system.
Canal Lining (System Improvement)	Sutter Mutual Water Company.	Sutter County	Canal lining to reduce diversions, eliminate spills.
Ground Water Development (Water Management)	Pelger Mutual Water Company.	Sutter County	Construct two groundwater extraction wells.

TABLE 1.—PROPOSED SHORT-TERM WATER MANAGEMENT PROJECTS TO BE ANALYZED IN THE PROGRAMMATIC EIS/ EIR\*—Continued

Project name (type)	Proponent	County	Description
Water Management Project (Water Management)	Meridian Farms	Sutter County	Installation of extraction wells.
American Sub-basin:	Nataras Cantral Mutual	Sacramento and Sutter	Duran aviation walls mani
Conjunctive Use Project (Water Management)	Natomas Central Mutual Water Company.	Counties.	Pump existing wells, monitoring and analyzing results after one season.
Water Management Project (Water Management)	Pleasant Grove Verona Mutual Water Company.	Sutter County	Installation of extraction wells.
Re-operation of the Middle Fork Project (Reservoir Re-operation). Yolo Sub-basin:	Placer County Water Agency.	Placer County	Re-operate primary storage reservoirs.
Conjunctive Use Project Feasibility Study for Expanding Surface Water Supplies to the Yolo-Zamora Water District (Surface Water/Groundwater Planning and System Improvement).	Yolo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District.	Yolo County	Feasibiliy study for ex- panding surface water supplies to Yolo Zamora.
Conjunctive Use Project Feasibility Study for Expanding Surface Water Supplies to Agricultural Water Users in Areas (Surface Water/Groundwater Planning and System Improvement).	Yolo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District.	Yolo County	Feasibility study for ex- panding surface water supplies to Agricultural areas northwest of Woodland.
Groundwater Quality Monitoring Program (Surface Water/Groundwater Planning).	Yolo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District.	Yolo County	Development of a ground- water-quality monitoring program.
Delta Sub-basin: Conjunctive Use Proposal (Water Management)	RD 2068	Yolo County	Develop a single production well to determine conjunctive use potential.
Sacramento Valley: Sub-basin-level Water Measurement (Surface Water/Groundwater Planning).	Participants in the Basin wide Management Plan.	Sacramento Valley—Various Counties.	Feasibility study, design and construction of water measurement facilities.

<sup>\*</sup>The effects analysis in the Programmatic EIS/EIR would not be limited to these projects, and would include all short-term projects and actions that could be proposed under the Sacramento Valley Water Management Program.

This Programmatic EIS/EIR is expected to analyze the adverse and beneficial effects of implementing the Short-term Program on these environmental resources: surface water, water quality, fisheries, wildlife, vegetation, special-status species, landuse, cultural resources, air quality, noise, recreation, energy, visual impacts, and socioeconomic conditions. Analysis presented in the Programmatic EIS/EIR will also determine if environmental justice issues are associated with the Short-term Program. Although there are Indian Trust Assets (ITAs) in the counties where these projects are proposed, any association between these ITAs and the proposed projects and actions is unknown at this time. The following is a list of tribal trust land, per county where these projects are proposed:

- Shasta County—Redding Rancheria
- Butte County—Berry Creek Rancheria, Enterprise Rancheria, Mooretown Rancheria
- Glenn County—Grindstone Rancheria
- Colusa County—Colusa Rancheria, Cortina Rancheria

It is Reclamation's practice to make comments on a Notice of Intent, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There may also be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Dated: July 30, 2003.

#### Robert Eckart,

Chief, Environmental Compliance Branch, Mid-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 03–19841 Filed 8–4–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation 332-454]

Remediation and Nature and Landscape Protection Services: An Overview of U.S. and Foreign Markets

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Institution of Investigation and scheduling of public hearing.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 22, 2003. **SUMMARY:** Following receipt of a request on July 1, 2003 from the United States Trade Representative (USTR), the Commission instituted Investigation No. 332–454, Remediation and Nature and Landscape Protection Services: An Examination of U.S. and Foreign Markets, under section 332(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1332(g)).

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Information specific to this investigation may be obtained from Jennifer Baumert, Project Leader (202–502–3450; jbaumert@usitc.gov), or Richard Brown, Chief, Services and Investment Division