ACTION: Notice of dispositions of prior petitions.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption part 11 of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR), this notice contains a summary of certain dispositions of certain petitions previously received. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Adams (202) 267–8033, or Sandy Buchanan-Sumter (202) 267–7271, Office of Rulemaking (ARM–1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85 and 11.91.

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 2, 2004.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Dispositions of Petitions

Docket No.: FAA–2004–16913. Petitioner: Peninsula Airways d.b.a. PenAir.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 121.354(b).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Peninsula Airways d.b.a. PenAir, to operate its 4 Cessna 208 Caravans after March 29, 2005, without having an approved terrain awareness and warning system that meets the requirements for Class A equipment in Technical Standard Order C151 installed on each aircraft, subject to certain conditions and limitations.

Grant, 3/23/04, Exemption No. 8279

Docket No.: FAA–2004–17200. Petitioner: Mr. Keith Dale Cole. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 91.109(a).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Mr. Keith Dale Cole, to conduct certain flight training in certain Beechcraft Bonanza/Debonair airplanes that are equipped with a functioning throw-over control wheel.

Grant, 3/23/04, Exemption No. 8278

Docket No.: FAA–2001–10949. Petitioner: FedEx Corporation d.b.a. FedEx Express.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 121.613 and 121.625.

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit FedEx Corporation d.b.a. FedEx Express, to dispatch aircraft under instrument flight rules when conditional language in a one-time increment of the weather forecast states that the weather at the destination airport, alternate airport, or both airports could be below the authorized weather minimums when other time increments of the weather forecast state that weather conditions will be at or above the authorized weather minimums.

Grant, 3/24/04, Exemption No. 8282

Docket No.: FAA-2004-17266. Petitioner: Comair, Inc. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 121.434(c)(1)(ii).

Description of Relief Sought/
Disposition: To permit Comair, Inc., to substitute a qualified and authorized check airman or aircrew program designee for a Federal Aviation Administration inspector to observe a qualifying pilot in command who is completing initial or upgrade training specified in § 121.424 during at least one flight leg that includes a takeoff and a landing.

Grant, 3/24/04, Exemption No. 8281

Docket No.: FAA–2004–17281.

Petitioner: Guidance Helicopters, Inc.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR
135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Guidance Helicopters, Inc., to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO– C112 (Mode S) transponder installed on those aircraft.

Grant, 3/26/04, Exemption No. 8284

Docket No.: FAA–2002–11557.

Petitioner: Bemidji Aviation Services,

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Bemidji Aviation Services, Inc., to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed on those aircraft.

Grant, 3/26/04, Exemption No. 6110D

Docket No.: FAA–2004–17130. Petitioner: Northwest Seaplanes, Inc. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Northwest Seaplanes, Inc., to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO– C112 (Mode S) transponder installed on those aircraft.

Grant, 3/26/04, Exemption No. 8283

Docket No.: FAA-2004-17282.
Petitioner: Executive Air Express, Inc.
Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR
135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Executive Air Express, Inc., to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed on those aircraft.

Grant, 3/26/04, Exemption No. 8285 [FR Doc. 04–7878 Filed 4–6–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Bob Hope Airport, Burbank, CA

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Bob Hope Airport under the provisions of the 49 U.S.C. 40117 and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 7, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Federal Aviation
Administration, Airports Division,
15000 Aviation Blvd., Room 3012,
Lawndale, CA 90261. In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Dan Feger, Deputy Executive Director at the following address: Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority,
2627 Hollywood Way, Burbank, CA
91505.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority under section 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ruben Cabalbag, Airports Program Engineer, Airports Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 15000 Aviation Blvd, Room 3012, Lawndale, CA 90261, telephone (310) 725–3621. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Bob Hope Airport under the provisions of the 49 United States Code (U.S.C.) section 40117 and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On February 27, 2004, the FAA determined that the application to

impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than May 28, 2004.

The following is a brief overview of the impose and use application No. 04–

06-C-00-BUR:

Level of Proposed PFC: \$4.50 Proposed Charge Effective Date: March 1, 2010.

Proposed Charge Expiration Date: July 1, 2010.

Total Estimated PFC Revenue: \$4,500,000.

Brief description of the proposed projects: Aircraft rescue and firefighting (ARFF) vehicle replacement; engineered material arresting system (EMAS); Friction measuring device; runway protection zone land acquisition; Luther Burbank Middle School acoustical systems; noise map geographic information system (GIS) database; hangar 3 obstruction removal; rehabilitation of runway and service road; airfield lighting replacement; and terminal roadway paving.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: Nonscheduled/ on-demand air carriers filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport

Issued in Lawndale, California, on March 23, 2004.

John P. Milligan,

Authority.

Acting Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 04–7882 Filed 4–6–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Grand Canyon West Airport, Peach Springs, AZ

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application. **SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Grand Canyon West Airport under the provision of the 49 U.S.C. 40117 and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 7, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Rory Majenty, Project Manager, Hualapai Indian Tribe, at the following address: Grand Canyon West Airport, P.O. Box 359, Peach Springs, Arizona 86434. Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Hualapai Indian Tribe under section 158.23 of part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mickael Agaibi, Arizona Standards Section Supervisor, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Room 3024, Lawndale, CA 90261, telephone: (310) 725–3611. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Grand Canvon West Airport under the provisions of the 49 U.S.C. 40117 and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158). On March 12, 2004, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the Hualapai Indian Tribe was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than June 16, 2004.

The following is a brief overview of the impose and use application No. 04–01–C–00–1G4:

Level of Proposed PFC: \$3.00. Proposed Charge Effective Date: June . 2004.

Proposed Charge Expiration Date: June 1, 2006.

Total Estimated PFC Revenue: \$308,210.

Brief description of the proposed projects: Design and construct parallel taxiway and associated connector taxiways; design and construct aircraftparking apron; design and construct access road; design and reconstruct the primary runway; and design new terminal building including utilities.

Class or Classes of Air Carriers Which the Public Agency Has Requested Not Be Required To Collect PFCs: None.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT and at the FAA Regional Airports Division located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Room 3024, Lawndale, CA 90261. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Hualapai Indian Tribe.

Issued in Lawndale, California, on March 12, 2004.

Mia Paredes Ratcliff,

Acting Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 04–7881 Filed 4–6–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2004-17472]

Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 1996 Honda CB750 (CB750F2T) Motorcycles Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of petition for decision that nonconforming 1996 Honda CB750 (CB750F2T) motorcycles are eligible for importation.

SUMMARY: This document announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that 1996 Honda CB750 (CB750F2T) motorcycles that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards are eligible for importation into the United States because (1) they are substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured for sale in the United States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards, and (2) they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATES: The closing date for comments on the petition is May 7, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to the docket number and notice number, and be submitted to: Docket