that any comment filed in paper form be sent by courier or overnight service, if possible, because U.S. postal mail in the Washington area and at the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security precautions. Comments filed in electronic form should be sent to the following e-mail box: *consentagreement@ftc.gov.*

The FTC Act and other laws the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. All timely and responsive public comments, whether filed in paper or electronic form, will be considered by the Commission, and will be available to the public on the FTC Web site, to the extent practicable, at *www.ftc.gov.* As a matter of discretion, the FTC makes every effort to remove home contact information for individuals from the public comments it receives before placing those comments on the FTC Web site. More information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, may be found in the FTC's privacy policy, at *http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.htm.*

Analysis of Proposed Consent Order to Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted, subject to final approval, an agreement containing a consent order from Nutramax Laboratories, Inc. (''Nutramax'').

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement or make final the agreement's proposed order.

This matter involves the advertising and promotion of Senior Moment, a dietary supplement containing cerebral phospholipids and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA). According to the FTC complaint, Nutramax represented that Senior Moment prevents memory loss and restores lost memory function in adults of all ages. The complaint alleges that the company failed to have substantiation for these claims. It further alleges that Nutramax falsely represented that scientific studies prove that Senior Moment restores lost memory function in adults of all ages.

The proposed consent order contains provisions designed to prevent Nutramax from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future.

Part I of the order requires Nutramax to have competent and reliable scientific evidence substantiating any claims that Senior Moment or any substantially similar product prevents memory loss or restores lost memory function.

Part II requires Nutramax to have competent and reliable scientific evidence substantiating any claims about the benefits, performance or efficacy of any food, drug, dietary supplement, device or service sold for human use or consumption for cognitive functions or processes, or the treatment, cure, mitigation, alleviation of the symptoms, prevention, or reduction in the risk of any related disease or disorder. Although the order does not prohibit the trade name ''Senior Moment,'' it does require the respondent to have competent and reliable scientific evidence to substantiate any covered claims conveyed directly or by implication through the use of the product name.

Part III prohibits any misrepresentation of the existence, contents, validity, results, conclusions, or interpretations of any test or study, in connection with the marketing or sale of any product or program for human cognitive function or processes.

Part IV permits any representation for any product that is permitted in labeling for such product pursuant to regulations promulgated by FDA pursuant to the Nutrition Labeling and Education Act of 1990.

Parts V through VIII of the order require Nutramax to keep copies of relevant advertisements and materials substantiating claims made in the advertisements; to provide copies of the order to certain of its personnel; to notify the Commission of changes in corporate structure; and to file compliance reports with the Commission. Part IX provides that the order will terminate after twenty (20) years under certain circumstances.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order, and it is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and proposed order or to modify in any way their terms.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–17156 Filed 7–27–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750–01–P

identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. The request will be granted or denied by the Commission's General Counsel, consistent with applicable law and the public interest. *See* Commission Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

SES Performance Review Board

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the appointment of members to the FTC Performance Review Board.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janet Silva, Director of Human Resources, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, (202) 326–2022.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Publication of the Performance Review Board (PRB) membership is required by 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4). The PRB reviews and evaluates the initial appraisal of a senior executive's performance by the supervisor, and makes recommendations regarding performance ratings to the Chairman.

The following individuals have been designated to serve on the Commission's Performance Review Board: Rosemarie A. Straight, Chair; Judith Bailey, Member; Maryanne S. Kane, Member; Todd J. Zywicki, Member; Howard J. Beales, Member; Lydia B. Parnes, Member; Clarence L. Peeler, Member; Luke M. Froeb, Member; Pauline M. Ippolito, Member; Susan Creighton, Member; Bernard A. Nigro, Member; William E. Kovacic, Member; and John D. Graubert, Member.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–17157 Filed 7–27–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Secretary

[Document Identifier: OS–0990–0115]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, HHS.

In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of the Secretary (OS), Department of Health and Human Services, is publishing the following summary of proposed collections for public comment. Interested persons are invited to send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including any of the following subjects: (1) The necessity and utility of the proposed

information collection for the proper performance of the agency's functions; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology to minimize the information collection burden.

#1 Type of Information Collection Request: Extension of a Currently Approved Collection;

Title of Information Collection: HHS Acquisition Regulation—Solicitation and Contracts;

Form/OMB No.: OS–0990–0115;

Use: Information is needed to evaluate feasibility of contractor(s) scientific or technical approach, management plan, and cost to accomplish the program or services required by the government.

Frequency: Recordkeeping, Reporting;

Affected Public: State, local, or tribal governments and not-for-profit institutions;

Annual Number of Respondents: 5,357*;*

Total Annual Responses: 5,357;

Average Burden Per Response: 1 hour;

Total Annual Hours: 883,905

To obtain copies of the supporting statement and any related forms for the proposed paperwork collections referenced above, access the HHS Web site address at *http://www.hhs.gov/oirm/infocollect/pending/* or e-mail your request, including your address, phone number, OMB number, and OS document identifier, to *naomi.cook@hhs.gov*, or call the Reports Clearance Office on (202) 690–6162. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collections must be mailed within 60 days of this notice directly to the OS Paperwork Clearance Officer designated at the following address: Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Secretary, Assistant Secretary for Budget, Technology, and Finance, Office of Information and Resource Management, Attention: Naomi Cook (0990–0115), Room 531–H, 200 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20201.

Dated: July 20, 2004.

Robert E. Polson,

Office of the Secretary, Paperwork Reduction Act Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 04–17115 Filed 7–27–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4168–17–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 2004N–0159]

Schering Corp. et al.; Withdrawal of Approval of 92 New Drug Applications and 49 Abbreviated New Drug Applications; Correction

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is correcting a notice that appeared in the **Federal Register** of May 5, 2004 (69 FR 25124). The document announced the withdrawal of approval of 92 new drug applications (NDAs) and 49 abbreviated new drug applications (ANDAs). The document inadvertently withdrew approval of ANDA 88–584 for DHCplus (dihydrocodeine bitartrate, acetaminophen, and caffeine) Capsules, 356.4 milligrams, held by Purdue Frederick Co., One Stamford Forum, Stamford, CT 06901–3431. FDA confirms that approval of ANDA 88–584 is still in effect.

DATES: The notice published on May 5, 2004 (69 FR 25124) as corrected by this document has a date of June 4, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Florine P. Purdie, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (HFD–7), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301–594–2041.

In FR Doc. 04–10194 appearing on page 25124 in the issue of Wednesday, May 5, 2004, the following correction is made: On page 25130, in the table, the entry for NDA 88–584 is removed.

Dated: June 2, 2004.

Steven Galson,

Acting Director, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research.

[FR Doc. 04–17110 Filed 7–27–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–S

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

The National Institutes of Health

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Brain Power! The NIDA Junior Scientist Program and the Companion Program, Brain Power! Challenge

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of section 3507(a)(1)(D) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA), the National Institutes of Health (NIH) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for review and approval of the information collection listed below. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on April 1, 2004, page 17194, and allowed 60 days for public comment. No public comments were received. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comment. The National Institutes of Health may not conduct or sponsor, and the respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection that has been extended, revised, or implemented on or after October 1, 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Proposed Collection: Title: Brain Power! The NIDA Junior Scientist Program, for grades K–5, and the companion program for Middle School, the Brain Power! Challenge. *Type of Information Collection Request:* NEW. *Need and Use of Information Collection:* This is a request for a three-year clearance to evaluate the effectiveness of the Brain Power! Program's ability to: (1) Increase student's knowledge about the biology of the brain and the neurobiology of drug addiction, (2) increase positive attitudes toward science, careers in science, science as an enjoyable endeavor, and the use of animals in research; and stimulate interest in scientific careers; and (3) engender more realistic perceptions of scientists as being from many races, ages, and genders. The secondary goals of the evaluation are to determine the Program's impact on attitudes and intentions toward drug use. The findings will provide valuable information concerning the goals of NIDA's Science Education Program of increasing scientific literacy and stimulating interest in scientific careers. In order to test the effectiveness of the evaluation, information will be collected from students before and after exposure to the curriculum with pre- and post-test self-report measures. Surveys will also be administered to teachers after the completion of the program to examine ease and fidelity of implementation, as well as impact in knowledge and understanding of the neurobiology of addiction. Surveys will be administered to parents to obtain parental reaction and opinion on the materials and the degree to which parents find the curriculum informative and appropriate.

Frequency of Response: On occasion. *Affected Public:* Elementary and middle school students, teachers, and parents. *Type of Respondents:* Students, Teachers, and Parents. The reporting