3. The FAA will try to accommodate all speakers. If the available time does not permit this, speakers generally will be scheduled on a first-come-first-served basis. However, the FAA reserves the right to exclude some speakers if necessary to present a balance of viewpoints and issues.

4. Sign and oral interpretation can be made available at the meeting, as well as an assistive listening device, if requested at the above number listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT at least 10 calendar days before the meeting.

5. Representatives from FAA and JAA will preside over the meeting.

6. The FAA and JAA will review and consider all material presented by participants at the meeting. Position papers or material presenting views or information related to proposed harmonization initiatives may be accepted at the direction of the FAA and JAA. The FAA requests that persons participating in the meeting provide copies of all materials to be presented. Copies may be provided to the audience at the discretion of the participant.

7. Statements made by the FAA and JAA are intended to facilitate discussion of issues or to clarify issues. Any statement made during the meeting by an official is not intended to be, and should not be construed as, a position of the FAA or JAA.

8. The meeting is designed to solicit public views and more complete information on proposed harmonization initiatives. Therefore, the meeting will be conducted in an informal and nonadversarial manner. No individual will be subject to cross-examination by any other participant; however, panel members may ask questions to clarify a statement and to ensure a complete and accurate record.

Issued in Washington, DC, on November 5, 2003.

Brenda D. Courtney,

Manager, Aircraft and Airport Rules Division. [FR Doc. 03–28241 Filed 11–5–03; 3:38 pm] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) Approvals and Disapprovals

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Monthly notice PFC approvals and disapprovals. In August 2003, there were seven applications approved. This notice also includes information on one application, approved in July 2003, inadvertently left off the July 2003 notice. Additionally, 24 approved amendments to previously approved applications are listed.

SUMMARY: The FAA publishes a monthly notice, as appropriate, of PFC approvals and disapprovals under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158). This notice is published pursuant to paragraph (d) of § 158.29.

PFC Applications Approved

Public Agency: City of North Bend and Port of Coos Bay, North Bend, Oregon.

Application Number: 03–06–C–00– OTH.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$287.000.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: August 1, 2005.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: February 1, 2009.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: Non-scheduled air taxi/ commercial operators utilizing aircraft having a seating capacity of less than 20 passengers.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at North Bend Municipal Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use at a \$4.50 PFC Level: Renovation of runway 13/31 lighting system, signage system, navigational aids, and backup generator; Drainage improvement renovations for runway 13/31 and the parallel taxiway system for runway 13/31; Reconstruction and extension of the existing parallel taxiway system for runway 13/31; Security enhancements; Environmental assessment for relocating taxiway C; Existing terminal renovation.

Decision Date: July 29, 2003.

For Further Information Contact: Suzanne Lee-Pang, Seattle Airports District Office, (425) 227–2654.

Public Agency: Tupelo Airport

Authority, Tupelo, Mississippi. *Application Number:* 03–03–C–00– TUP.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$750,000.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: January 1, 2004.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: January 1, 2013.

Class of Air Carriers not Required To Collect PFC's: None.

Brief Description of Project Approved for Collection and Use at a \$4.50 PFC Level: Terminal expansion, renovation, and security enhancement.

Decision Date: August 8, 2003.

For Further Information Contact: David Shumate, Jackson Airports

District Office (601) 664–9882.

Public Agency: City of Lebanon, New Hampshire.

Application Number: 03–05–C–00– LEB.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$63,774.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: November 1, 2003.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: May 1, 2006.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: Air taxi/commercial operators—non-scheduled/on-demand air carriers.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Lebanon Municipal Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use at a \$4.50 PFC Level: Purchase snow removal equipment (loader); Hazard beacon winch acquisition; Security system upgrade; Environmental assessment; Purchase snow removal equipment (plow truck); Airport terminal building renovations; PFC administration.

Decision Date: August 19, 2003. For Further Information Contact: Priscilla Scott, New England Region

Airports Division, (781) 238–7614.

Public Agency: City of Rapid City, South Dakota.

Application Number: 03–03–C–00– RAP.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$1,591,925.

Earliest Charge Effective Date:

September 1, 2003.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: July 1, 2006.

Classes of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFCs: Air taxi/commercial operators filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public

agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Rapid City Regional Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use: General aviation ramp rehabilitation; runway safety area preliminary design; wildlife assessment; cargo/carrier ramp expansion; terminal apron lighting; runway 14/32 runway safety area correction projects; airport layout plan update; terminal building master plan; taxiway A realignment feasibility study; aircraft rescue and firefighting station sprinkler; friction measuring equipment; replace terminal revolving doors; pavement surface condition sensor; terminal roof rehabilitation; security system upgrade; runway 5/23 rehabilitation; taxiway B rehabilitation; passenger loading bridge (jetway); covered passenger walkway to terminal parking; terminal building hearing, ventilation, and air conditioning and sidewalk rehabilitation; covered boarding walkway.

Decision Date: August 18, 2003. For Further Information Contact: Thomas T. Schauer, Bismarck Airports District Office, (701) 323–7380.

Public Agency: Airport Authority of Washoe County, Reno, Nevada.

Application Number: 03–07–C–00– RNO.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$16,866,097.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: November 1, 2003.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: November 1, 2005.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFCs: Nonscheduled/on-demand air carriers filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Reno/ Tahoe International Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use at a \$4.50 PFC Level: Terminal apron reconstruction design—phase 6; terminal apron reconstruction—phase 6; replace four jet bridges.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use at a \$3.00 PFC Level: Second floor concourse build out; ground service equipment ramp pavement reconstruction; replace chiller number 2 mechanical system. Brief Description of Project Approved for Collection at a \$3.00 PFC Level: Southern central disposal facility.

Brief Description of Project Partially Approved for Collection at a \$3.00 PFC Level: Airfield maintenance replacement facilities.

Determination: Partially approved. The FAA determined that several of the structures were not eligible in accordance with Appendix 1 of FAA Order 5100.38B, Airport Improvement Program (AIP) Handbook, (May 31, 2002).

Brief Description of Project Disapproved for Collection and Use: Geographic information system.

Determination: Disapproved. As a stand-alone project, the geographic information system does not meet the requirements of § 158.15(a) and/or § 158.17(b). Furthermore, this project does not meet the requirements of § 158.15(b)(2), that is, this project is not an AIP eligible planning project in accordance with paragraph 405u of FAA Order 5100.38B, AIP Handbook, (May 31, 2002).

Brief Description of Projects Disapproved for Collection: 3.6 acres wetlands mitigation bank.

Determination: Disapproved. This project does not meet the requirements of § 158.15(b)(1) in that it is not AIP eligible in accordance with paragraph 585c of FAA Order 5100.38B, AIP Handbook, (May 31, 2002).

10 acres wetlands mitigation bank. Determination: Disapproved. This project does not meet the requirements of § 158.15(b)(1) in that it is not AIP eligible in accordance with paragraph 585c of FAA Order 5100.38B, AIP Handbook, (May 31, 2002).

Decision Date: August 21, 2003. For Further Information Contact: Marlys Lingsch, San Francisco Airports District Office, (650) 876–2806.

Public Agency: Indianapolis Airport Authority, Indianapolis, Indiana.

Application Number: 03–04–C–00– IND.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$59,000.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: September 1, 2022.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: October 1, 2022.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: Non-scheduled, ondemand air carriers.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Indianapolis International Airport.

Brief Description of Project Approved for use at a \$4.50 PFC Level: Midfield terminal.

Brief Description of Project Approved for Collection and Use at a \$3.00 PFC Level: Preparation of PFC application and amendment (2003).

Decision Date: August 25, 2003. *For Further Information Contact:* Garv

Regan, Chicago Airports District Office, (847) 294–7525.

Public Agency: City of Chicago– Department of Aviation, Chicago, Illinois.

Application Number: 03–10–C–00– MDW.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$1,550,000.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: January 1, 2040.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: February 1, 2040.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC's: Air taxis.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Chicago Midway International Airport (MDW).

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection at MDW and Use at Gary/ Chicago Airport: Expand passenger terminal building; Hangar ramp construction.

Brief Description of Withdrawn Project: Drainage improvements.

Determination: Withdrawn by the public agency on June 3, 2003.

Decision Date: August 26, 2003. For Further Information Contact:

Philip M. Smithmeyer, Chicago Airports District Office, (847) 294–7335.

Public Agency: Pennsylvania State University, University Park,

Pennsylvania.

Application Number: 03–03–C–00– UNV.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$3.00.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: August 1, 2003.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date:

November 1, 2003.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Earliest Charge Effective Date:

November 1, 2003.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: November 1, 2008.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$1,510,612.

Class of Air Carriers Not Required To Collect PFC'S: Air taxis operating under Part 135 and filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information contained in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the proposed class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at University Park Airport.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use at a \$4.50 PFC Level: Replace automated weather observing system III; Acquire aircraft rescue and firefighting vehicle (1500 gallon); Acquire snow removal vehicles; Remove obstructions runway 6/24 runway protection zone; Automated deicing containment facility; Conduct 5 year environmental assessment; Relocate runway end identifier lights system, runway 6; Update hold position markings; Rehabilitate and expand terminal apron; Security enhancements (conduct security study); Conduct terminal area plan; Conduct airport geographic information system, phase II; Modify terminal building; Acquire land for runway approach—Emberton; Acquire aircraft rescue and firefighting safety equipment (fire suits); Design and construct deicing facility; Acquire handicap passenger boarding device; Design and construct snow removal storage building; PFC administration.

Decision Date: August 28, 2003. For Further Information Contact: Lori Ledebohm, Harrisburg Airports District Office, (717) 730–2835.

AMENDMENTS TO PFC APPROVALS

	[
	Amendment	Original ap-	Amended ap-	Original esti-	Amended esti-
Amendment No. City, State	approved date	proved net	proved net	mated charge	mated charge
	approvod dato	PFC revenue	PFC revenue	exp. date	exp. date
96–01–I–04–BTV, Burlington, VT *	06/20/03	\$23,579,704	\$23,579,704	11/01/10	03/01/09
98-02-C-02-BTV, Burlington, VT *	6/20/03	40,000	40,000	12/01/10	04/01/09
00-03-C-02-BTV, Burlington, VT *	6/20/03	1,788,581	1,788,581	02/01/12	10/01/09
99–03–C–02–PLB, Plattsburgh, NY	07/31/03	7,264	7,090	02/01/99	12/01/98
98-05-C-03-MCO, Orlando, FL	08/01/03	111,734,000	113,965,696	01/01/01	03/01/01
99-06-C-02-MCO, Orlando, FL	08/01/03	95,772,673	86,619,348	05/01/03	04/01/03
00-07-C-01-MCO, Orlando, FL	08/01/03	174,364,294	187,429,617	04/01/08	08/01/08
02-09-C-01-MCO, Orlando, FL	08/01/03	219,494,000	222,974,000	02/01/17	09/01/17
99-03-C-02-JAN, Jackson, MS*	08/05/03	11,925,562	11,925,562	02/01/07	01/01/06
98–05–I–02–JAC, Jackson, WY	08/06/03	1,903,869	1,973,523	11/01/04	11/01/04
99–06–U–02–JAC, Jackson, WY	08/06/03	NA	NA	11/01/04	11/01/04
01–05–C–01–DLH, Duluth, MN	08/13/03	541,256	557,885	04/01/03	05/01/03
92–01–C–04–RSW, Fort Myers, FL*	08/14/03	244,799,120	156,035,674	12/01/15	03/01/11
93–02–U–02–RSW, Fort Myers, FL	08/14/03	NA	NA	12/01/15	03/01/11
94–03–U–01–RSW, Fort Myers, FL	08/14/03	NA	NA	12/01/15	03/01/11
97–04–U–01–RSW, Fort Myers, FL	08/14/03	NA	NA	12/01/15	03/01/11
99–02–C–02–CID, Cedar Rapids, IA	08/18/03	4,210,583	4,841,906	12/01/03	03/01/04
00–02–C–01–SBA, Santa Barbara, CA*	08/22/03	5,512,330	5,362,104	05/01/07	08/01/05
02–03–C–01–SBA, Santa Barbara, CA*	08/22/03	436,043	436,043	08/01/06	08/01/06
00–02–C–01–EKO, Elko, NV *	08/22/03	6,194,920	6,194,920	09/01/18	02/01/21
96-01-C-01-EWN, New Bern, NC *	08/28/03	10,681,398	10,681,398	05/01/22	11/01/24
98-02-U-01-EWN, New Bern, NC	08/28/03	NA	NA	05/01/22	11/01/24
92-01-C-03-UNV, University Park, PA	08/28/03	1,724,197	1,710,088	09/01/99	08/01/99
99-02-C-02-UNV, University Park, PA	08/28/03	1,597,102	1,227,852	10/01/04	08/01/03

Note: The amendments denoted by an asterisk (*) include a change to the PFC level charged from \$3.00 per enplaned passenger to \$4.50 per enplaned passenger. For Burlington, VT, this change is effective on August 1, 2003. For Jackson, MS, this change is effective on October 1, 2003. For Santa Barbara, CA, Fort Myers, FL, Elko, NV, and New Bern, NC, this change is effective on November 1, 2003.

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 31, 2003.

Frank San Martin,

Acting Manager, Financial Analysis and Passenger Facility Charge Branch. [FR Doc. 03–28140 Filed 11–7–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Additional Requirements: Aquila GmbH Engine Mount Connection Design Criteria and Winglets for the Aquila GmbH AT01 JAR–VLA Airplane

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of issuance of design criteria.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the issuance of the design criteria for (a) fire protection of the connection between the metal structure of an engine mount and composite airframe and (b) structural substantiation of the winglets for the Aquila GmbH AT01. These additional provisions addressing JAR-VLA (Joint Aviation Requirements-Very Light Aircraft) parts 865, 1191, and 445 are the same as those issued by the airworthiness authority for Germany, the Luftfahrt-Bundesamt (LBA), in the original certification of the aircraft. The airplane will be certificated under the provisions of 14 CFR part 21, §21.29, as a 14 CFR part 21, §21.17(b), special class aircraft, JAR-VLA, using the requirements of JAR-VLA Amendment VLA/92/01 as developed by the Joint Aviation Authority, and under Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 20, 2003.

For further information contact: $\ensuremath{Mr}\xspace$

Karl Schletzbaum, Project Support Office, ACE–112, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri 64106 or at telephone number 816–329–4146.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion of Comments

A notice of availability was published on September 2, 2003 (68 FR 56809). No comments were received, and the design criteria are adopted as proposed.

Design Criteria

This airplane will be certified under the requirements of JAR–VLA (Joint Aviation Requirements-Very Light Aircraft) Amendment VLA/92/01 as developed by the Joint Aviation Authority and 14 CFR part 21, § 21.17.