

alarms, and pool alarms. CPSC has conducted annual public outreach on child drowning prevention.

In 1998, CPSC published *Guidelines for Entrapment Hazards: Making Pools and Spas Safer* (available at [www.cpsc.gov](http://www.cpsc.gov)). These Guidelines provide safety information that will help identify and address potential entrapment hazards in swimming pools, wading pools, spas, and hot tubs. They address the hazards of evisceration/disembowelment, body entrapment, and hair entrapment/entanglement. The CPSC has recently circulated a draft revision to these Guidelines and is responding to comments. These Guidelines emphasize layers of protection. In addition, CPSC staff has worked to develop or revise voluntary standards for suction fittings and Safety Vacuum Release Systems ("SVRS"). CPSC has also provided the public with information about suction entrapments and how to prevent them.

In 2003, CPSC set a new strategic goal to reduce the rate of swimming pool and other at-home drownings of children under 5 years old by 10 percent by the year 2013 from the 1999–2000 annual average of 250. The information that we gather at this public hearing will help CPSC develop plans for further work in the area of swimming pool safety.

## B. The Public Hearing

The purpose of the public hearing is to provide a forum for oral presentations concerning swimming pool safety, specifically drownings of children under 5 years old in residential swimming pools and suction entrapment and entanglement deaths and injuries. The Commission is holding another public field hearing on swimming pool safety in Tampa, Florida on Monday, June 21, 2004. A notice concerning that hearing was previously published in the **Federal Register** on May 4, 2004, 69 FR 24587.

The Commission requests comments from interested stakeholders and citizens on the following specific areas of interest:

1. Data on drowning and near-drowning in residential swimming pools and spas.
  - In your locale, how many child drowning and near-drowning incidents do you see on an annual basis? How many suction entrapments and entanglements?
  - What were the circumstances involved in these incidents?
  - What trends in drowning and entrapment incidents have you seen in recent years?
  - Have you seen any correlation between drowning intervention

activities (for example, new barrier requirements, safety campaigns, etc.) and changes in the number of incidents and deaths?

- Are there ways in which the incident reporting process could be improved?
  - In general, is the available incident information adequate for a thorough and accurate evaluation of the hazard scenarios involved?
  - What data needs still exist?
2. Regional/local pool barrier codes, laws, and regulations.
    - What law or guideline has been adopted in your region/locale?
    - What does it require?
    - When was it enacted?
    - What was the source building code?
    - Which agency has jurisdiction?
    - What enforcement exists?
  3. Effectiveness of pool barriers and other protective products.
    - What evidence can you provide to demonstrate the effectiveness of protective products such as pool fencing, pool and/or door alarms, pool covers, etc?
    - Which protective products do you think are the most effective?
    - What factors do you think contribute to consumers using or not using these products?
    - What research, if any, do you think needs to be done in this area?
  4. Educational approaches.
    - In your locale, what public information approaches have been used to address pool drowning hazards?
    - To whom were these approaches targeted?
    - What tasks were involved in carrying out these efforts?
    - Which approaches worked, and which did not?
    - What dollar resources were involved?
  5. Role for CPSC
    - What role should CPSC take to help address child drownings and entrapment and entanglement injuries?
- Participation in the hearing is open. See the **DATES** section of this notice for information on making requests to give oral presentations at the hearing.
- Dated: June 2, 2004.
- Todd A. Stevenson,**  
Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.  
[FR Doc. 04–12959 Filed 6–8–04; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6355–01–P**

## CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

### Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Corporation for National and Community Service.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Corporation for National and Community Service (hereinafter the "Corporation"), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirement on respondents can be properly assessed.

Currently, the Corporation is soliciting comments concerning its proposed application entitled: Next Generation Grant Application Instructions. Copies of the proposed information collection request may be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice.

**DATES:** Comments on this notice must be received by August 9, 2004, to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit written input to the Corporation by any of the following methods:

- (1) Electronically through the Corporation's e-mail address system to Kimberly Spring at [KSpring@cns.gov](mailto:KSpring@cns.gov).
- (2) By fax to 202–565–2785, Attention Ms. Kimberly Spring.
- (3) By mail sent to: Corporation for National and Community Service, Department of Research and Policy Development, 8th Floor, Attn: Ms. Kimberly Spring, 1201 New York Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20525.
- (4) By hand delivery or by courier to the Corporation's mailroom at Room 6010 at the mail address given in paragraph (3) above, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kimberly Spring at (202) 606–5000, ext. 543, by e-mail at [ngg@cns.gov](mailto:ngg@cns.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Corporation is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Corporation, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Propose ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Propose ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

**Background:** The Corporation publishes application guidelines and notices of funding availability that include information about the funding and requirements. The application instructions provide the information, instructions, and forms that potential applicants need to complete an application to the Corporation for funding by utilizing the new eGrants system developed by the Corporation.

**Current Action:** The Corporation seeks public comment on the forms, the instructions for the forms, and the instructions for the narrative portion of these application instructions.

**Type of Review:** Revision of a currently approved collection.

**Agency:** Corporation for National and Community Service.

**Title:** Next Generation Grant Application Instructions.

**OMB Number:** 3045-0087.

**Agency Number:** None.

**Affected Public:** Eligible applicants for funding with the Corporation.

**Total Respondents:** 400.

**Frequency:** Annually.

**Average Time Per Response:** 10 hours.

**Estimated Total Burden Hours:** 4000.

**Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):** None.

**Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance):** None.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: June 3, 2004.

**Robert T. Grimm, Jr.,**  
Acting Director, Research and Policy Development.

[FR Doc. 04-13013 Filed 6-8-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6050-SS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

#### Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Liberty State Park Ecosystem Restoration Project, Jersey City, Hudson County, NJ

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), New York District, is preparing a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to ascertain compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), which will lead to the production of a NEPA document in accordance with the President's Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) Rules and Regulations, as defined and amended in 40 CFR parts 1500-1508, Corps principles and guidelines as defined in Engineering Regulation (ER) 1105-2-100, and other applicable Federal and State environmental laws, for the proposed Ecosystem Restoration Project at Liberty State Park, Jersey City, Hudson County, NJ. The study area includes Liberty State Park, the immediately adjacent environs of Jersey City and the surrounding proximal waters of Upper New York Bay.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert Will, Project Biologist, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, New York District, Planning Division, Environmental Analysis Branch, 26 Federal Plaza, room 2151, New York, NY 10278-0090 at (212) 264-2165 or at [Robert.J.Will@usace.army.mil](mailto:Robert.J.Will@usace.army.mil).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. This study was authorized in a resolution of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the U.S. House of Representatives, dated April 15, 1999, Docket 2596.

2. A Public Scoping Meeting was held on July 17, 2003 and the results collected in a Public Scoping Document. These results are available for review and additional scoping comments. All results from public and agency scoping coordination will be addressed in the DEIS. Parties interested in receiving the Public Scoping Document should contact Mr. Will at the above address.

3. A DEIS is due for completion by July 2004.

4. Federal agencies interested in participating as a Cooperating Agency are requested to submit a letter of intent

to COL John B. O'Dowd, District Engineer, at the above address.

**Leonard Houston,**

*Chief, Environmental Analysis Branch.*

[FR Doc. 04-13015 Filed 6-8-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-06-M

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

#### Intent To Prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel, California

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, San Francisco District (Corps) in coordination with the Port of Sacramento intends to prepare a joint Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS)/Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) to evaluate the action of resuming construction of navigational improvements to the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel (SRDWSC). This congressionally authorized project would deepen the existing Federal navigation channel from 30 feet to 35 feet (mean lower low water) and widen portions of the channel to improve navigational efficiency and safety. Deepening of the existing ship channel is anticipated to provide transportation cost savings as a result of movement of cargo via larger deeper draft vessels and movement of project-induced tonnage.

**DATES:** Written comments regarding the scope of the draft SEIS/SEIR must be submitted no later than 7 days after the scoping meeting date. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section regarding the date of the scoping meeting.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail written comments to: Mr. David Patterson, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, San Francisco District, 333 Market St. 822F, San Francisco, CA 94150-2197. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section regarding the location of the scoping meeting.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. David Patterson, (415) 977-8707.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The SRDWSC is located in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta region of northern California. The 46.5-mile long ship channel lies within Contra Costa, Solano, Sacramento, and Yolo Counties