

seek to, among other things, end the regulatory exemption of producer-handlers from the pooling and pricing provisions of these two milk marketing orders if their Class I route distribution exceeds three million pounds of milk per month in either order.

DATES: The hearing will reconvene at 8:30 a.m. on Monday, November 17, 2003.

ADDRESSES: The reconvened hearing will be held at the Doubletree Hotel, Seattle Airport, 18740 Pacific Highway S., Seattle, Washington 98188, telephone: (206) 246-8600.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jack Rower, Marketing Specialist, Order Formulation and Enforcement Branch, USDA/AMS/Dairy Programs, STOP 0231—Room 2971, 1400 Independence Avenue, Washington, DC 20250-0231, (202) 720-2357, e-mail address jack.rower@usda.gov.

Persons requiring a sign language interpreter or other special accommodations should contact Gary Jablonski at (425) 487-6009 or gjablonski@fmmaseattle.com before the hearing begins.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Prior documents in this proceeding: Notice of Hearing: Issued July 31, 2003; published August 6, 2003 (68 FR 46505).

Correction to Notice of Hearing: Issued August 20, 2003; published August 26, 2003 (68 FR 51202).

Notice is hereby given that the hearing which was adjourned in Tempe, Arizona, on September 25, 2003, by the Administrative Law Judge designated to hold said hearing and preside thereof, will reconvene in session at 8:30 a.m., November 17, 2003, at the Doubletree Hotel, Seattle Airport, 18740 Pacific Highway S., Seattle, Washington 98188. At the reconvened hearing, additional testimony will be received on proposed amendments 1 through 5, listed in the initial hearing notice (68 FR 46505) as corrected (68 FR 51202) to the tentative marketing agreements and to the orders regulating the handling of milk in the Arizona-Las Vegas and Pacific Northwest marketing areas.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Parts 1124 and 1131

Milk marketing orders.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601-674.

Dated: October 27, 2003.

A.J. Yates,

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 03-27413 Filed 10-30-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Part 1135

[Docket No. AO-380-A18; DA-01-08-W]

Milk in the Western Marketing Area; Referendum Order and Extension of Time for Filing Comments; Determination of Representative Period and Designation of Referendum Agent

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Referendum Order and extension of time for filing comments.

SUMMARY: This notice orders that a referendum be conducted to determine whether producers favor issuance of the order regulating the handling of milk in the Western marketing area, as amended in the tentative final decision issued by the Administrator on August 8, 2003, and published in the *Federal Register* on August 18, 2003 (68 FR 49375). Producer approval could not be determined by a polling of cooperatives. Additionally, this document extends the time for filing comments to the tentative final decision for the Western marketing area until April 1, 2004.

DATES: The referendum is to be completed on or before 30 days after publication in the *Federal Register* and comments on the Western order are now due on April 1, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments (six copies) should be filed with the Hearing Clerk, Room 1083-STOP 9200, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., South Building, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC 20250-9200.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gino M. Tosi, Marketing Specialist, Order Formulation and Enforcement Branch, USDA/AMS/Dairy Programs, Room 2971—Stop 0231, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-0231, (202) 690-1366, e-mail address: gino.tosi@usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Prior documents in this proceeding:

Notice of Hearing: Issued February 26, 2002; published March 4, 2002 (67 FR 9622).

Tentative Final Decision: Issued on August 8, 2003; published August 18, 2003 (68 FR 49375).

On August 8, 2003, the Administrator issued a tentative final decision on proposed amendments to the Pacific Northwest and Western milk marketing orders. Comments on the tentative final

decision were requested by October 17, 2003, and producer approval was to be determined through a polling of cooperatives. The polling of cooperatives was completed on October 6, 2003, for the Western milk marketing order and producer approval could not be ascertained.

Notice is hereby given that the time for filing comments to the Western milk marketing order as amended by the tentative final decision is hereby extended from October 17, 2003, to April 1, 2004, and that a referendum will be conducted to determine producer approval of the Western order as amended.

Several parties requested that the filing of comments on the Western order be extended indefinitely because producer approval could not be ascertained based on the polling of cooperatives that was held for the Western milk marketing order as amended by the tentative final decision. Requesters stated that submission of comments by the October 17, 2003, date would be premature.

Since a referendum is being conducted to determine producer approval of the Western order as amended, it is appropriate to delay comment submissions on the Western milk marketing order. Comments are now due on April 1, 2004. This extension of time for filing comments only applies to the Western order and comments on the tentative final decision amendments to the Pacific Northwest milk marketing order must be postmarked on or before October 17, 2003, as stated in the tentative final decision.

This notice is issued pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR part 900).

Referendum Order To Determine Producer Approval; Determination of Representative Period; and Designation of Referendum Agent

It is hereby directed that a referendum be conducted to determine whether the issuance of the order regulating the handling of milk in the Western marketing area, as amended by the tentative final decision issued on August 8, 2003, and published on August 18, 2003 (68 FR 49375), is approved by at least two-thirds of the producers, or by producers that represent at least two-thirds of the total milk produced during the representative period.

The month of April 2002 is hereby determined to be the representative period for the conduct of such referendum.

James R. Daugherty is hereby designated agent of the Secretary to conduct such referendum in accordance with the procedures for the conduct of referenda (7 CFR 900.300 *et seq.*).

Such referendum shall be completed on or before 30 days from the publication of this referendum order.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 1135

Milk marketing orders.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601–674.

Dated: October 27, 2003.

A.J. Yates,

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 03–27414 Filed 10–30–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2001–NM–321–AD]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier Model CL–600–2B19 (Regional Jet Series 100 & 440) Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Bombardier Model CL–600–2B19 (Regional Jet Series 100 & 440) airplanes. This proposal would require repetitive inspections for cracking of the upper and lower web of the engine support beam at fuselage station 640, and repair if necessary. This proposal also would provide an optional terminating action for the repetitive inspections. This action is necessary to prevent failure of the engine support beam, a principal structural element, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Comments must be received by December 1, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2001–NM–

321–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227–1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: 9-anm-nprmcomment@faa.gov. Comments sent via fax or the Internet must contain “Docket No. 2001–NM–321–AD” in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 or 2000 or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Bombardier, Inc., Canadair, Aerospace Group, P.O. Box 6087, Station Centre-ville, Montreal, Quebec H3C 3G9, Canada. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, New York Aircraft Certification Office, 10 Fifth Street, Third Floor, Valley Stream, New York.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Delisio, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe and Propulsion Branch, ANE–171, FAA, New York Aircraft Certification Office, 10 Fifth Street, Third Floor, Valley Stream, New York 11581; telephone (516) 256–7521; fax (516) 568–2716.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this action may be changed in light of the comments received.

Submit comments using the following format:

- Organize comments issue-by-issue. For example, discuss a request to change the compliance time and a request to change the service bulletin reference as two separate issues.
- For each issue, state what specific change to the proposed AD is being requested.
- Include justification (e.g., reasons or data) for each request.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic,

environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this action must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: “Comments to Docket Number 2001–NM–321–AD.” The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2001–NM–321–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056.

Discussion

Transport Canada Civil Aviation (TCCA), which is the airworthiness authority for Canada, notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain Bombardier Model CL–600–2B19 (Regional Jet Series 100 & 440) airplanes. TCCA advises that cracks have been found on the upper and lower web of the engine support beam (ESB) at fuselage station (FS) 640 on several airplanes. The subject airplanes had more than 19,000 flight hours and 16,000 flight cycles. This condition, if not corrected, could result in failure of the ESB, a principal structural element, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

Bombardier has issued Alert Service Bulletin A601R–53–059, Revision “D,” dated July 2, 2003; including Appendix A, undated; and Appendix B, dated August 6, 2002. That service bulletin describes procedures for performing repetitive external detailed visual inspections for cracking of the upper and lower web of the ESB at FS 640. The service bulletin specifies to contact the manufacturer for repair instructions for any cracking that is found. That service bulletin also describes procedures for modifying the ESB to increase the thickness of the upper and lower webs and to install new angles and intercostals. The procedures for the modification also include an eddy current inspection for damage (e.g., cracking) of the fastener holes in the