considered for admittance to the Air Force Academy.

Pamela Fitzgerald,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 03–26339 Filed 10–20–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Availability for Non-Exclusive, Exclusive, or Partially Exclusive Licensing of U.S. and Foreign Patents and Patent Applications Concerning Indolo [2,1-B] Quinazole-6,12-Dione Antimalarial Compounds and Methods of Treating Malaria

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DOD. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with 37 CFR Part 404.6 and 404.7, announcement is made of the availability for licensing of inventions set forth in the following, related patent applications:

1. *Title:* Indolo [2,1-B] Quinazole-6, 12-Dione Antimalarial Compounds and Methods of Treating Malaria.

U.S. Patent No.: 6,531,487. Issued: March 11, 2003.

2. *Title*: Indolo [2,1-B] Quinazole-6, 12-Dione Antimalarial Compounds and Methods.

U.S. Patent No.: 6,284,772. Issued: September 28, 1999.

Foreign rights are also available. The United States Government, as represented by the Secretary of the Army, has rights in these inventions.

ADDRESSES: Commander, U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, ATTN: Command Judge Advocate, MCMR–JA, 504 Scott Street, Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702– 5012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For patent issues, Ms. Elizabeth Arwine, Patent Attorney, (301) 619–7808. For licensing issues, Dr. Paul Mele, Office of Research & Technology Applications, (301) 619–6664, both at telefax (301) 619–5034.

Luz D. Ortiz,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 03–26431 Filed 10–20–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Intent To Grant an Exclusive License

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with 35 U.S.C. 209(e) and 37 CFR 404.7(a)(l)(i), announcement is made of the intent to grant an exclusive, royalty-bearing, revocable license for the U.S. Patents listed below to New England Ropes, Inc. with its principal place of business at 848 Airport road, Fall River, Massachusetts 02720.

DATES: File written objections by November 5, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert Rosenkrans at U.S. Army Soldier and Biological Chemical Command, Kansas Street, Natick, MA 01760, Phone; (508) 233–4928 or e-mail: Robert.Rosenkrans@natick.army.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The exclusive licenses will be royalty bearing and will comply with the terms and conditions of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7. The exclusive licenses may be granted, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date of this published notice, SBCCOM receives written evidence and argument to establish that the grant of the license would not be consistent with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7 the following Titles, Patent Numbers, and Issue dates are provided:

1. *Title*: Harness for Human Wear; *Patent No.* 6, 189,651; *Issue Date:* February 20, 2001.

2. *Title*: Harness for Human Wear; *Patent No.* 5,857,540; *Issue Date*: January 12, 1999.

3. *Title:* Rappel Tool for Descent of a Load and Rappel Tool and Stirrup Assembly for Ascent Along a Rappel Rope; *Patent No.* 6,095,282; *Issue Date:* August 1, 2000.

4. *Title:* Rappel Rope Storage and Deployment System; *Patent No.* 5,868,219; *Issue Date:* February 9, 1999.

Luz D. Ortiz,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 03–26432 Filed 10–20–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare a General Reevaluation Report and Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Atlantic Coast of Maryland Shoreline Protection Project, Ocean City, MD

AGENCY: Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD. **ACTION:** Notice of intent.

(NEPA), the Baltimore District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), will conduct a General Reevaluation Report (GRR) and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) to evaluate new sand borrow areas for the continued beach replenishment at Ocean City, Maryland, and potential modifications to the existing project to better protect Ocean City at areas of high erosion.

The Atlantic Coast of Maryland Shoreline Protection Project, Ocean

SUMMARY: In accordance with the

National Environmental Policy Act

Shoreline Protection Project, Ocean City, Maryland, is designed to provide coastal flood and erosion protection to Ocean City. As part of the project design, periodic renourishment and maintenance of the beach are required to maintain the design level of protection. Every four years, approximately 800,000 cubic yards of sand are required to renourish and maintain the beaches. The original feasibility report identified borrow areas that will be consumed within the next eight years (two beach renourishment cycles) or less, assuming no extreme storm events. Estimates show that approximately 10–12 million cubic yards of sand are needed to maintain the four-year cycles for the remaining project life. The District proposes to analyze, evaluate, and select the best site(s) for additional borrow material.

In addition, the project has experienced three persistent areas of erosion, or hot spots, that have required significant amounts of sand renourishment since the project's inception. These areas, centered on 32nd Street, 81st Street, and 146th Street have been examined in the past, and several potential cost-effective solutions were identified. The second purpose of this reevaluation study and resulting GRR is to analyze, evaluate, and select the best alternative to reduce maintenance costs for two of the three areas. The area at 146th Street has been addressed by the Corps' Philadelphia District's Fenwick Island, Delaware, Interim Feasibility Study—Final Integrated Feasibility Report and Environmental Impact Statement.

The study will be conducted in compliance with Section 404 and Section 401 of the Clean Water Act, Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, the Clean Air Act, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, Prime and Unique Farmlands, the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Act. All appropriate documentation (i.e., Section 7, Section 106 coordination letters, and public and

agency comments) will be obtained and included as part of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions about the proposed action and DEIS can be addressed to Mr. Harold K. Clingerman, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, ATTN: CENAB–PL–P, 10 South Howard Street, P.O. Box 1715, Baltimore, MD, 21203–1715, telephone 410–962–2650; e-mail address: harold.k.clingerman@usace.army.mil

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: 1. In September 1991 construction of the shoreline protection features of the project were essentially complete and the project was dedicated on October 30, 1991. The project consists of widening and raising the beach from 4th street to the Marvland-Delaware line (about 8.2 miles) and a 0.3 mile transition into Delaware, construction of a steel sheetpile bulkhead from 4th street to the north end of the boardwalk at 28th Street (about 1.5 miles), construction of a sand dune from the north end of the boardwalk to the Maryland-Delaware line (about 6.7 miles plus a 0.3 mile transition into Delaware), and project operation and maintenance (non-Federal cost). The long-term features of the project include monitoring and renourishment (cost shared 53\(\sigma/47\)\) over an economic life of 50 years. Maintenance of the dune and berm above +6 feet NGVD is the financial responsibility of the non-Federal sponsor.

- 2. As part of the EIS process, recommendations of borrow areas and project modifications will be based on an evaluation of the probable impact of the proposed activity on the public interest. The decision will reflect the national concern for the protection and utilization of important resources. The benefit, which may reasonably be expected to accrue from the proposal, will be balanced against its reasonably foreseeable detriments. All factors that may be relevant to the proposal will be considered, among these are: Fish and wildlife resources; cultural resources; land use; water and air quality; hazardous, toxic, and radioactive substances; threatened and endangered species; regional geology; aesthetics; environmental justice; and the general needs and welfare of the public.
- 3. The DEIS for the GRR is expected for public release in late 2004.

Wesley E. Coleman, Jr.,

Chief, Civil Project Development Branch. [FR Doc. 03–26434 Filed 10–20–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-41-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Chief of Engineers Environmental Advisory Board; Meeting

AGENCY: Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), announcement is made of the forthcoming meeting. The meeting is open to the public.

Name of Committee: Chief of Engineers Environmental Advisory Board (EAB).

Date: November 6, 2003.

Location: Crowne Plaza Hotel—Old
Mill, 655 N. 108 Avenue, Omaha, NE
68154, (402) 496–0850.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 12 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Norman Edwards, Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC 20314–1000; Ph. (202) 761–4559.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Board advises the Chief of Engineers on environmental policy, identification and resolution of environmental issues and missions, and addressing challenges, problems and opportunities in an environmentally sustainable manner. The EAB will visit many locations on the Missouri River prior to the meeting to gain a better perspective of the issues of national significance associated with that river system. The public meeting, however, will focus on the generic issue of independent science review. The intent of this meeting is to present an opportunity for the Chief of Engineers to receive the views of his EAB. Time will be provided, however, for public comment. Each speaker will be limited to no more than three minutes in order to accommodate as many people as possible within the limited time available. If you wish to receive electronic notice of future meetings you may subscribe to a list server at: http://www.usace.army.mil/inet/ functions/cw/hot topics/eab.htm.

Luz D. Ortiz,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 03–26433 Filed 10–20–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–92–M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before November 20, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Lauren Wittenberg, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or should be electronically mailed to the internet address Lauren_Wittenberg@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Dated: October 15, 2003.

Angela C. Arrington,

Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

Office of Elementary and Secondary Education

Type of Review: Extension.
Title: Reading First Annual
Performance Report.
Frequency: Annually.
Affected Public: State, Local, or Tribal
Gov't, SEAs or LEAs (primary).
Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour
Burden: