| State and location   | Community<br>No. | Effective date authorization/cancellation of sale of flood insurance in community                               | Current effective map date | Date certain Federal assist- ance no longer available in special flood hazard areas |
|--|------------------|---|----------------------------|---|
| Summerfield, Village of, St. Clair County.   | 170636           | Aug. 11, 1976, Emerg.; Aug. 10, 1979, Reg.; Nov. 5, 2003, Susp.   | do                         | Do  |
| Swansea, Village of, St. Clair County  | 170637           | Jan. 13, 1975, Emerg.; Dec. 1, 1981, Reg.;<br>Nov. 5, 2003, Susp.   | do                         | Do.   |
| Indiana:   |                  | ,   |                            |   |
| Allen County, Unincorporated Areas   | 180302           | Feb. 14, 1974, Emerg.; Sep. 28, 1990, Reg.; Nov. 5, 2003, Susp.   | do                         | Do.   |
| Fort Wayne, City of, Allen County  | 180003           | May 24, 1974, Emerg.; Apr. 3, 1985, Reg.;<br>Nov. 5, 2003, Susp.  | do                         | Do.   |
| Grabill, Town of, Allen County   | 180499           | Oct. 17, 1990, Reg.; Nov. 5, 2003, Susp   | do                         | Do.   |
| Huntertown, Town of, Allen County  | 180005           | July 29, 1975, Emerg.; Nov. 2, 1983, Reg.;<br>Nov. 5, 2003, Susp.   | do                         | Do.   |
| Monroeville, Town of, Allen County   | 180498           | Oct. 17, 1990, Reg.; Nov. 5, 2003, Susp   | do                         | Do.   |
| New Haven, City of, Allen County   | 180004           | Jan. 30, 1975, Emerg.; July 18, 1983, Reg.;<br>Nov. 5, 2003, Susp.  |                            | Do.   |
| Minnesota: Isanti County, Unincorporated Areas. Wisconsin:                           | 270197           | Apr. 4, 1972, Emerg.; May 19, 1981, Reg.;<br>Nov. 5, 2003, Susp.  | do                         | Do.   |
| Darlington, City of, Lafayette County  | 550228           | Aug. 18, 1972, Emerg.; Sep. 15, 1978, Reg.; Nov. 5, 2003, Susp.   | do                         | Do.   |
| Lafayette County, Unincorporated Areas.  | 550223           | Mar. 10, 1972, Emerg.; Sept. 15, 1978, Reg.; Nov. 5, 2003, Susp.  | do                         | Do.   |
| Region IV  |                  |   |                            |   |
| South Carolina: Lancaster County, Unincorporated Areas.                              | 450120           | July 3, 1975, Emerg.; Jan. 6, 1983, Reg.;<br>Nov. 19, 2003, Susp  | 11/19/2003                 | 11/19/2003  |
| Region VIII  |                  |   |                            |   |
| South Dakota: Aurora County, Unincorporated Areas Plankinton, City of, Aurora County | 460293<br>460001 | Nov. 19, 2003, Reg.; Nov. 19, 2003, Susp<br>Sept. 29, 1975, Emerg.; Aug. 5, 1986,<br>Reg.; Nov. 19, 2003, Susp. | dodo                       | Do.<br>Do.  |

<sup>\*</sup>do=Ditto.

Code for reading third column: Emerg.-Emergency; Reg.-Regular; Susp.-Suspension.

Dated: October 30, 2003.

## Anthony S. Lowe,

Mitigation Division Director, Emergency Preparedness and Response Directorate. [FR Doc. 03–27976 Filed 11–5–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6718–05–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### Office of the Secretary

#### 45 CFR part 5b

### **Privacy Act; Implementation**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, HHS. **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) of the Department of Health and Human Services has implemented a new system of records (SOR) entitled the "Program Information Management System (PTMS), HHS/OS/OCR (09–90–0052)." This system has replaced OCR's two previous systems of records, the "Case Information Management System (CIMS), HHS/OS/OCR (09–90–0050)," and the "Complaint File and Log, HHS/

OS/OCR (09–90–0051)." PIMS is a new integrated system with enhanced electronic storage, retrieval and tracking capacities. The final rule exempts the investigative records in PIMS from the notification, access, correction and amendment provisions of the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. 552a, pursuant to subsection (k)(2), which applies to investigative materials compiled for law enforcement purposes.

**DATES:** This is effective on November 6, 2003

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Norman Oslik, Chief Information Officer, Office for Civil Rights, Department of Health and Human Services, Room 509F, Hubert H. Humphrey Building, 200 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20201. Telephone number: (202) 619–0553. (TTY No. 1–800–537–7697).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) is responsible for enforcing Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and other statutes that prohibit discrimination by

programs or entities that receive Federal financial assistance. Additionally, OCR has jurisdiction over Federally conducted programs in cases involving disability based discrimination under section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, over state and local public entities in cases involving disability based discrimination under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and certain health plans, health clearing houses, and health care providers with respect to enforcement of medical privacy obligations under the Heath Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA).

Formerly, OCR maintained two systems of records: The "Case Information Management System (CIMS) HHS/OS/OCR (09–90–0050)," and the "Complaint File and Log, HHS/OS/OCR (09–90–0051)." CIMS included the Case Activity Tracking System (CATS) which was created to use newer technology (i.e., moved CIMS off a mainframe computer onto a local network environment), but continued to collect and store the same information as in CIMS. Records maintained in the Complaint File and Log were exempted

from the notification, access, correction and amendment provisions of the Privacy Act under subsection (k)(2)concerning records compiled for law enforcement purposes. 49 FR 14107 (April 10, 1984).

Pursuant to the notification of a new system of records (SOR), published in the Federal Register on September 6, 2002 (67 FR 57011), OCR implemented a new system of records, Program Information Management System (PIMS), HHS/OS/OCR (09-90-0052). PIMS is used by OCR staff and consists of an electronic repository of information and documents and supplementary paper document files. PIMS effectively combines and replaces OCR's two former systems of records (CIMS and Complaint File and Log) into a single integrated system with enhanced electronic storage, retrieval and tracking capacities. While the types of information collected and stored in PIMS are the same as those stored in CIMS and Complaint File and Log, PIMS allows OCR to more effectively manage the data it collects.

OCK investigative files maintained in PIIMS either as paper records or electronic documents are records compiled for law enforcement purposes. In the course of investigations, OCR often has a need to obtain confidential information involving individuals other than the complainant. In these cases, it is necessary for OCR to preserve the confidentiality of this information to avoid unwarranted invasions of personal privacy and to assure recipients of Federal financial assistance that such information provided to OCR will be kept confidential. This assurance is often central to resolving disputes concerning access by OCR to the recipient's records, and is necessary to facilitate prompt and effective completion of the investigations.

Unrestricted disclosure of confidential information in OCR files can impede ongoing investigations, invade personal privacy of individuals, reveal the identities of confidential sources, or otherwise impair the ability of OCR to conduct investigations. For these reasons, the Department published a notice of proposed rulemaking, 67 FR 56252 (September 3, 2002) to exempt all investigative records maintained in PIMS from the notification, access, correction and amendment provisions under subsection (k)(2) of the Privacy Act. The Department received no public comments.

#### List of Subjects in 45 CFR Part 5b

Privacy.

■ For reasons set out in the preamble, the Department's Privacy Act Regulation,

part Sb of 45 CFR Subtitle A, is amended another facilities-based carrier's as follows:

## PART 5b—PRIVACY ACT **REGULATIONS**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 5b continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 301; 5 U.S.C. 552a.

■ 2. Section 5b.11 is amended by adding paragraph (b)(2)(ii)(G) to read as follows:

## §5b.11 Exempt systems.

(b) \* \* \* (2) \* \* \*

(ii) \* \* \* (G) Investigative materials compiled for law enforcement purposes for the Program Information Management System, HHS/OS/OCR.

Dated: August 29, 2003.

### Richard M. Campanelli,

Director, Office for Civil Rights.

Dated: October 28, 2003.

## Tommy G. Thompson,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-27716 Filed 11-5-03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4153-01-P

#### **FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS** COMMISSION

## 47 CFR Part 64

[CC Docket No. 96-128; FCC 03-235]

## The Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the **Telecommunications Act of 1996**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document adopts new payphone compensation rules that place liability on the facilities-based long distance carrier to compensate payphone service providers (PSPs) for payphone-originated calls that are completed on that facilities-based long distance carrier's platform. The Commission also establishes a payment mechanism for switch-based resellers (SBRs) to compensate PSPs for this liability. In satisfying its liability obligation to a PSP, the SBR must establish its own call tracking system, have a third party attest that the system accurately tracks payphone calls to completion, and pay a PSP directly based on the SBR's own call tracking data. Other facilities-based long distance carriers in the call path, if any, must provide reports to the PSPs of payphone-originated calls switched to

platform.

DATES: This Report and Order readopts, on an interim basis until the effective date of the final rules in this document, those rules initially adopted at 66 FR 21105, April 27, 2001 in the Second Order on Reconsideration. These rules, currently set forth at 47 CFR 64.1300(a), 64.1310(a), and 64.1310(b), are effective November 6, 2003. The final rules in this document contain information collection requirements that are contingent upon approval of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The Commission will publish a document in the **Federal Register** announcing the effective date of these final rules.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Report and Order (R&O) in CC Docket No. 96-128, FCC 03-235, adopted September 30, 2003, and released October 3, 2003. Filings and comments are also available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours at the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. They may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 202-863-2893, facsimile 202-863-2898, or via e-mail qualexint@aol.com.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Henry L. Thaggert, Attorney-Advisor, Competition Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, at (202) 418–7941, or via the Internet at henry.thaggert@fcc.gov.

## Synopsis of the Report and Order

1. The Commission adopts these rules to ensure that PSPs are "fairly compensated" for all SBR completed calls made from their payphones under section 276 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. These rules satisfy section 276 by identifying the party liable for compensation and establishing a mechanism for PSPs to be paid. These rules are based on what the Commission has learned from input over the past seven years from the payphone and SBR industries, and from experience in implementing section 276 in various orders addressing problems raised by the parties over the years.

2. Background. This R&O is the result of a court remand of an earlier attempt by the Commission to remedy problems in the payphone compensation rules. In January 2003, on a petition for review, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (DC Circuit) vacated and remanded this