

December 21, 1999, December 28, 2000, August 31, 2001, and September 2, 2003 including its RACM analysis and determination. EPA is also approving the revised enforceable commitments made to the attainment plan for the Baltimore severe ozone nonattainment area which were submitted on

December 28, 2000. The enforceable commitments are to submit measures by October 31, 2001 for additional emission reductions as required in the attainment demonstration test, and to revise the SIP and motor vehicle emissions budgets by October 31, 2001 if the additional measures affect the

motor vehicle emissions inventory; and to perform a mid-course review by December 31, 2003.

(i) EPA approves the following mobile budgets of Maryland's attainment plan for the Philadelphia area:

#### TRANSPORTATION CONFORMITY BUDGETS FOR THE MARYLAND PORTION OF THE PHILADELPHIA AREA

Type of control strategy SIP	Year	VOC (TPD)	NO <sub>x</sub> (TPD)
Attainment Demonstration .....	2005	3.0	11.3

(1) [Reserved]

(2) Similarly, EPA is approving the 2005 attainment demonstration and its current budgets because Maryland has provided an enforceable commitment to submit new budgets as a SIP revision to the attainment plan consistent with any new measures submitted to fill any shortfall, if the new additional control measures affect on-road motor vehicle emissions.

\* \* \* \* \*

(k) EPA approves the attainment demonstration for the Baltimore area

submitted as a revision to the State Implementation Plan by the Maryland Department of the Environment on April 29, 1998, August 18, 1998, December 21, 1999, December 28, 2000, August 20, 2001, and September 2, 2003 including its RACM analysis and determination. EPA is also approving the revised enforceable commitments made to the attainment plan for the Baltimore severe ozone nonattainment area which were submitted on December 28, 2000. The enforceable commitments are to submit measures by

October 31, 2001 for additional emission reductions as required in the attainment demonstration test, and to revise the SIP and motor vehicle emissions budgets by October 31, 2001 if the additional measures affect the motor vehicle emissions inventory; and to perform a mid-course review by December 31, 2003.

(l) EPA approves the following mobile budgets of the Baltimore area attainment plan:

#### TRANSPORTATION CONFORMITY BUDGETS FOR THE BALTIMORE AREA

Type of control strategy SIP	Year	VOC (TPD)	NO <sub>x</sub> (TPD)
Attainment Demonstration .....	2005	55.0	146.9

(1) [Reserved]

(2) Similarly, EPA is approving the 2005 attainment demonstration and its current budgets because Maryland has provided an enforceable commitment to submit new budgets as a SIP revision to the attainment plan consistent with any new measures submitted to fill any shortfall, if the new additional control measures affect on-road motor vehicle emissions.

(m) EPA approves the State of Maryland's revised 1990 and the 2005 VOC and NO<sub>x</sub> highway mobile emissions inventories and the 2005 motor vehicle emissions budgets for the one-hour ozone attainment plans for the Baltimore severe ozone nonattainment area and the Cecil County portion of the Philadelphia-Wilmington-Trenton severe ozone nonattainment area. These revisions were submitted by the Maryland Department of the Environment on September 2, 2003. Submission of these revised MOBILE6-based motor vehicle emissions inventories was a requirement of EPA's approval of the attainment

demonstration under paragraphs (h) and (k) of this section.

[FR Doc. 03-26920 Filed 10-24-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[MN79-2; FRL-7578-6]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Minnesota; Withdrawal of Direct Final Rule

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Withdrawal of direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** Due to the receipt of an adverse comment, the EPA is withdrawing the direct final rule approving a site-specific revision to the Minnesota sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) Implementation Plan (SIP) for Xcel Energy (formerly known as Northern States Power Company) Inver Hills Generating Plant located in the City of Inver Grove Heights, Dakota County,

Minnesota. In the direct final rule published on September 2, 2003 (68 FR 52110), EPA stated that if EPA receives adverse comment by October 2, 2003, the SO<sub>2</sub> rule would be withdrawn and not take effect. On September 2, 2003, EPA subsequently received one comment. We believe this comment is adverse and therefore, we are withdrawing the direct final rule. EPA will address the comment received in a subsequent final action based on the proposed action published on September 2, 2003.

**DATES:** The direct final rule published at 68 FR 52110 on September 2, 2003 is withdrawn as of October 27, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christos Pantos, Criteria Pollutant Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. Telephone: (312) 353-8328. E-mail address: [panos.christos@epa.gov](mailto:panos.christos@epa.gov).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur dioxide.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: October 16, 2003.

**Bharat Mathur,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

## PART 52—[AMENDED]

■ Accordingly, the amendment of 40 CFR 52.1220(c) as published at 68 FR 52113 (September 2, 2003) is withdrawn as of October 27, 2003.

[FR Doc. 03–26921 Filed 10–24–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[MN73–2; FRL–7578–5]

### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Minnesota; Withdrawal of Direct Final Rule

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Withdrawal of direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** Due to the receipt of an adverse comment, the EPA is withdrawing the direct final rule approving a site-specific revision to the Minnesota particulate matter (PM) State Implementation Plan (SIP) for Lafarge Corporation's (Lafarge) facility located on Red Rock Road in Saint Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota. In the direct final rule published on September 2, 2003 (68 FR 52106), EPA stated that if EPA receives adverse comment by October 2, 2003, the PM rule would be withdrawn and not take effect. On September 2, 2003, EPA subsequently received one comment. We believe this comment is adverse and, therefore, we are withdrawing the direct final rule. EPA will address the comment received in a subsequent final action based on the proposed action published on September 2, 2003.

**DATES:** The direct final rule published at 68 FR 52106 on September 2, 2003, is withdrawn as of October 27, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christos Pantos, Criteria Pollutant Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18)), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. Telephone: (312) 353–8328. E-mail address: [panos.christos@epa.gov](mailto:panos.christos@epa.gov).

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: October 16, 2003.

**Bharat Mathur,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

## PART 52—[AMENDED]

■ Accordingly, the addition of 40 CFR 52.1220(c)(64) is withdrawn as of October 27, 2003.

[FR Doc. 03–26922 Filed 10–24–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[ID–02–003; FRL–7568–9]

### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes: Ada County/Boise, ID Area

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or Agency) is taking final action to rescind its earlier finding that the PM<sub>10</sub> standards promulgated on July 1, 1987 and the accompanying nonattainment designation and classification are no longer applicable in the Ada County/Boise, Idaho area, and simultaneously, approve a PM<sub>10</sub> State Implementation Plan maintenance plan for the Ada County/Boise Idaho area and to redesignate the area from nonattainment to attainment. PM<sub>10</sub> air pollution is suspended particulate matter with a diameter less than or equal to a nominal ten micrometers.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 26, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the State's request and other supporting information used in developing this action are available for inspection during normal business hours at the following locations: EPA, Office of Air Quality (OAQ–107), 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101, and State of Idaho, Department of Environmental Quality (IDEQ), 1410 North Hilton, Boise, Idaho 83706–1255. Interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day. A reasonable fee may be charged for copies.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Donna Deneen, Office of Air Quality (OAQ–107), EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553–6706.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Table of Contents

- I. What Is the Purpose of This Rulemaking?
- II. What Comments Did EPA Receive on the Proposed Action?
- III. What Final Action Is Being Taken?
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

## I. What Is the Purpose of This Rulemaking?

Under the authority of the federal Clean Air Act (Clean Air Act or the Act) EPA is finalizing certain actions related to the PM<sub>10</sub> designation and classification of the Ada County/Boise, Idaho area.<sup>1</sup> First, EPA is rescinding the March 12, 1999 finding (64 FR 12257) that the PM<sub>10</sub> standards promulgated on July 1, 1987 (52 FR 24634) and the accompanying designation and classification for PM<sub>10</sub> no longer apply in the Ada County/Boise, Idaho area. The intended effect of this action is to restore the applicability of the current PM<sub>10</sub> standards in the Ada County/Boise, Idaho area as well as the nonattainment designation and moderate classification associated with those standards. Simultaneously, EPA is taking final action to approve the PM<sub>10</sub> maintenance plan for the Ada County/Boise, Idaho area as a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision and to redesignate the area to “attainment” for PM<sub>10</sub>.

The action to redesignate Ada County/Boise, Idaho to attainment is based on valid monitoring data and projections of ambient air quality made in the demonstration that accompanies the maintenance plan. EPA believes the area will continue to meet the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS or standards) for PM<sub>10</sub> for at least 10 years beyond this redesignation, as required by the Act. A detailed description of our proposed action to rescind the March 12, 1999 finding and to approve the Ada County/Boise, Idaho maintenance plan and redesignation request was published in a proposed rulemaking in the **Federal Register** on July 30, 2003 (68 FR 44715).

## II. What Comments Did EPA Receive on the Proposed Action?

EPA received the following comments from six commenters on the July 30, 2003 proposal for the Ada County/Boise, Idaho area. All comments either were in support of the proposal, requested further explanation on certain aspects of the proposal, or were outside the scope of the proposal.

<sup>1</sup> Although the State's maintenance plan and redesignation request refers to “Northern Ada County,” we are using the term “Ada County/Boise, Idaho” or “Ada County/Boise, Idaho area” for consistency with 40 CFR 81.313.