

who wish to attend the meeting must register at least five (5) days in advance of the meeting by contacting Mr. Vargas at the above address. Access to the meeting will not be allowed without prior registration. All attendees will be required to sign in at the meeting registration desk. Please bring photo identification and allow extra time prior to the meeting.

Anyone requiring special accommodations should contact Mr. Vargas at least five (5) days in advance of the meeting.

**Authority:** The Public Safety Officer Medal of Valor Review Board is authorized to carry out its advisory function under 42 U.S.C. 15202. (42 U.S.C. 15201 authorizes the President to award the Public Safety Officer Medal of Valor, the highest national award for valor by a public safety officer.)

Dated: May 19, 2004.

**Omar A. Vargas,**

*Advisory to the Assistant Attorney General,  
Office of Justice Programs.*

[FR Doc. 04-11617 Filed 5-21-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-18-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employee Benefits Security Administration

#### Employee Benefit Plans: Notice to the Public Pursuant to Section 106 of the President's Reorganization Plan No. 4 of 1978

Pursuant to section 106 of the President's Reorganization Plan No. 4 of 1978, 43 FR 47713, October 17, 1978, 92 Stat. 3790, 5 U.S.C. app; 29 U.S.C. 1001 note, the Department of the Treasury is required to notify the Department of Labor of certain actions which it proposes to take under certain provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93-406, 29 U.S.C. 1001 *et seq.* as amended (the Act).

On May 6, 2004, the Department of the Treasury notified the Department of Labor that the Department of the Treasury intends to publish a revenue procedure relating to the extension of the amortization period required to amortize any unfunded liabilities described in section 412(b)(2)(B) of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code) in accordance with section 412(e) of the Code. The revenue procedure would apply to, among other plans, collectively bargained plans.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 14th day of May, 2004.

**Ann L. Combs,**

*Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration.*

[FR Doc. 04-11618 Filed 5-21-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-29-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-54,685]

#### Amerex Corp.; Scotch Plains, NJ; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 7, 2004 in response to a worker petition filed by a state agency representative on behalf of workers at Amerex Corp., Scotch Plains, New Jersey.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 28th day of April 2004.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 04-11620 Filed 5-21-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-54,669 and TA-W-54,669A]

#### American Meter Company Erie, PA and Calxico, CA; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on April 23, 2004, applicable to workers of American Meter Company, Erie, Pennsylvania. The notice will be published soon in the **Federal Register**.

At the request of a company official, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in the production of natural gas meters and natural gas regulator components.

New findings show that worker separations occurred at the Calxico, California facility of the subject firm.

Workers at the Calxico facility provided purchasing, warehouse scheduling and secretarial functions supporting the production of natural gas meters and natural gas regulator components at the Erie, Pennsylvania location of the subject firm.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to cover workers at American Meter Company, Calxico, California.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of American Meter Company who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-54,669 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of American Meter Company, Erie, Pennsylvania (TA-W-54,669) and American Meter Company, Calxico, California (TA-W-54,669A), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 2, 2003, through April 23, 2006, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington DC, this 11th day of May 2004.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 04-11621 Filed 5-21-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,717A]

#### Dystar LP, Corporate Office, Charlotte, NC; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued an Amended Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on December 12, 2003, applicable to workers of DyStar LP, Corporate Office, Charlotte, North Carolina. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 26, 2004 (69 FR 3604).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers provide administrative support services for the production of textile reactive dyes produced by DyStar LP.

New findings show that a DyStar LP certification for worker adjustment

assistance (TA-W-39,329) was amended on January 15, 2002, to include the workers of DyStar LP, Corporate Office, Charlotte, North Carolina (TA-W-39,329A), who provided administrative support services for the production of textile reactive dyes. The notice of the amended certification was published in the **Federal Register** on February 5, 2002 (67 FR 5295). That amended certification expired on December 7, 2003.

To avoid an overlap in worker group coverage, the amended certification for TA-W-40,717A is again being amended to change the impact date from January 9, 2001, to December 8, 2003.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-40,717A is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of DyStar LP, Corporate Office, Charlotte, North Carolina, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 8, 2003, through May 6, 2004, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 5th day of May, 2004.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 04-11628 Filed 5-21-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-54,221]

#### **Greif Brothers Service Corporation Industrial Packaging and Service Division Kingsport, TN; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration**

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Greif Brothers Service Corporation, Industrial Packaging and Service Division, Kingsport, Tennessee. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-54,221; Greif Brothers Service Corporation Industrial Packaging and Service Division Kingsport, Tennessee (May 7, 2004)

Signed at Washington, DC this 13th day of May 2004.

**Timothy Sullivan,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 04-11623 Filed 5-21-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-54 569]

#### **Honeywell Aerospace, Inconel Team, a Division of the Engine Systems and Accessories Division, a Division of Honeywell, Tempe, AZ; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on March 23, 2004, in response to a worker petition filed a state agency representative on behalf of workers at Honeywell Aerospace, Inconel Team, a division of the Engine Systems and Accessories Division, a division of Honeywell, Tempe, Arizona.

All workers were separated from the subject firm more than one year before the date of the petition. Section 223(b) of the Act specifies that no certification may apply to any worker whose last separation occurred more than one year before the date of the petition. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 29th day of April, 2004.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 04-11629 Filed 5-21-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-54 086]

#### **Loislaw.Com, Inc., Van Buren, AR; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By application postmarked March 5, 2004, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice applicable to

workers of Loislaw.com, Inc., Van Buren, Arkansas was signed on February 9, 2004, and published in the **Federal Register** on March 12, 2004 (69 FR 11888).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition was filed on behalf of workers at Loislaw.com, Inc., Van Buren, Arkansas engaged in data entry by digitizing existing public records and making them accessible in an on-line database. The petition was denied because the petitioning workers did not produce an article within the meaning of section 222 of the Act.

The petitioner contends that the Department erred in its interpretation of work performed at the subject facility as a service and further described the functions performed by workers of the subject firm, which consist of editing, coding, quality control and building of the legal material to the internet and CD-ROM. The petitioner further states that edited material put on CD-ROM and the Internet for further consumption by the paying public is a commodity of convenience for the legal profession and should be considered a product.

A company official was contacted for clarification in regard to the nature of the work performed at the subject facility. The official stated that workers at the subject firm are engaged in publishing and collection of electronic and print legal and public records data, which is further digitized into a proprietary format. The official further clarified that only a small portion of the databases are distributed via CD-ROM, with the vast majority of the database customers receiving the edited and digitized data over the internet. According to the company official the burning process of the data on CD-ROM is performed at the subject facility in Van Buren, Arkansas.

The sophistication of the work involved is not an issue in ascertaining whether the petitioning workers are eligible for trade adjustment assistance, but rather only whether they produced an article within the meaning of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.