

facility identified in Unit I.B.1. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the appropriate docket ID number.

II. EUP

EPA has issued the following EUP: 75108-EUP-2. Issuance. HBB Partnership, 5151 N. Palm Ave., Ste. 820, Fresno, CA 93704-2221. This EUP allows the use of 3.528 pounds of the California red scale pheromone on 10,000 acres of citrus to evaluate the control of California red scale. The program is authorized only in the States of Arizona, California, Florida, and Texas. The EUP is effective from March 12, 2004 to September 30, 2004.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136c.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection,
Experimental use permits.

Dated: April 5, 2004.

Janet L. Andersen,

Director, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 04-8460 Filed 4-13-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-S

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7647-5]

Proposed Settlement Under Section 122(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act Regarding the Atlantic Resources Corporation and Horseshoe Road Superfund Sites, Middlesex County, NJ

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of proposed administrative settlement and opportunity for public comment.

SUMMARY: The United States Environmental Protection ("EPA") is proposing to enter into an administrative settlement to resolve claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"). In accordance with section 122(h)(1) of CERCLA, notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative settlement concerning the Atlantic Resources Corporation and Horseshoe Road Sites (collectively "the Sites"). Section 122(h) of CERCLA provides EPA with the authority to consider, compromise and settle certain claims for costs incurred by the United States. Notice is being published to inform the

public of the proposed settlement and of the opportunity to comment. The administrative settlement is contained in the Administrative Order on Consent for Supplemental Field Investigation ("SFI"), Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment ("BERA") and Feasibility Study ("FS"), U.S. EPA Index No. CERCLA-02-2003-2033 (the "Order"). The administrative settlement provides that after Advanced Environmental Technology Corp.; Chevron Environmental Management Co.; Essex Chemical Corp.; General Motors Corp.; ICI Americas Inc.; Johnson & Johnson; Kewanee Industries, Inc.; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp.; 3M Co.; and Union Carbide Corp. (collectively, "Settling Parties") perform the SFI, BERA, and FS for the Sites pursuant to the Order, they will receive a partial credit for that work which can be applied toward EPA's unreimbursed response costs at the Sites, should EPA attempt to recover those costs from the Settling Parties in the future.

Pursuant to the administrative settlement, the Settling Parties are to be provided a credit of fifty percent (50%) of the costs incurred by them for work performed under the Order (excluding oversight costs claimed by EPA and any of Settling Parties' attorneys fees), up to a maximum amount of \$350,000.00, provided that EPA has accepted the applicable Order deliverables for which credit is sought. The credit applies only to any future claim made by EPA for unreimbursed costs incurred or to be incurred by EPA concerning the Sites.

EPA will consider any comments received during the comment period and may withdraw or withhold consent to the proposed settlement if comments disclose facts or considerations that indicate the proposed settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. EPA's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Regional Counsel, 290 Broadway—17th floor, New York, New York 10007-1866. Telephone: (212) 637-3111.

DATES: Comments must be provided by May 14, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Regional Counsel, 290 Broadway—17th Floor, New York, NY 10007 and should refer to: In the Matter of the Atlantic Resources Corporation and Horseshoe Road Superfund Sites, U.S. EPA Index No. CERCLA-02-2003-2033.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Regional Counsel, 290

Broadway—17th Floor, New York, NY 10007, (212) 637-3142.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A copy of the proposed administrative settlement, as well as background information relating to the settlement, may be obtained in person or by mail from Clay Monroe, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Regional Counsel, 290 Broadway—17th Floor, New York, NY 10007. Telephone: (212) 637-3142.

Dated: February 9, 2004.

Jane M. Kenny,

Regional Administrator, Region 2.

[FR Doc. 04-8457 Filed 4-13-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7647-7]

Water Pollution Control; State Program Requirements; Approval of Program Modification To Administer the Sewage Sludge (Biosolids) Management Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; approval of application.

SUMMARY: On March 31, 2004, pursuant to section 402(b) of the Clean Water Act (CWA), the Regional Administrator for EPA, Region 9, approved the State of Arizona's application to administer a state sewage sludge (biosolids) management program where it has jurisdiction.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matthew Mitchell, (415) 972-3508, WTR-5, EPA, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne St., San Francisco, CA 94707, or John Calkins, (602) 771-4651, Water Quality Compliance Assurance Unit, Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, 1110 W. Washington, Phoenix, AZ 85007. The State's program submission is available electronically at the following Internet address: <http://www.adeq.state.az.us/enviro/water/permits/bio.html#to>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document "we," "us," or "our" means EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. Background
- II. Was notice provided seeking public comments on Arizona's program submittal?
- III. Was a public hearing held?
- IV. Did EPA receive any public comments?
- V. Does EPA's approval affect Indian Country (18 U.S.C. 1151) in Arizona?
- VI. Conclusion
- VII. Administrative Requirements
 - A. Endangered Species Act

B. National Historic Preservation Act
C. Other Provisions

I. Background

Section 405 of the Clean Water Act (CWA), 33 U.S.C. 1345, created the sewage sludge management program, requiring EPA to set standards for the use and disposal of sewage sludge and requiring EPA to include sewage sludge conditions in the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits which it issues to treatment works treating domestic sewage. The rules developed under section 405(d) are also self-implementing, and the standards are enforceable whether or not a permit has been issued. Pursuant to regulations promulgated in accordance with

Section 405(f) of the CWA, a state may apply to EPA for authority to administer the sewage sludge program within its jurisdiction. EPA is required to approve each such submitted state program application unless EPA determines that the program does not meet the requirements of those regulations, set forth at 40 CFR part 501.

On June 11, 2002, Arizona submitted an application to EPA for approval of a state-administered NPDES permit program pursuant to CWA section 402(b) of the CWA. The Arizona NPDES program (known as AZPDES) was approved by EPA on December 5, 2002. Approval of Arizona's application for its sewage sludge program is a program modification since ADEQ intends to administer its sewage sludge program in conjunction with the AZPDES program.

EPA received the sewage sludge program submittal from Arizona on November 29, 2002. Arizona's application for the sewage sludge management program approval contains a letter from the Governor requesting program approval, an Attorney General's Statement, copies of pertinent State statutes and regulations, a Program Description, and a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) to be executed by the Regional Administrator of EPA, Region 9 and the Director of ADEQ. The State submitted a modification of its Attorney General's Statement, which EPA received on October 10, 2003.

Sewage sludge, also known as "biosolids," is the solid separated from liquids during treatment at a domestic or municipal wastewater treatment plant and treated to stabilize and reduce pathogens. In 1993, EPA adopted standards for management of sewage sludge generated during the process of treating domestic sewage. 40 CFR part 503. The part 503 regulations establish standards under which sewage sludge may be applied to land as a soil

amendment, disposed in a surface disposal site, or incinerated. The regulations also allow for disposal in a municipal solid waste landfill that meets the requirements of 40 CFR part 258. The standards in part 503, designed to protect public health and the environment, include pollutant limits, pathogen reduction requirements, vector attraction reduction requirements, and management practices specific to the use or disposal option selected.

The Arizona biosolids management program has standards for land application, surface disposal, and placement of sewage sludge in a municipal landfill. It imposes requirements on wastewater treatment plants, biosolids land appliers, and surface disposal site operators. It also provides for the issuance of permits under certain conditions, enforcing the standards as necessary, and providing guidance and technical assistance to members of the regulated community. The program also includes a state-specific feature requiring a land applier to register an application site with ADEQ before biosolids are applied to the site. State rules prohibit incineration of sewage sludge.

II. Was Notice Provided Seeking Public Comments on Arizona's Program Submittal?

Arizona's application was described in the November 21, 2003, **Federal Register** (68 FR 65663), in which EPA requested public comments for a period of 45 days. Further notice was provided by way of publication in the following newspapers on December 5, 2003: The Arizona Republic; The Tucson Citizen, and the Arizona Daily Star. EPA also provided public notice to the following interested persons and parties: permitted facilities, Indian tribes, other Federal and state agencies, and environmental groups within Arizona. Copies of ADEQ's application package were available for public review at the offices of EPA, Region 9 and ADEQ.

III. Was a Public Hearing Held?

A public hearing was not held. The above notice explained that a hearing had not been scheduled and how a hearing could be requested. EPA holds a public hearing whenever the Regional Administrator finds, on the basis of requests, a significant degree of public interest. No request for a hearing was received during the public comment period and therefore, no hearing was held.

IV. Did EPA Receive Any Public Comments?

Pursuant to the public notice, we accepted written comments from the public postmarked on or before January 5, 2004. During the comment period, we received one comment. The commenter fully supports the modification of the state's AZPDES program to include the administration and enforcement of a biosolids management program. This comment is addressed in EPA's Response to Comment Document, dated March 26, 2004.

V. Does EPA's Approval Affect Indian Country (18 U.S.C. 1151) in Arizona?

ADEQ did not seek approval to administer and enforce the state biosolids management program for activities occurring in Indian Country. Our approval does not authorize ADEQ to carry out its biosolids program in Indian Country. Therefore, our approval of the state's biosolids management program will have no effect in Indian Country where EPA continues to implement and administer the NPDES program.

VI. Conclusion

The Arizona Department of Environmental Quality has demonstrated that it adequately meets the requirements for approval of a state administered biosolids management program (specifically, the application of biosolids to land, surface disposal of biosolids, and the landfilling of biosolids) as defined in the Clean Water Act and 40 CFR parts 501 and 503.

VII. Administrative Requirements

A. Endangered Species Act

Section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act (ESA), 16 U.S.C. 1536(a)(2), requires that federal agencies insure, in consultation with the United States Fish & Wildlife Service (FWS), that actions they authorize, fund, or carry out are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of Federally-listed threatened or endangered species (listed species) or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat designated for such listed species.

EPA, Region 9 initiated informal ESA section 7 consultation with the FWS regarding Arizona's request for approval of its biosolids management program. On November 12, 2003, the FWS concurred with EPA's Biological Evaluation, concluding that EPA's approval of Arizona's biosolids management program may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect, endangered species or their designated critical habitat. Issuance of the FWS

concurrence concluded the consultation process required by ESA section 7(a)(2) and reflects the FWS's agreement with EPA that the approval of the State program meets the substantive requirements of the ESA.

B. National Historic Preservation Act

Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), 16 U.S.C. 470(f), requires Federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties and to provide the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) an opportunity to comment on such undertakings. Under the ACHP's regulations (36 CFR part 800), agencies consult with the appropriate State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) on federal undertakings that have the potential to affect historic properties listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.

On January 16, 2004, EPA provided the Arizona State Parks Board (which includes the SHPO) with EPA's determination that approval of Arizona's application would have no effect on historic properties in Arizona. On March 12, 2004, the Arizona State Parks Board concurred with EPA's determination.

C. Other Provisions

Based on General Counsel Opinion 78-7 (April 18, 1978), EPA has long considered a determination to approve or deny a State Clean Water Act (CWA) program submission to constitute an adjudication because an "approval," within the meaning of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), constitutes a "licence," which, in turn, is the product of an "adjudication." For this reason, the statutes and Executive Orders that apply to rulemaking action are not applicable here.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Parts 501 and 503

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedures, Sewage sludge use and disposal, Water pollution control, Waste treatment and disposal, Indian lands, Intergovernmental relations.

Authority: Clean Water Act 33, U.S.C. 1251 *et seq.*

Dated: March 31, 2004.

Laura Yoshii,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 04-8448 Filed 4-13-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

DATE AND TIME: Thursday, April 22, 2004, 10 a.m. eastern time.

PLACE: Clarence M. Mitchell, Jr. Conference Room on the Ninth Floor of the EEOC Office Building, 1801 "L" Street, NW., Washington, DC 20507.

STATUS: The meeting will be open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Open Session

1. Announcement of Notation Votes, and
2. Submission for Interagency Coordination under Executive Order 12067 of Proposed Final Rule Exempting the Coordination of Employer-Sponsored Retiree Health Benefits with Medicare Eligibility from the ADEA.

Note: In accordance with the Sunshine Act, this meeting will be open to public observation of the Commission's deliberations and voting. (In addition to publishing notices on EEOC Commission meetings in the **Federal Register**, the Commission also provides a recorded announcement a full week in advance on future Commission sessions.)

Please telephone (202) 663-7100 (voice) and (202) 663-4074 (TTD) at any time for information and meetings.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen Llewellyn, Acting Executive Officer on (202) 663-4070.

Dated: April 12, 2004.

Stephen Llewellyn,

Acting Executive Officer Executive Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 04-8610 Filed 4-12-04; 3:08 pm]

BILLING CODE 6750-06-M

EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE UNITED STATES

Economic Impact Policy

This notice is to inform the public that the Export-Import Bank of the United States has received an application to finance the export of approximately \$17 million of U.S. goods to a steel service center in Ukraine. The U.S. exports will enable the center to process approximately 150,000 metric tons per year of galvanized and painted steel. Available information indicates that virtually all of this new production will be consumed in the Ukraine and Russian construction industries.

Interested parties may submit comments on this transaction by e-mail to economic.impact@exim.gov or by mail to 811 Vermont Avenue, NW., Room 1238, Washington, DC 20571, within 14 days of the date this notice appears in the **Federal Register**.

Helene S. Walsh,

Director, Policy Oversight and Review.

[FR Doc. 04-8402 Filed 4-13-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6690-01-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

April 7, 2004.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, Public Law No. 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before June 14, 2004. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-A804, 445 12th