

Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9622, notice is hereby given that on July 29, 2003, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Reynolds Metal Co.*, Civil Action No. 03-CV-0952, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York.

In this action the United States, on behalf of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), sought reimbursement of response costs incurred with respect to the Reynolds Metals Company Study Area (the "Site") in Massena, St. Lawrence County, New York. The Complaint alleges that the defendant is liable under Section 107(a), 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), of CERCLA. Pursuant to the consent decree, defendant will pay \$1,523,965.31 plus interest to reimburse the United States for costs incurred by EPA at the Site.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States v. Reynolds Metals Co.*, D.J. Ref. 90-11-3-07968.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, James T. Foley Federal Building, 445 Broadway, Albany, New York, New York, 12207, and at U.S. EPA Region II, 290 Broadway, 17th Floor, New York, 10007-1866. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree, may also be examined on the following Department of Justice website, <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov) fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$5.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury.

Catherine McCabe,

Deputy Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 03-20071 Filed 8-6-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Portland Cement Association

Notice is hereby given that, on July 21, 2003, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Portland Cement Association ("PCA") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing a change in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Holcim Group Support (Canada) Ltd., Mississauga, Ontario, CANADA is no longer a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and PCA intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On January 7, 1985, PCA filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on February 5, 1985 (50 FR 5015).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 31, 2003. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 3, 2003 (68 FR 10034).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 03-20191 Filed 8-6-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-51,836]

Advanced Energy Industries Core Manufacturing Including Leased Workers of ADECCO Staffing, Fort Collins, CO; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on June

16, 2003, applicable to workers of Advanced Energy Industries, Core Manufacturing, Fort Collins, Colorado. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on July 3, 2003 (68 FR 39977).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm.

Information provided by the company shows that leased workers of Adecco Staffing were employed at Advanced Energy Industries, Core Manufacturing to produce printed circuit board assemblies at the Fort Collins, Colorado location of the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include leased workers of Adecco Staffing, Fort Collins, Colorado employed at Advanced Energy Industries, Core Manufacturing, Fort Collins, Colorado.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Advanced Energy Industries, Core Manufacturing who were adversely affected by the shift in production to China and Malaysia.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-51,836 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Advanced Energy Industries, Core Manufacturing, Fort Collins, Colorado including leased workers of Adecco Staffing, Fort Collins, Colorado engaged in employment related to the production of printed circuit board assemblies at Advanced Energy Industries, Core Manufacturing, Fort Collins, Colorado, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after May 13, 2002, through June 16, 2005, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC this 24th day of July, 2003.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-20100 Filed 8-6-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,823]

Alcoa Composition Foils, Pevely, MO; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of May 23, 2003, petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for

Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice was signed on April 28, 2003 and published in the **Federal Register** on May 9, 2003 (68 FR 25060).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition, filed on behalf of workers at Alcoa Composition Foils, Pevely, Missouri, engaged in the production of lead and tin foil for the medical, dental and x-ray industries, was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974 was not met. The "contributed importantly" test is generally demonstrated through a survey of the workers' firm's customers. The Department conducted a survey of the subject firm's major customers regarding their purchases of competitive products in 2001, 2002, and January through March 2003. The respondents reported no increased imports. The subject firm did not increase its reliance on imports of lead and tin foil during the relevant period, nor did they shift production to a foreign source.

The petitioner alleges that the subject firm was sold to a foreign company which is currently supplying the subject firm customers with products like or directly competitive with those produced at the subject firm.

As established in the initial investigation, neither the company nor its customers reported importing like or directly competitive products during the relevant period of the investigation. Should the petitioners wish the Department to investigate a more recent period, they would be advised to file a new petition.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 25th day of July, 2003.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-20114 Filed 8-6-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-51,659]

Brookline, Inc., Charlotte, North Carolina; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of July 7, 2003, a company official requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on June 23, 2003, and published in the **Federal Register** on July 10, 2003 (68 FR 41179).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition for the workers of Brookline, Inc., Charlotte, North Carolina was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The "contributed importantly" test is generally demonstrated through a survey of customers of the workers' firm. The survey revealed that none of the respondents increased their purchases of knit fabric. The company did not import knit fabric in the relevant period nor did it shift production to a foreign country.

The company official states that his business, as well as the cut and sew businesses he sells to, have been displaced as a result of retailers purchasing finished apparel abroad. The official concludes that the subject firm is obviously import impacted as a result of this.

In assessing import impact, the Department considers imports of like or directly competitive products (in this case, knit fabrics) to determine import impact. Thus, the imports of apparel are not relevant in determining import impact in a primary investigation of these workers. The imports of apparel would be relative in determining secondary impact on the subject firm workers if the subject firm supplied knit fabric to customers producing apparel who were under active TAA certification. The Department examined whether the subject workers were eligible for trade adjustment assistance under secondary impact and determined that only a negligible amount of the customer base was trade-affected.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC this 24th day of July, 2003.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-20110 Filed 8-6-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-51,548]

Cypress Semiconductor Design Center, Colorado Springs, CO; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of July 9, 2003, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice applicable to workers of Cypress Semiconductor Design Center, Colorado Springs, Colorado was signed on June 25, 2003, and published in the **Federal Register** on July 10, 2003 (68 FR 41179).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;