continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: February 26, 2004.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

 $\label{lem:continuous} Deputy\ Assistant\ Secretary\ for\ Import\ Administration,\ Group\ III.$

[FR Doc. 04–4863 Filed 3–3–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-855]

Certain Non-Frozen Apple Juice Concentrate From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the 2002–2003 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Extension of Time Limit

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the 2002–2003 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain nonfrozen apple juice concentrate from the People's Republic of China. The period of review is June 1, 2002, through May 31, 2003. This extension is made pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 4, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Audrey Twyman, or John Brinkmann, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3534, or (202) 482–4126, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department of Commerce ("Department") to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively. The order in this review was published on June 5, 2000. (See

Notice of Amended Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Non– frozen Apple Juice Concentrate from the PRC, 65 FR 35606 (June 5, 2000)).

Background

On July 29, 2003, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the notice of initiation of the antidumping administrative review on certain non–frozen apple juice concentrate from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). (See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Requests for Revocation in Part and Deferral of Administrative Reviews, 68 FR 44524 (July 29, 2003)). The preliminary results are currently due on March 1, 2004.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Additional information is required to evaluate the factors of production and legal structures of the respondent and possible affiliates in the PRC. It is, therefore, not practicable to complete this review within the originally anticipated time limit (i.e., March 1, 2004). Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is postponing the preliminary results of this administrative review for 120 days, until no later than June 29, 2004.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 27, 2004.

Jeffrey May,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 04–4865 Filed 3–3–04; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-412-822]

Stainless Steel Bar from the United Kingdom: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On January 7, 2004, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the first administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless

steel bar from the United Kingdom. The review covers one manufacturer/ exporter. The period of review is August 2, 2001, through January 28, 2002, and March 8, 2002, through February 28, 2003.

We received no comments and have made no changes in the margin calculations since the preliminary results. Therefore, the final results do not differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted—average dumping margin for the reviewed firm is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 4, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rebecca Trainor or Kate Johnson, Office 2, AD/CVD Enforcement Group I, Import Administration—Room B099, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4007 or (202) 482–4929, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The review covers one manufacturer/ exporter: Firth Rixson Special Steels Limited (FRSS). The period of review is August 2, 2001, through January 28, 2002, and March 8, 2002, through February 28, 2003.

On January 7, 2004, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the first administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from the United Kingdom (69 FR 905). We invited parties to comment on the preliminary results of review. We received no comments from any party to the proceeding. We have conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act").

Scope of the Order

For purposes of this order, the term "stainless steel bar" includes articles of stainless steel in straight lengths that have been either hot–rolled, forged, turned, cold–drawn, cold–rolled or otherwise cold–finished, or ground, having a uniform solid cross section along their whole length in the shape of circles, segments of circles, ovals, rectangles (including squares), triangles, hexagons, octagons, or other convex polygons. Stainless steel bar includes

¹ The review period does not include January 29, 2002, through March 7, 2002, for reasons explained in our Notice of Amended Antidumping Duty Orders: Stainless Steel Bar from France, Germany, Italy, Korea, and the United Kingdom, 68 FR 58660 (October 10, 2003).

cold—finished stainless steel bars that are turned or ground in straight lengths, whether produced from hot—rolled bar or from straightened and cut rod or wire, and reinforcing bars that have indentations, ribs, grooves, or other deformations produced during the rolling process.

Except as specified above, the term does not include stainless steel semifinished products, cut length flat-rolled products (i.e., cut length rolled products which if less than 4.75 mm in thickness have a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness, or if 4.75 mm or more in thickness having a width which exceeds 150 mm and measures at least twice the thickness), products that have been cut from stainless steel sheet, strip or plate, wire (i.e., cold-formed products in coils, of any uniform solid cross section along their whole length, which do not conform to the definition of flat-rolled products), and angles, shapes and sections.

The stainless steel bar subject to this order is currently classifiable under subheadings 7222.11.00.05, 7222.11.00.50, 7222.19.00.05, 7222.20.00.5, 7222.20.00.45, 7222.20.00.75, and 7222.30.00.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Final Results of Review

We made no changes from the preliminary results. For the reasons stated in our preliminary results, we determine that the following weighted—average margin percentage exists:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Firth Rixson Special Steels Limited	125.77

Assessment

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of these final results of review. We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse,

for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be the rate indicated above; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company–specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 4.48 percent. This rate is the "All Others" rate from the LTFV investigation. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/ destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation. We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: February 26, 2004.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 04–4866 Filed 3–3–04; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-475-821]

Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Italy; Preliminary Results of Full Sunset Review of Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Results of Full Sunset Review: Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Italy.

SUMMARY: On August 1, 2003, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the countervailing duty order on stainless steel wire rod ("SSWR") from Italy pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews, 68 FR 45219, (August 1, 2003). On the basis of substantive responses filed by domestic and respondent interested parties, the Department is conducting a full sunset review. As a result of this review, the Department preliminarily finds that revocation of the countervailing duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of subsidies at the levels indicated in the Preliminary Results of Review section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 4, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hilary Sadler, Esq. or Martha Douthit,

Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4340 or (202) 482–5050.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Department's Regulations:

The Department's procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews are set forth in 19 CFR 351.218. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3 - Policies Regarding the Conduct of Fiveyear ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("Sunset Policy Bulletin").

Background:

On August 1, 2003, the Department initiated a sunset review of the countervailing duty order on SSWR from Italy, pursuant to section 751(c) of