

will not be required before parties may file suit in court challenging this rule.

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

This proposed rule contains no information collection or recordkeeping requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

#### List of Subjects in 9 CFR Part 94

Animal diseases, Imports, Livestock, Meat and meat products, Milk, Poultry and poultry products, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, we are proposing to amend 9 CFR part 94 as follows:

#### **PART 94—RINDERPEST, FOOT-AND-MOUTH DISEASE, FOWL PEST (FOWL PLAGUE), EXOTIC NEWCASTLE DISEASE, AFRICAN SWINE FEVER, HOG CHOLERA, AND BOVINE SPONGIFORM ENCEPHALOPATHY: PROHIBITED AND RESTRICTED IMPORTATIONS**

1. The authority citation for part 94 would continue to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 450, 7701–7772, and 8301–8317; 21 U.S.C. 136 and 136a; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 42 U.S.C. 4331 and 4332; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.4.

2. In § 94.1, a new paragraph (b)(4) would be added to read as follows:

#### **§ 94.1 Regions where rinderpest or foot-and-mouth disease exists; importations prohibited.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(4) Except as provided in § 94.21 for fresh (chilled or frozen) beef from Uruguay.

\* \* \* \* \*

3. A new § 94.21 would be added to read as follows:

#### **§ 94.21 Restrictions on importation of beef from Uruguay.**

Notwithstanding any other provisions of this part, fresh (chilled or frozen) beef from Uruguay may be exported to the United States under the following conditions:

(a) The meat is beef from bovines that have been born, raised, and slaughtered in Uruguay.

(b) Foot-and-mouth disease has not been diagnosed in Uruguay within the previous 12 months.

(c) The beef came from bovines that originated from premises where foot-and-mouth disease has not been present during the lifetime of any bovines slaughtered for the export of beef to the United States.

(d) The beef came from bovines that were moved directly from the premises of origin to the slaughtering

establishment without any contact with other animals.

(e) The beef came from bovines that received ante-mortem and post-mortem veterinary inspections, paying particular attention to the head and feet, at the slaughtering establishment, with no evidence found of vesicular disease.

(f) The beef consists only of bovine parts that are, by standard practice, part of the animal's carcass that is placed in a chiller for maturation after slaughter. Bovine parts that may not be imported include all parts of bovine heads, feet, hump, hooves, and internal organs.

(g) All bone and visually identifiable blood clots and lymphoid tissue have been removed from the beef.

(h) The beef has not been in contact with meat from regions other than those listed in § 94.1(a)(2).

(i) The beef came from bovine carcasses that were allowed to mature at 40 to 50° F (4 to 10° C) for a minimum of 36 hours after slaughter and that reached a pH of 5.8 or less in the loin muscle at the end of the maturation period. Any carcass in which the pH does not reach 5.8 or less may be allowed to mature an additional 24 hours and be retested, and, if the carcass still has not reached a pH of 5.8 or less after 60 hours, the meat from the carcass may not be exported to the United States.

(j) An authorized veterinary official of the Government of Uruguay certifies on the foreign meat inspection certificate that the above conditions have been met.

(k) The establishment in which the bovines are slaughtered allows periodic on-site evaluation and subsequent inspection of its facilities, records, and operations by an APHIS representative.

Done in Washington, DC, this 5th day of February, 2003.

**Kevin Shea,**

*Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. 03–3228 Filed 2–7–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–34–P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### **14 CFR Part 71**

**[Docket No. FAA–2003–14348; Airspace Docket No. 03–ACE–5]**

#### **Proposed Establishment of Class E Surface Area Airspace; and Modification of Class D Airspace; Topeka, Forbes Field, KS**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** This notice proposes to create a Class E surface area at Topeka, Forbes Field, KS for those times when the air traffic control tower (ATCT) is closed. It also proposes to modify the Class D airspace at Topeka, Forbes Field, KS.

**DATES:** Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before March 25, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments on this proposal to the Docket Management System, U.S. Department of Transportation, Room Plaza 401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590–0001. You must identify the docket number FAA–2003–14348/ Airspace Docket No. 03–ACE–5, at the beginning of your comments. You may also submit comments on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>. You may review the public docket containing the proposal, any comments received, and any final disposition in person in the Dockets Office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Office (telephone 1–800–647–5527) is on the plaza level of the Department of Transportation NASSIF Building at the above address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kathy Randolph, Air Traffic Division, Airspace Branch, ACE–520C, DOT Regional Headquarters Building, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106; telephone: (816) 329–2525.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Comments Invited**

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments, as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal. Communications should identify both docket numbers and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Comments wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with those comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: Comments to Docket No. FAA–2003–14348/Airspace Docket No. 03–ACE–5." The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter.

## Availability of NPRM's

An electronic copy of this document may be downloaded through the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>. Recently published rulemaking documents can also be accessed through the FAA's Web page at <http://www.faa.gov> or the Superintendent of Document's Web page at <http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara>.

Additionally, any person may obtain a copy of this notice by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Air Traffic Airspace Management, ATA-400, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591, or by calling (202) 267-8783. Communications must identify both docket numbers for this notice. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRM's should contact the FAA's Office of Rulemaking (202) 267-9677, to request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11-2A, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Distribution System, which describes the application procedure.

## The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) by establishing Class E airspace designated as a surface area for an airport at Topeka, Forbes Field, KS. Controlled airspace extending upward from the surface of the earth is needed to contain aircraft executing instruments approach procedures. This airspace would be in effect during those times when the ATCT is closed. Weather observations would be provided by an Automated Surface Observing System (ASOS) and communications would be through the Wichita Automated Flight Service Station. The area would be depicted on appropriate aeronautical charts. The FAA is also considering modifying Class D airspace at Topeka, Forbes Field, KS. An examination of the Class D airspace for Topeka, Forbes Field, KS has revealed a discrepancy in the airport reference point used for the Class D airspace legal description. This proposal would correct that discrepancy by incorporating the current airport reference point in the Class D airspace for Topeka, Forbes Field, KS.

Class E airspace areas designed as surface areas are published in Paragraph 6002 of FAA Order 7400.9K, dated August 30, 2002, and effective September 16, 2002, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 Class D airspace areas are published in Paragraph 5000 of the same FAA Order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an

established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore, (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (Air).

## The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

## PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D, AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIRWAYS; ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

### § 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9K, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 30, 2002, and effective September 16, 2002, is amended as follows:

*Paragraph 6002 Class E Airspace Designated as Surface Areas.*

\* \* \* \* \*

### ACE KS E2—Topeka, Forbes Field, KS

Topeka, Forbes Field, KS  
(Lat. 38°57'03" N., long. 95°39'49" W.)

Within a 4.6-mile radius of Forbes Field. This Class E airspace area is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Airport/Facility Directory.

\* \* \* \* \*

*Paragraph 5000 Class D Airspace.*

\* \* \* \* \*

### ACE KS D—Topeka, Forbes Field, KS

Topeka, Forbes Field, KS

(Lat. 38°57'03" N., long. 95°39'49" W.)

That airspace extending upward from the surface to and including 3,600 feet MSL within a 4.6-mile radius of Forbes Field. This Class D airspace area is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Airport/Facility Directory.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Kansas City, MO, on January 27, 2003.

**Herman J. Lyons, Jr.**

*Manager, Air Traffic Division, Central Region.*

[FR Doc. 03–3267 Filed 2–7–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–M**

## LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

## Copyright Office

### 37 CFR Part 201

[Docket No. RM 2002–4A]

## Exemption to Prohibition on Circumvention of Copyright Protection Systems for Access Control Technologies

**AGENCY:** Copyright Office, Library of Congress.

**ACTION:** Notice of inquiry.

**SUMMARY:** The Copyright Office of the Library of Congress has granted a petition by Static Control Components, Inc. to consider a newly-proposed class of works to be exempted from the prohibition on circumvention of technological measures that control access to copyrighted works as part of a pending rulemaking pursuant to the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. The Office has posted Static Control's comment in support of the proposed exemption on its website and seeks reply comments on the proposed exemption.

**DATES:** Reply comments must be received by the Copyright Office General Counsel no later than 5 pm Eastern Standard Time on March 10, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Electronic Internet submissions must be made through the Copyright Office Web site at <http://www.copyright.gov/1201/comment/forms>. See Exemption to Prohibition on Circumvention of Copyright Protection Systems for Access Control Technologies, 67 FR 63578, 63582 (October 15, 2002), for file formats and other information about electronic and non-electronic filing requirements. If delivered by hand, comments should be delivered to the Office of the General Counsel, Copyright