

of the Commissioner pursuant to paragraph (d)(2)(iii)(C) of this section. In the case of a qualified cost sharing arrangement in existence on August 26, 2003, the election must be made by written amendment to the cost sharing agreement not later than the latest due date (with regard to extensions) of a Federal income tax return of any controlled participant for the first taxable year beginning after August 26, 2003, and the consent of the Commissioner is not required.

(C) *Consistency.* Generally, all controlled participants in a qualified cost sharing arrangement taking options on publicly traded stock into account under paragraph (d)(2)(iii)(A) or (B) of this section must use that same method of measurement and timing for all options on publicly traded stock with respect to that qualified cost sharing arrangement. Controlled participants may change their method only with the consent of the Commissioner and only with respect to stock options granted during taxable years subsequent to the taxable year in which the Commissioner's consent is obtained. All controlled participants in the qualified cost sharing arrangement must join in requests for the Commissioner's consent under this paragraph. Thus, for example, if the controlled participants make the election described in paragraph (d)(2)(iii)(B) of this section upon the formation of the qualified cost sharing arrangement, the election may be revoked only with the consent of the Commissioner, and the consent will apply only to stock options granted in taxable years subsequent to the taxable year in which consent is obtained. Similarly, if controlled participants already have granted stock options that have been or will be taken into account under the general rule of paragraph (d)(2)(iii)(A) of this section, then except in cases specified in the last sentence of paragraph (d)(2)(iii)(B)(2) of this section, the controlled participants may make the election described in paragraph (d)(2)(iii)(B) of this section only with the consent of the Commissioner, and the consent will apply only to stock options granted in taxable years subsequent to the taxable year in which consent is obtained.

\* \* \* \* \*

(j) \* \* \*

(2) \* \* \*

(i) \* \* \*

(F) The amount taken into account as operating expenses attributable to stock-based compensation, including the method of measurement and timing used with respect to that amount as well as the data, as of date of grant, used to

identify stock-based compensation related to the development of covered intangibles.

\* \* \* \* \*

(k) *Effective date.* This section applies for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 1996. However, paragraphs (a)(3), (d)(2) and (j)(2)(i)(F) of this section apply for stock-based compensation granted in taxable years beginning on or after August 26, 2003.

\* \* \* \* \*

## PART 602—OMB CONTROL NUMBERS UNDER THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT

■ **Par. 9.** The authority citation for part 602 continues to read as follows:

*Authority:* 26 U.S.C. 7805

■ **Par. 10.** In § 602.101, paragraph (b) is amended by adding an entry in numerical order to the table to read in part as follows:

### § 602.101 OMB Control numbers.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

CFR part or section where identified and described	Current OMB control No.
* * *	* *
1.482-7 .....	1545-1794
* * *	* *

**Robert E. Wenzel,**

*Deputy Commissioner for Services and Enforcement.*

Approved: August 11, 2003.

**Pamela F. Olson,**

*Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.*

[FR Doc. 03-21355 Filed 8-25-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4830-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 117

[CGD11-03-004]

RIN 1625-AA09

### Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Islais Creek, San Francisco, CA

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commander, Eleventh Coast Guard District is temporarily changing the regulation governing the Third Street Drawbridge, mile 0.4 Islais Creek, San Francisco, CA. The

drawbridge need not open for vessel traffic and may remain in the closed-to-navigation position to allow seismic retrofit and rehabilitation of the bridge.

**DATES:** This temporary rule is effective from 12:01 a.m., September 3, 2003 until 12:01 a.m., September 2, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Documents referred to in this temporary rule are available for inspection and copying at Commander (oan), Eleventh Coast Guard District, Building 50-3, Coast Guard Island, Alameda, CA 94501-5100, between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David H. Sulouff, Chief, Bridge Section, Eleventh Coast Guard District, telephone (510) 437-3516.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Good Cause for Not Publishing an NPRM

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM. This rule is being promulgated without an NPRM because drawspan openings at this bridge are infrequent, the proposal has been thoroughly coordinated with the waterway users and it would be impracticable, unnecessary and contrary to the public interest to delay the proposed project start date.

#### Good Cause for Making Rule Effective in Less Than 30 Days

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** because the event has been thoroughly coordinated with waterway users, no objections were received and there is no justification to deny the request or delay the proposed project.

#### Background and Purpose

The City of San Francisco requested a temporary change to the operation of the Third Street Bridge, mile 0.4 Islais Creek, in San Francisco, California. The bridge provides 4.4 feet minimum vertical clearance above mean high water in the closed-to-navigation position. Navigation on the waterway consists primarily of recreational watercraft. Presently, the draw is required to open on signal if at least one hour advance notice is given. The bridge was last opened for recreational waterway traffic on July 1, 2001. The City requested the drawbridge be allowed to remain closed to navigation from 12:01 a.m., September 3, 2003 until 12:01 a.m., September 2, 2004.

During this time the City would perform seismic upgrades and rehabilitation work on the bridge. This temporary drawbridge operation amendment has been coordinated with the waterway users. No objections to the proposed temporary rule were raised.

### Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a “significant regulatory action” under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not “significant” under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS). The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this temporary rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10(e) of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary. This is because drawspan openings at this bridge are infrequent and waterway traffic is not likely to be delayed.

### Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as none were identified that will be affected by the temporary rule.

### Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–121), we offered to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they could better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. No small entities were identified that will be affected by the temporary rule.

### Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

### Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

### Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

### Taking of Private Property

This rule will not affect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

### Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

### Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and would not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that might disproportionately affect children.

### Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

### Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply,

Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under that order because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

### Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA)(42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded no factors in this case would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, this rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (32)(e), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation. A Categorical Exclusion Determination is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

### Regulations

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 117 as follows:

### PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 499; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g); section 117.255 also issued under the authority of Pub. L. 102–587, 106 Stat. 5039.

#### § 117.163 [Suspended]

■ 2. From 12:01 a.m., September 3, 2003, until 12:01 a.m., September 2, 2004, § 117.163 is temporarily suspended.

■ 3. From 12:01 a.m., September 3, 2003, until 12:01 a.m., September 2, 2004, § 117.T164 is temporarily added to read as follows:

#### § 117.T164 Islais Creek.

The Third Street Drawbridge, Islais Creek mile (0.4), at San Francisco, California need not open for vessels from 12:01 a.m., September 3, 2003 until 12:01 a.m., September 2, 2004.

Dated: August 15, 2003.

**Kevin J. Eldridge,**

*Rear Admiral, Coast Guard, Commander,  
Eleventh Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 03-21764 Filed 8-25-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA267-0402a; FRL-7526-6]

### Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, South Coast Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection  
Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking direct final action to approve revisions to the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from general spray coating operations, surfactant manufacturing, and storage tanks at petroleum facilities. We are approving local rules that regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air

Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

**DATES:** This rule is effective on October 27, 2003, without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comments by September 25, 2003. If we receive such comment, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** to notify the public that this rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revisions and EPA's technical support documents (TSDs) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted SIP revisions at the following locations:

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Room B-102, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., (Mail Code 6102T), Washington, DC 20460;

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814; and, South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 East Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765.

A copy of the rule may also be available via the Internet at <http://www.arb.ca.gov/drdb/drdbtxt.htm>.

[www.arb.ca.gov/drdb/drdbtxt.htm](http://www.arb.ca.gov/drdb/drdbtxt.htm).

Please be advised that this is not an EPA Web site and may not contain the same version of the rule that was submitted to EPA.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jerald S. Wamsley, EPA Region IX, (415) 947-4111.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, "we," "us" and "our" refer to EPA.

#### Table of Contents

- I. The State's Submittal.
  - A. What rules did the State submit?
  - B. Are there other versions of these rules?
  - C. What is the purpose of the submitted rules?
- II. EPA's Evaluation and Action.
  - A. How is EPA evaluating the rules?
  - B. Do the rules meet the evaluation criteria?
  - C. EPA recommendations to further improve the rules.
  - D. Public comment and final action.
- III. Background Information.
  - Why were these rules submitted?
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews.

#### I. The State's Submittal

##### A. What Rules Did the State Submit?

Table 1 lists the rules we are approving with the dates that they were adopted by the local air agencies and submitted to EPA by the California Air Resources Board (CARB).

TABLE 1.—SUBMITTED RULES

Local agency	Rule No.	Rule title	Adopted	Submitted
SCAQMD	481	Spray Coating Operations .....	01/11/02	05/21/02
SCAQMD	1141.2	Surfactant Manufacturing .....	01/11/02	05/21/02
SCAQMD	1178	Further Control of VOC Emissions from Storage Tanks at Petroleum Facilities .....	12/21/01	05/21/02

On August 6, 2002, EPA found these rule submittals met the completeness criteria in 40 CFR part 51, appendix V. These criteria must be met before formal EPA review can begin.

#### B. Are There Other Versions of These Rules?

We approved versions of SCAQMD rules 481 and 1141.2 into the SIP on February 12, 2002 (*see* 67 FR 6410). Between these SIP incorporations and today, CARB has made no intervening submittals of these SCAQMD rules. SCAQMD rule 1178 has not been approved into the SIP.

#### C. What Is the Purpose of the Submitted Rules?

SCAQMD rule 481 is a rule specifying the conditions for using spray painting or spray coating equipment as well as exemptions from these conditions.

These exemptions can be divided between volumetric cut-offs and specified coating operations that are too difficult or unwieldy to be performed within a spray booth enclosure. SCAQMD's January 11, 2002, amendments to rule 481 included these significant changes to the version within the SIP.

- New sections were added for applicability, definitions, and test methods.
- Thirteen new definitions were added.
- High volume, low pressure (HVLP) coating was added as an acceptable coating application method.
- The test method section was updated to include standardized language concerning alternative methods to determine transfer efficiency, violations under multiple test methods in the rule, and revised test methods.

—Rule 109—Recordkeeping is referenced so as to require a source to keep records supporting the use of two exemptions.

—Finally, an exemption was added for extreme high gloss topcoats used in the marine pleasure craft industry.

SCAQMD rule 1141.2 prohibits manufacturing of surface-active agents such as detergents, wetting agents and emulsifiers unless certain emission requirements and work practices are met. SCAQMD's January 11, 2002, amendments to rule 1141.2 included these significant changes to the November 17, 2000, version within the SIP.

- New sections were added for applicability, definitions, and test methods.
- Several new definitions were added.