Time: 10 AM to 3 PM.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: Willard InterContinental Hotel, 1401 Pennsylvania Ave., NW. Washington, DC 20004.

Contact Person: JoAnn McConnell, PhD, Scientific Review Administrator, Scientific Review Branch, NIH/NINDS/Neuroscience Center, 6001 Executive Blvd., Suite 3208, Msc 9529, Bethesda, MD 20892–9529, (301) 496–5324, mcconnej@ninds.nih.gov.

This notice is being published less than 15 days prior to the meeting due to the timing limitations imposed by the review and funding cycle.

Name of Committee: National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke Special Emphasis Panel, Chronic Pain CNS Studies.

Date: July 26, 2004. Time: 1 PM to 3 PM.

*Agenda:* To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: National Institutes of Health, Neuroscience Center, 6001 Executive Boulevard, Rockville, MD 20852 (Telephone Conference Call).

Contact Person: Andrea Sawczuk, DDS, PhD, Scientific Review Administrator, Scientific Review Branch, Division of Extramural Research, NINDS/NIH/DHHS, 6001 Executive Blvd., Room #3208, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301–496–0660, sawczuka@ninds.nih.gov.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.853, Clinical Researach Related to Neurological Disorders; 93.854, Biological Basis Research in the Neurosciences, National Institutes of Health, HHS.)

Dated: June 29, 2004.

### LaVerne Y. Stringfield,

Director, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 04–15466 Filed 7–7–04; 8:45 am]

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### **National Institutes of Health**

#### National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering; Notice of Closed Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. Appendix 2), notice is hereby given of the following meeting.

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant

applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering Special Emphasis Panel, Conference Grants.

Date: July 13, 2004.

Time: 8 a.m. to 1 p.m.

*Agenda:* To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: Four Points by Sheraton Bethesda, 8400 Wisconsin Avenue, Bethesda, MD 20814.

Contact Person: Prabha L. Atreya, PhD, Scientific Review Administrator, Office of Scientific Review, National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, Bethesda, MD 20892, (301) 496–8633, atreyapr@mail.nih.gov.

This notice is being published less than 15 days prior to the meeting due to the timing limitations imposed by the review and funding cycle.

Dated: June 29, 2004.

#### LaVerne Y. Stringfield,

Director, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 04–15467 Filed 7–7–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### **National Institutes of Health**

#### Center for Scientific Review; Amended Notice of Meeting

Notice is hereby given of a change in the meeting of the Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel, July 9, 2004, 10:30 a.m. to July 9, 2004, 2 p.m., Melrose Hotel, 2430 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20037 which was published in the **Federal Register** on June 23, 2004, 69 FR 35050–35053.

The meeting will be held at The River Inn, 924 25th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20037. The meeting date and time remain the same. The meeting is closed to the public.

Dated: June 29, 2004.

### LaVerne Y. Stringfield,

Director, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 04–15465 Filed 7–7–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

## Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Periodically, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) will publish a summary of information collection requests under OMB review, in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35). To request a copy of these documents, call the SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer on (301) 443–7978.

Proposed Project: Protocols for the Cross-Site Evaluation of the State Incentive Grant (SIG) Program (OMB No. 0930-0226, Revision)—SAMHSA's Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) is charged with evaluating the State Incentive Cooperative Agreements for Community-Based Action, or State Incentive Grant (SIG) Program. States receiving SIG funds are to: (1) Coordinate, leverage and/or redirect, as appropriate, all substance abuse prevention resources within the State that are directed at communities, families, schools, and workplaces, and (2) develop a revitalized, comprehensive State-wide prevention strategy aimed at reducing drug use by youth. The ultimate aim of the SIG Program is to prevent substance abuse among youths, ages 12 to 17, and also young adults, age 18-25. The 41 States, along with the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands, that have received SIG grants are required to implement at the community level a range of substance abuse, community-based prevention programs and strategies, at least half of which meet the specifications of sound scientific research findings, such as the National Registry of Effective Programs. CSAP awarded about \$3 million per year for three years to each of five States in FY 1997 (Cohort I), fourteen States in FY 1998 (Cohort II), one State and the District of Columbia in FY 1999 (Cohort III), seven states in FY 2000 (Cohort IV), eight states and Puerto Rico in FY 2001 (Cohort V), four states in FY 2002 (Cohort VI) and two states and the Virgin Islands in FY 2003 (Cohort VII).

CSAP is conducting a national, crosssite evaluation of the SIG Program, consisting of a process and an outcome evaluation. The outcome evaluation will address two questions: (1) "Has the SIG Program had an impact on youth substance abuse?" and (2) "How do SIG States differ in their impact on youth substance abuse?" These questions will be addressed primarily using the CSAP core measures, a data collection activity already approved by the Office or Management and Budget (OMB) under control number 0930–0230. In addition to the core measures, data already being collected by SAMHSA's National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH; OMB No. 0930–0110) will be examined.

The process evaluation will focus on three questions: (1) "Did States attain the SIG Program's two main goals of coordinated funding streams and revitalized comprehensive prevention strategies and how were these goals attained?" (2) "What other substance abuse prevention programming has the State implemented?" and (3) "Did SIGs meet the criterion of supporting science-based programs fifty percent of the time, and what array of prevention activities were supported?"

Four instruments are needed to collect process information about SIG activities at the State, community, and program levels: (1) Semi-Annual Report; (2) a Final Report; (3) Site Visits; and (4) Telephone Interviews.

The Semi-Annual Report has three components. The first component, the SIG Semi-Annual Report Narrative Protocol will provide a structured report of SIG activities accomplished during the previous six months. The second

component, the SIG Management Information Form (SMIF) will be a webbased, structured form. Following the first submission, selected items (less likely to change over time) will be prefilled for subsequent reporting periods. The third component, the Sub-recipient Checklist (SCRL) will be a web-based form that contains two sections. The first section relates to the sub-recipient, the organization that actually has a fiduciary relationship with the state to engage in SIG activities at the local level. This section will gather information on the characteristics of that organization. The second section will focus on the actual prevention intervention(s) delivered by that organization.

At the conclusion of the grant, SIG awardees will be required to submit a Final Report which is designed to be a synthesis of findings from the grantee, addressing what changes occurred at the state and community levels as a result of SIG.

The community site visits will be conducted in randomly selected sample of sub-recipient communities in Cohorts I–III that have submitted pre-post matched outcome data that includes comparison data. The SIG Community Site Visit Protocol will collect data at the sub-recipient and program levels on the following topics: degree of monitoring by the State, selection

processes of interventions, evaluation strategies, technical assistance provided by the State, level of guidance by the State in program selection, and evaluation.

The sampling frame for the telephone interviews will include all active subrecipients in Cohorts I–V that are collecting outcome data at the intervention level. Sub-recipient communities selected for site visits will be excluded from the sampling frame. The SIG Community Telephone Interview Protocol will collect data on the processes for selection and implementation of interventions and the approach to evaluation of these interventions.

OMB approval has been received for the process evaluation in the first four cohorts (N = 28 states) and for states to submit previously collected outcome data for secondary analysis. This request will add the more recently funded SIG jurisdictions (Cohorts V-VII) to the process evaluation (N = 16 states) and address the burden on states (Cohorts VI-VII) to submit previously collected outcome data (N = 23 states). Included in this request are revised burden estimates based on actual experience, and a request for an extension of the period of OMB approval for the SIG cross-site evaluation by three years.

Estimated annual burden is as follows:

Instrument	Number of respondents	Responses per respondent	Hours per response	Annual burden hours
Semi-annual Report:				
Narrative	23	2	30	1,380
SMIF	23	2	1.5	69
SRCL	23	50	4	4,600
Final Report	23	1	80	1,840
Site Visits:				
State contacts	10	1	1	10
Subrecipient contacts	10	1	1	10
Site visit interviews (10 per site)	80	1	1.5	120
Telephone Interviews	81	1	1.5	122
Total	273			8,151

Written comments and recommendations concerning the proposed information collection should be sent by August 9, 2004, to: SAMHSA Desk Officer, Human Resources and Housing Branch, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503; due to potential delays in OMB's receipt and processing of mail sent through the U.S. Postal Service, respondents are encouraged to submit comments by fax to: 202–395–6974.

Dated: June 30, 2004.

#### Anna Marsh,

Executive Officer, SAMHSA.
[FR Doc. 04–15506 Filed 7–7–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4162–20–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

**Bureau of Customs and Border Protection** 

Agency Information Collection Activities: Transportation Entry and Manifest of Goods Subject to CBP Inspection and Permit

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security.