their Bases Control Program and as a condition of this license amendment.³

The NRC staff considers the extended surveillance interval to be justified by the demonstrated reliability of the control rod insertion system, based on historical control rod scram time test data, and by the more restrictive acceptance criterion for the number of slow rods allowed during at-power surveillance testing. The NRC staff finds the proposed TS change acceptable.

4.0 State Consultation

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the [State] State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendments. The State official had [choose one: (1) no comments, or (2) the following comments—with subsequent disposition by the staff].

5.0 Environmental Consideration

The amendment changes a requirement with respect to the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and changes surveillance requirements. The NRC staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts and no significant change in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (XX FR XXXXX). Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

6.0 Conclusion

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) There is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by the operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination

Description of Amendment Request: The proposed amendment changes the Technical Specification (TS) testing frequency for the surveillance requirement (SR) in TS 3.1.4, "Control Rod Scram Times". The proposed change revises the test frequency of SR 3.1.4.2, control rod scram time testing, from "120 days cumulative operation in MODE 1" to "200 days cumulative operation in Mode 1."

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), an analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration is presented below:

1. Does the change involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.

The proposed change extends the frequency for testing control rod scram time testing from every 120 days of cumulative Mode 1 operation to 200 days of cumulative Mode 1 operation. The frequency of surveillance testing is not an initiator of any accident previously evaluated. The frequency of surveillance testing does not affect the ability to mitigate any accident previously evaluated, as the tested component is still required to be operable. Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does the change create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.

The proposed change extends the frequency for testing control rod scram time testing from every 120 days of cumulative Mode 1 operation to 200 days of cumulative Mode 1 operation. The proposed change does not result in any new or different modes of plant operation. Therefore, the proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

3. Does the proposed change involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

Response: No.

The proposed change extends the frequency for testing control rod scram

time testing from every 120 days of cumulative Mode 1 operation to 200 days of cumulative Mode 1 operation. The proposed change continues to test the control rod scram time to ensure the assumptions in the safety analysis are protected. Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

Based on the above, the proposed change presents no significant hazards consideration under the standards set forth in 10 CFR 50.92(c), and accordingly, a finding of "no significant hazards consideration" is justified.

Dated in Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of May, 2004.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Robert A. Gramm**,

Chief, Section 1, Project Directorate IV, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 04–11992 Filed 5–26–04; 8:45 am]

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Public Availability of Fiscal Year (FY) 2003 Agency Inventories Under the Federal Activities Inventory Reform Act of 1998 (Public Law 105–270) ("FAIR Act")

AGENCY: Office of Management and Budget; Executive Office of the President.

ACTION: Notice of public availability of agency inventory of activities that are not inherently governmental and of activities that are inherently governmental.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the FAIR Act, agency inventories of activities that are not inherently governmental are now available to the public from the agencies listed below for FY 2003. The FAIR Act requires that OMB publish each fiscal year an announcement of public availability of agency inventories of activities that are not inherently governmental. After review and consultation with OMB, agencies are required to make their inventories available to the public. Agencies have also included activities that are inherently governmental. This is the third release of the FAIR Act inventories for FY 2003. Interested parties who disagree with the agency's initial judgment can challenge the inclusion or the omission of an activity on the list of activities that are not inherently governmental and, if not satisfied with this review, may demand a higher agency review/appeal.

³Conditioning of the license amendment is accomplished by including wording similar to the following in the implementation language (typically included as item 3) in the Amendment of Facility Operating License:

This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within [XX] days from the date of issuance. The licensee shall incorporate during the next periodic update into the TS Bases Section the changes described in its application dated [Date].

The Office of Federal Procurement Policy has made available a FAIR Act User's Guide through its Internet site: http://www.whitehouse.gov/OMB/ procurement/fair-index.html. This User's Guide will help interested parties review FY 2003 FAIR Act inventories and gain access to agency inventories through agency web-site addresses.

Joshua B. Bolten,

Director.

THIRD FAIR ACT RELEASE 2003

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation African Development Foundation Appalachian Regional Commission Barry Goldwater Scholarship Education Foundation Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board Department of Agriculture Department of Commerce Department of Education Department of Justice Department of the Treasury (excluding IRS) Department of Veterans Affairs Federal Communications Commission	Mr. Ralston Cox, (202) 606–8528 www.achp.gov. Ms. Vicki Gentry, (202) 673–3916 www.adf.gov. Mr. Guy Land, (202) 884–7674 www.arc.gov. Mr. Gerald Smith, (703) 756–6012 www.act.org/goldwater. Mr. Kenneth Pusateri, (202) 694–7000 www.dnfsb.gov. Ms. Ava Lee, (202) 720–1179 www.usda.gov. Mr. Mike Sade, (202) 482–4248 www.doc.gov. Mr. Glenn Perry, (202) 708–8488 www.ed.gov. Mr. Larry Silvas, (202) 616–3754 www.usdoj.gov. Mr. James Sullivan, (202) 622–9395 www.treas.gov. Mr. Gary Steinberg, (202) 273–5068 www.va.gov. Mr. Mark Reger, (202) 418–1924 or Mr. Kent Baum (202) 418–0137 www.fcc.gov.
Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service	Ms. Karen Kline, (202) 606–5488 www.fmcs.gov.
Federal Trade Commission	Ms. Darlene Cossette, (202) 326–3255 www.ftc.gov.
Harry S. Truman Scholarship Foundation	Ms. Tara Kneller, (202) 395-4831 www.truman.gov.
Institute of Museum and Library Services	Ms. Teresa LaHaie, (202) 606-8637 www.imls.gov.
Inter-American Foundation	Mr. David Valenzuela, (703) 306-4359 www.iaf.gov.
Japan-U.S. Friendship Commission	Mr. Eric Gangloff, (202) 418–9800 www.jusfc.gov.
Marine Mammal Commission	Mr. David Cottingham, (301) 504-0087 www.mmc.gov.
National Archives and Records Administration	Ms. Lori Lisowski, (301) 837–1850 www.nara.gov.
National Archives and Records Administration (OIG)	Mr. James Springs, (301) 837–3018 http://www.archives.gov/about_us/office_of_the_inspector_general/index.html.
National Capital Planning Commission	Ms. Connie Harshaw, (202) 482–7235 www.ncpc.gov.
National Commission on Libraries and Information Sciences	Ms. Madeleine McCain, (202) 606–9200 www.nclis.gov.
National Endowment for the Arts	Ms. Martha Jones, (202) 682–5621 www.arts.endow.gov.
National Science Foundation	Mr. Joseph Burt, (703) 292–5034 www.nsf.gov.
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Ms. Kathryn Greene, (301) 415–7305 www.nrc.gov.
Nuclear Regulatory Commission (OIG)	Mr. David Lee, (301) 415–5930 www.nrc.gov/insp-gen.html.
Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board	Ms. Joyce Dory, (703) 235–4473 www.nwtrb.gov.
Office of Government Ethics	Mr. Sean Donohue, (202) 208–8000 www.usoge.gov.
Office of Science and Technology Policy	Ms. Ann Mazur, (202) 456–6001 www.ostp.gov.
Securities and Exchange Commission	Ms. Jayne Seidman, (202) 942–4000 www.sec.gov.
Social Security Administration	Mr. Jaime Fisher, (410) 965–7401 www.ssa.gov.
U.S. Agency for International Development	Ms. Deborah Lewis, (202) 712–0936 www.usaid.gov.
U.S. Agency for International Development (OIG)	Mr. Michael Carroll, (202) 712–0135 www.usaid.gov/oig/.
U.S. Commission on Civil Rights	Ms. Tina Louise Martin, (202) 376–8364 www.usccr.gov.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Mr. Dan Haigler, (703) 305–8161 www.uspto.gov.

[FR Doc. 04–11962 Filed 5–26–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3110–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Sunshine Act; Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Pub. L. 94–409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold the following meeting during the week of May 31, 2004:

An Open Meeting will be held on Wednesday, June 2, 2004 at 10 a.m. in Room 1C30, the William O. Douglas Room.

The subject matter of the Open Meeting scheduled for Wednesday, June 2, 2004 will be:

1. The Commission will consider whether to propose new Regulation B, which, among other things, would redesignate and amend definitions and exemptions relating to the exceptions for banks from the definition of "broker" in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 adopted by the Commission on an interim final basis in 2001 and provide additional exemptions for banks from this definition.

For further information, please contact Joseph Corcoran (202) 942–0756 or Brice Prince at (202) 942–0759.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items. For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed, please contact:

The Office of the Secretary at (202) 942–7070.

Dated: May 25, 2004.

Jill M. Peterson,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–12191 Filed 5–25–04; 3:41 pm]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 35-27847]

Filings Under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as Amended ("Act")

May 21, 2004.

Notice is hereby given that the following filing(s) has/have been made with the Commission pursuant to provisions of the Act and rules promulgated under the Act. All interested persons are referred to the application(s) and/or declaration(s) for complete statements of the proposed