Stockbridge-Munsee Community and the State of Wisconsin gaming Compact of 1992, as amended in 1998. By the terms of IGRA, the Amendment is considered approved, but only to the extent that the Amendment is consistent with the provisions of IGRA. The Amendment authorizes the Tribe to pay the State between two and a quarter and five percent of net revenues from all class III gaming. The payment to the State is reduced if the scope of non-Indian gaming is expanded within the State or if a federally recognized tribe opens a class III gaming facility within seventy miles of the tribes on reservation gaming facility. In addition the Amendment authorizes, inter alia, all banking, percentage and pari-mutuel card games, all forms of live poker, craps, all banking and non-banking dice games, roulette and other wheel games, keno, wheel of fortune, baccarat-chemin de fer, pari-mutuel wagering on horse, harness and dog racing events, Caribbean stud poker, let-it-ride, and pai-gow poker.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 9, 2003.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

George T. Skibine, Director, Office of Indian Gaming Management, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Washington, DC 20240, (202) 219–4066.

Dated: December 2, 2003.

### Aurene M. Martin,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 03–30504 Filed 12–8–03; 8:45 am]

# DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### **Bureau of Reclamation**

## Adjustment of the Amount of an Administrative Costs Assessment

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of adjustment of the amount of an administrative costs assessment (43 CFR 426.20[e]).

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation, we, our, or us) is increasing the amount of the administrative costs assessment set forth in the Acreage Limitation Rules and Regulations (Regulations), 43 CFR part 426. Section 426.20(e) of the Regulations requires us to periodically review the amount of the administrative costs we incur as a result of certain Reclamation Reform Act of 1982 (RRA) forms and excess land problems and, if needed, adjust the amount of the assessment to reflect new cost data. Based on our latest review of the

associated costs, the current \$260 administrative costs assessment is being increased to \$290.

**DATES:** The increase in the amount of the administrative costs assessment to \$290 becomes effective on January 1, 2004. See the last paragraph in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for more details regarding application of the new amount of the assessment.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Bureau of Reclamation, Office of Program and Policy Services, Attention: D–5200, P.O. Box 25007, Denver, Colorado 80225.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background: Section 426.20 of the Regulations provides that we will assess districts administrative costs if: (1) A district delivers Reclamation irrigation water to land that was ineligible because a landholder did not submit certification or reporting forms to the district prior to receipt of the water; (2) a district does not provide us with corrected landholder certification or reporting forms within 60 calendar days of our request for corrections; or (3) a district delivers Reclamation irrigation water to ineligible excess land. Section 426.20(e) sets the amount of the administrative fee at \$260. The amount is based on the additional costs we incur to perform activities to address the problems described in the first sentence of this paragraph. Section 426.20(e) further provides that we will review the associated costs at least once every 5 years and adjust the assessment amount, if needed, to reflect new cost data.

Review Periods: The regulatory provisions for the administrative costs assessment became effective on March 27, 1995. In 2000, we reviewed the cost data for 1995–1999 and determined that the amount of the assessment should remain at \$260. In July 2003, we reviewed the cost data for 2000–2002 and determined that the amount of the assessment needs to be increased by \$30, to \$290. In 2006, we will review the cost data for 2003–2005 and determine if the amount of the administrative costs assessment needs to be adjusted again.

Application of the New Administrative Costs Assessment: The new amount of the administrative costs assessment becomes effective on January 1, 2004. However, application will be based on the date Reclamation actually finds and documents the forms or excess land problem in question. More specifically, if after January 1, 2004, we find a forms or excess land problem described in 43 CFR 426.20, the amount of the administrative costs assessment will be \$290. This will be

the case even if the problem occurred prior to January 1, 2004. For problems we find prior to January 1, 2004, the amount of the administrative costs assessment will remain at \$260.

Dated: October 31, 2003.

### Roseann Gonzales,

Acting Deputy Director, Office of Program and Policy Services.

[FR Doc. 03–30417 Filed 12–8–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation 332-325]

The Economic Effects of Significant U.S. Import Restraints: Fourth Update

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Cancellation of public hearing.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 3, 2003. SUMMARY: On November 28, 2003, the Commission received notice that the only scheduled witnesses for the hearing scheduled for December 9, 2003, in this matter have elected to have their written submission serve as a substitute for their oral statement. Therefore, the public hearing in connection with this investigation, scheduled to be held beginning at 9:30 am on December 9, 2003, at the U.S. **International Trade Commission** Building, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC, is canceled. Notice of institution of this investigation and the scheduling of the hearing was published in the **Federal Register** of August 21, 2003 (68 FR 50553). To be assured of consideration by the Commission, written statements relating to the Commission's report should be submitted at the earliest practical date and should be received not later than COB January 10, 2004. All submissions should be addressed to the Secretary, United States International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission's Rules (19CFR201.8) (see Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, ftp://ftp.usitc.gov/pub/  $reports/electronic\_filing\_handbook.pdf).$ FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Soamiely Andriamananjara, Project Leader (202–205–3252) or Marinos Tsigas, Deputy Project Leader (202–708– 3654), Office of Economics, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC 20436. For information on the legal aspects of this investigation, contact William Gearhart of the Office of the General Counsel (202–205–2091). Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the TDD terminal on (202–205–1810). Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000.

### List of Subjects

U.S. Import Restraints, Nontariff measures (NTM), Tariffs, Imports.

By Order of the Commission. Issued: December 3, 2003.

# Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–30443 Filed 12–8–03; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# Notice of Lodging of Partial Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

Under 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on December 3, 2003, a proposed Consent Decree on Combined Sewer Overflows, Wastewater Treatment Plants and Implementation of Capacity Assurance Program Plan ("proposed Final Consent Decree") in United States and State of Ohio v. Board of County Commissioners of Hamilton County and the City of Cincinnati, Civil Action Nos. C-1-02-107 and C-1-02-108, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio. On February 15, 2003, the United States previously lodged a proposed Interim Partial Consent Decree on Sanitary Sewer Overflows ("proposed IPCD"). Notice of the proposed IPCD was previously published in the Federal Register, and public comments were received on the proposed IPCD from February 28-March 28, 2002. 67 F.R. 9320-21 (Feb. 28, 2002).

On February 15, 2003, concurrently with the lodging of the proposed IPCD, the United States and State of Ohio filed separate complaints seeking injunctive relief from defendants for unauthorized discharges from their sanitary sewer system, located in Hamilton County, Ohio. These unauthorized discharges are also known as sanitary sewer overflows, or SSOs, and are violations of the Clean Water Act. On December 3, 2003, concurrently with the lodging of the proposed Final Consent Decree, the United States, State of Ohio, and Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission ("ORSANCO") filed a Joint

Amended Complaint seeking injunctive relief and civil penalties from defendants for violations of the Clean Water Act as well as State and ORSANCO laws and regulations relating to SSOs, combined sewer overflows (CSOs), and defendants' wastewater treatment plants ("WWTPs"). In addition, the United States seeks injunctive relief pursuant to Section 504 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1364, for an imminent and substantial endangerment resulting from backups of sewage into basements.

The proposed IPCD and the proposed Final Decree, taken together, require the defendants to: Implement an interim and then permanent remedy for SSO 700; to implement certain specified capital improvement projects for certain SSOs and CSOs; to perform modeling and analysis of their sanitary sewer system; to propose a comprehensive Capacity Assurance Program Plan ("CAPP") to address their remaining SSOs and to provide adequate future system capacity; to develop and implement a Long Term Control Plan Update ("LTCPU") to greatly reduce CSOs and bypassing at WWTPs and bring them into compliance with the law; to implement the CAPP and LTCPU, once they are approved by the plaintiffs; to implement a comprehensive sewage "basement backup" program, including interim prevention (e.g., installing backflow prevention devices in houses to prevent sewage in basement), long-term prevention (implementing any additional remedial measures beyond those required by the CAPP or LTCPU to provide adequate sewer capacity to prevent basement backups in the future), cleanup for houses that have had backups, and reimbursement for losses to real or personal property caused by the backups; to perform several Supplemental Environmental Projects, which must cost a minimum of \$5.3 million; and to pay a civil penalty of \$1.2 million.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed Final Consent Decree and/or the proposed IPCD. (Commenters who have previously submitted comments on the proposed IPCD need not reiterate their comments, and these will be re-reviewed and reconsidered by the United States in light of the proposed Final Consent Decree.) Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, **Environment and Natural Resources** Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20044-7611, and should refer to United

States and State of Ohio v. Board of County Commissioners of Hamilton County and the City of Cincinnati, D.J. Ref. 90–5–1–6–341A.

The Final Consent Decree and/or the IPCD may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of Ohio, 221 E. 4th Street, Atrium II, Suite 400, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202, and at U.S. EPA Region V, 77 West Jackson Blvd, Chicago, IL 60604-3590. A copy of the Final Consent Decree and/or IPCD may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611. During the public comment period, the Final Consent Decree and/or IPCD may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http:// www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html. A copy of the Final Consent Decree and/or IPCD may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or emailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy of either or both proposed consent decrees, please enclose a check payable to the U.S. Treasury for reproduction costs (at 25 cents per page) as follows:

IPCD, inclusive of Exhibits: \$209.00; IPCD, exclusive of Exhibits: \$18.75; Final Consent Decree, inclusive of Exhibits: \$47.00;

Final Consent Decree, exclusive of Exhibits: \$27.25.

### William D. Brighton,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 03–30470 Filed 12–8–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## **Parole Commission**

Public Announcement Pursuant to the Government in the Sunshine Act (Public Law 94–409, 5 U.S.C. Section 552b)

**AGENCY:** Department of Justice, United States Parole Commission.

TIME AND DATE: 9:30 a.m., Thursday, December 11, 2003.

**PLACE:** 5550 Friendship Blvd., Fourth Floor, Chevy Chase, MD 20815.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: The following matters have been placed on