Dated: August 27, 2003.

#### Herbert L. Mitchell,

Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03–22422 Filed 9–2–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

[Public Notice 4465]

Bureau of Political-Military Affairs; Statutory Debarment Under the International Traffic in Arms Regulations

**AGENCY:** Department of State.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the Department of State has imposed statutory debarment pursuant to section 127.7(c) of the International Traffic in Arms Regulations ("ITAR") (22 CFR 120 to 130) on persons convicted of violating or conspiring to violate section 38 of the Arms Export Control Act ("AECA") (22 U.S.C. 2778).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** Date of conviction as specified for each person.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Trimble, Director, Office of Defense Trade Controls Compliance, Bureau of Political-Military Affairs, Department of State (202) 633-2700.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 38(g)(4) of the AECA, 22 U.S.C. 2778, prohibits licenses and other approvals for the export of defense articles or defense services to be issued to a person, or any party to the export, who has been convicted of violating certain U.S. criminal statutes, including the AECA.

In implementing this section of the AECA, the Assistant Secretary of State for Political-Military Affairs is authorized by section 127.7 of the ITAR to prohibit any person who has been convicted of violating or conspiring to violate the AECA from participating directly or indirectly in the export of defense articles, including technical data or in the furnishing of defense services for which a license or approval is required. This prohibition is referred to as "statutory debarment."

Statutory debarment is based solely upon conviction in a criminal proceeding, conducted by a court of the United States, and as such the administrative debarment proceedings outlined in part 128 of the ITAR are not applicable.

The period for debarment will be determined by the Assistant Secretary of State for Political-Military Affairs based upon the underlying nature of the

violations, but will normally be three years from the date of conviction. Please note however, that unless licensing privileges are reinstated, the person/ entity will remain debarred. At the end of the debarment period, licensing privileges may be reinstated only at the request of the debarred person following the necessary interagency consultations, after a thorough review of the circumstances surrounding the conviction, and a finding that appropriate steps have been taken to mitigate any law enforcement concerns, as required by section 38(g)(4) of the AECA and in accordance with section 127.11(b) of the ITAR.

Department of State policy permits debarred persons to apply to the Director of the Office of Defense Trade Controls Compliance for an exception from the period of debarment, in accordance with section 38(g)(4) of the AECA and section 127.11(b) of the ITAR. Any decision to grant an exception can be made only after the statutory requirements under section 38(g)(4) of the AECA have been satisfied. If the exception is granted, the debarment will be suspended.

Debarred persons are generally ineligible to participate in activity regulated under the ITAR (see e.g., sections 120.1(c) and (d), 126.7, 127.1(c), and 127.11(a)). The Department of State will not consider applications for licenses or requests for approvals that involve any person or any party to the export who has been convicted of violating or conspiring to violate the AECA during the period of statutory debarment. Persons who have been statutorily debarred may appeal to the Under Secretary for Arms Control and International Security for reconsideration of the ineligibility determination. A request for reconsideration must be submitted in writing within 30 days after a person has been informed of the adverse decision, in accordance with 22 CFR 127.7(d).

Pursuant to section 38 of the AECA and section 127.7 of the ITAR, the following persons have been statutorily debarred by the Assistant Secretary of State for Political-Military Affairs for a period of three years following their AECA conviction:

- (1) Saeed Homayouni, June 11, 2001 (entry date of June 14, 2001), U.S. District Court, Southern District of California (San Diego), Docket # 00–CR– 3843–ALL.
- (2) Quality Aviation & Power Support, Inc., August 21, 2001 (entry date of August 27, 2001), U.S. District Court for the Central District of California

- (Western Division), Docket # 2:00–CR–787.
- (3) Earlene L. Christensen aka Earlene Larson Christenson aka Earlene Larson, August 21, 2002 (entry date August 27, 2002), Central District of California, (Western Division), Docket # 2:00–CR–787.
- (4) Richard Kelly Smyth, December 28, 2001 (entry date of January 8, 2002), U.S. District Court, Central District of California (Western Division), Docket # 85–CR–483–ALL.
- (5) Diaa Mohsen, November 16, 2001 (entry date November 20, 2001), U.S. District Court, Southern District of Florida (West Palm Beach), Docket # 01–CR–8087–ALL.
- (6) Jonathan Reynolds, September 28, 2000 (entry date October 2, 2000), U.S. District Court, District of Massachusetts (Boston), Docket # 00–CR–10267–ALL.
- (7) Fadi Boutros, aka Fadi E. Sitto, aka Fadi Jirjis, aka Fred Boutros, February 11, 1999 (entry date February 17, 1999), U.S. District Court, District of Connecticut (New Haven), Docket # 3:99-CR-19.
- (8) Paul Siroky, October 5, 2000 (entry date October 6, 2000), U.S. District Court, Central District of California (Western Division), Docket # 2:00–CR–884.
- (9) Steven Picatti, October 19, 1998 (entry date November 18, 1998), U.S. District Court, Central District of California (Western Division), Docket # 2:98–CR–860.
- (10) Peter Appelbaum, August 18, 1999 (entry date August 24, 1999), U.S. District Court, Southern District of Florida (Miami), Docket # 99–CR–530– ALL.
- (11) Bing Sun, August 1, 2000 (entry date August 2, 2000), U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Virginia (Norfolk), Docket # 2:00–CR–28.
- (12) Patte Sun, August 1, 2000 (entry date August 2, 2000), U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Virginia (Norfolk), Docket # 2:00–CR–28.
- (13) All Ports Inc., August 1, 2000 (entry date August 2, 2000), U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Virginia (Norfolk), Docket # 2:00–CR–28.
- (14) Beta Trading Company, August 28, 1998 (entry date September 1, 1998), U.S. District Court, District of South Carolina (Charleston), Docket # 98–CR– 332–ALL.
- (15) Genaro Lopez-Gonsales, October 5, 1999 (entry date October 19, 1999), U.S. District Court, Southern District of Texas (McAllen), Docket #99–CR–434–
- (16) Octabio Merida Gonzalez, July 1, 1998 (entry date July 8, 1998), U.S. District Court, Southern District of

Texas (Brownsville), Docket # 98–CR–255–ALL.

(17) Collin Xu, aka Collin Shu, aka Zhihong Xu, November 9, 2000 (entry date November 15, 2000), U.S. District Court, District of Massachusetts (Boston), Docket #99–CR–10075–ALL.

(18) Yi Yao, aka Yao Yi, February 2, 2000 (entry date February 8, 2000), U.S. District Court of Massachusetts (Boston), Docket # 99–CR–10075–ALL.

(19) Mariano Recinos-Rivera, June 5, 2000 (entry date June 20, 2000), U.S. District Court, Southern District of Texas (McAllen), Docket #00–CR–218–ALL.

(20) Gunther Kohlke, February 27, 2002 (entry date March 1, 2002), U.S. District Court, District of New York (Brooklyn), Docket #01–CR–738–ALL.

(21) Eugene Yon-Tsai Hsu, April 30, 2002 (entry date May 5, 2002), U.S. District Court, District of Maryland (Baltimore), Docket #01–CR–485–ALL.

(22) David Tzuwei Yang, April 30, 2002 (entry date May 5, 2002), U.S. District Court, District of Maryland (Baltimore), Docket #01–CR–485–ALL.

(23) Dennis Ritiche Jones, March 23, 1999 (entry date March 26, 1999), U.S. District Court, District of Arizona, Docket # CR-99-346-01.

(24) Carlos Fernando Chirinos, September 13, 2002 (entry date September 17, 2002), U.S. District Court, District of Arizona, Docket # 02–20605– CR.

(25) Daniel Jose Uribazo, August 29, 2002 (entry date August 30, 2002), U.S. District Court, District of Arizona, Docket # 02–20605–CR.

(26) Maximo de los Santos, November 18, 1999 (entry date November 19, 1999), U.S. District Court, District of Hawaii, Docket # 1:99CR00426-001.

(27) Jesse Radona Ponce, November 18, 1999 (entry date November 19, 1999), U.S. District Court, District of Hawaii, Docket # 1:99CR00426-001.

(28) Jesus Pascual Domingo, April 6, 2000 (entry date April 6, 2000), U.S. District Court, District of Hawaii, Docket # 1:99CR00426–001.

(29) Paul Guzman, November 22, 1999 (entry date November 24, 1999), U.S. District Court, District of Hawaii, Docket # 1:99CR00426-001.

As noted above, at the end of the three-year period, the above-named persons/entities will remain debarred unless licensing privileges are reinstated.

This notice is provided in order to make the public aware that the persons listed above are prohibited from participating directly or indirectly in any brokering activities and in any export from or temporary import into the United States of defense articles or defense services, including technical data, in all situations covered by the ITAR. Specific case information may be obtained from the Office of the Clerk of Court for each respective US District Court, citing the court docket number where provided.

Exceptions may be made to this denial policy on a case-by-case basis at the discretion of the Directorate of Defense Trade Controls pursuant to 22 CFR 126.3. However, such an exception would be granted only after a full review of all circumstances, paying particular attention to the following factors: whether an exception is warranted by overriding U.S. foreign policy or national security interest; whether an exception would further law enforcement concerns which are consistent with the foreign policy or national security interests of the United States; or whether other compelling circumstances exist which are consistent with the foreign policy or national security interests of the United States, and which do not conflict with law enforcement concerns.

This notice involves a foreign affairs function of the United States encompassed within the meaning of the military and foreign affairs exclusion of the Administrative Procedure Act. Because the exercise of this foreign affair function is discretionary, it is excluded from review under the Administrative Procedure Act.

Dated: August 19, 2003.

## Rose M. Likins,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Political-Military Affairs, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 03–22418 Filed 9–2–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4710–25–P

# OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

Generalized System of Preferences (GSP): Notice Regarding the 2001, 2002, and Ongoing Country Practice Reviews

**AGENCY:** Office of the United States Trade Representative.

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR) received petitions in 2001 and 2002 to review certain practices in certain beneficiary developing countries to determine whether such countries are in compliance with the GSP eligibility criteria. This notice announces the 2001 and 2002 country practice petitions that are accepted for review, and sets forth the schedule for comment and public

hearing on these petitions and on other ongoing country practices reviews, for requesting participation in the hearing, and for submitting pre- and post-hearing briefs.

**ADDRESSES:** The e-mail address for submissions is *FR0052@USTR.GOV*. If unable to submit petitions by e-mail, contact the GSP Subcommittee of the Trade Policy Staff Committee (TPSC).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Contact the GSP Subcommittee, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 1724 F Street, NW., Room F–220, Washington, DC 20508. The telephone number is (202) 395– 6971 and the facsimile number is (202) 395–9481.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The GSP provides for the duty-free importation of designated articles from designated beneficiary developing countries. The GSP is authorized by title V of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the 1974 Act"), as amended (19 U.S.C. 2461, et seq.), and is implemented in accordance with Executive Order 11888 of November 24, 1975, as modified by subsequent Executive Orders and Presidential Proclamations.

In a Federal Register notice dated April 13, 2001, USTR initiated the 2001 GSP Annual Review and announced a deadline of June 13, 2001, for the filing of petitions (66 FR 19278). In a Federal Register notice dated November 1, 2002, USTR initiated the 2002 GSP Annual Review and announced a deadline of December 2, 2002, for the filing of petitions (67 FR 66699). Several of the petitions received requested the review of certain practices in certain beneficiary developing countries to determine whether such countries are in compliance with the eligibility criteria set forth in sections 502(b) and 502(c) of the 1974 Act.

The GSP program expired on October 1, 2001, and was not reauthorized until August 6, 2002. Consequently, the interagency TPSC made no announcement of the acceptance of country practice petitions for the 2001 GSP Annual Review, and merged the 2001 petitions into the 2002 GSP Annual Review.

The TPSC has decided to accept certain petitions for review, as indicated in Annex I to this notice. Annex I sets out the case number and status of, and subject country and practice addressed in each petition, and updates the status of other, previously initiated, ongoing country practice reviews. If the TPSC decides to accept any other 2001 or 2002 country practice petition for review, it will make an announcement in the Federal Register at a later date.