consumption during the period of review (March 1, 2002, through February 28, 2003). The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of this notice of rescission of antidumping duty new shipper review.

## **Notification to Importers**

This notice also serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under § 351.402(f) of the Department regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 351.305(a)(3) of the Department regulations. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO material or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanctions.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: July 23, 2004.

#### Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 04–17917 Filed 8–4–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# International Trade Administration [A-570-863]

Honey From The People's Republic of China: Initiation of New Shipper

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 5, 2004. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** 

**Antidumping Duty Review** 

Steve Williams at (202) 482–4619 or Jim Nunno at (202) 482–0783, respectively; Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

The Department received a timely request from Foodworld International Club Limited ("Foodworld") in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214 (c), for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on honey from The People's Republic of China ("PRC"), which has a December annual anniversary month and a June semiannual anniversary month. See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order; Honey from The People's Republic of China, 66 FR 63670 (December 10, 2001). Foodworld identified itself as the exporter of honey produced by its producer Anhui Tianxin Bee Products Co., Ltd. ("Anhui Tianxin"). As required by 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i), (ii), and (iii)(A), Foodworld and Anhui Tianxin Bee Products each certified that they did not export honey to the United States during the period of investigation ("POI"), and that they have never been affiliated with any exporter or producer which exported honey during the POI. Furthermore, each company has also certified that their export activities are not controlled by the central government of the PRC, satisfying the requirements of 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(B). Pursuant to the Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv), Foodworld submitted documentation establishing the date on which the subject merchandise was first entered for consumption in the United States, the volume of that first shipment, and any subsequent shipment and the date of the first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.

On July 13, 2004 and July 16, 2004 the Department issued pre-initiation supplemental questionnaires to Foodworld to clarify company information submitted in their requests to the Department for a new shipper review. In Foodworld's supplemental questionnaire response, dated July 21, Foodworld adequately responded to the Department's request for clarification on Customs documents attached to Foodworld's new shipper review request. Foodworld also provided state incorporation documents for the importer of record at the Department's request.

The Department conducted Customs database queries to determine whether Foodworld's shipment had officially entered the United States via assignment of an entry date in the Customs database by the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). In addition, the Department confirmed through research in PIERS and State incorporation records that Foodworld International and the importer of record appear to be bona fide companies.

## Scope

The merchandise under review is honey from the PRC. The products covered are natural honey, artificial honey containing more than fifty percent natural honey by weight, preparations of natural honey containing more than fifty percent natural honey by weight, and flavored honey. The subject merchandise includes all grades and colors of honey whether in liquid, creamed, comb, cut comb, or chunk form, and whether packaged for retail or in bulk form. The merchandise under review is currently classifiable under item 0409.00.00, 1702.90.90, and 2106.90.99 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under review is dispositive.

#### **Initiation of Review**

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), and based on information on the record, we are initiating a new shipper review for Foodworld. See Memoranda to the File through Edward C. Yang, "New Shipper Review Initiation Checklist," dated July 30, 2004. We intend to issue the preliminary results of this review not later than 180 days after the date on which this review was initiated, and the final results of this review within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(g)(1)(i)(A) of the Department's regulations, the period of review ("POR") for a new shipper review initiated in the month immediately following the anniversary month will be the twelve-month period immediately preceding the anniversary month. Therefore, the POR for this new shipper review is:

Antidumping duty proceeding	Period to be reviewed
Exporter: Foodworld International Club Limited. Producer: Anhui Tianxin Bee Prod- ucts Co., Ltd.	12/01/03–05/31/04

It is the Department's usual practice in cases involving non-market economies

to require that a company seeking to establish eligibility for an antidumping duty rate separate from the countrywide rate to provide evidence of de jure and de facto absence of government control over the company's export activities. Accordingly, we will issue a questionnaire to Foodworld, including a separate rates section. The review will proceed if the responses provide sufficient indication that Foodworld is not subject to either *de jure* or *de facto* government control with respect to their exports of honey. However, if Foodworld does not demonstrate their eligibility for a separate rate, then it will be deemed not separate from other companies that exported during the POI and the new shipper review will be rescinded.

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(e), we will instruct the CBP to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting, until the completion of the review, of a single entry bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for certain entries of the merchandise exported by Foodworld. Specifically, since Foodworld has identified Anhui Tianxin as the producer of the subject merchandise for the sale under review, we will instruct CBP to limit the bonding option only to entries of merchandise from Foodworld that were produced by Anhui Tiaxin Bee

Interested parties that need access to proprietary information in this new shipper review should submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation and notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.214(d).

Dated: July 30, 2004.

#### Gary Taverman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group I.

[FR Doc. 04–17934 Filed 8–4–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# **International Trade Administration**

[A-122-814]

Pure Magnesium From Canada: Final Results of 2002/2003 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of administrative review and partial rescission of review.

SUMMARY: On April 16, 2004, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the 2002/2003 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from Canada with respect to Norsk Hydro Canada Inc. This review covers sales of pure magnesium from Canada to the United States during the period August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003. We provided interested parties with an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results of this review, but received no comments. The final results do not differ from the preliminary results of this review, in which we found that the respondent made sales in the United States at prices not below normal value.

 $\textbf{DATES:} \ \textit{Effective Date:} \ August \ 5, \ 2004.$ 

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Scott Holland, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1279.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Background**

The Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the preliminary results of this review on April 16, 2004 (see Pure Magnesium from Canada; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Partial Rescission of Review, 69 FR 20597 (April 16, 2004) ("Preliminary Results")).

We invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results of this review. No comments were received.

# Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is pure magnesium. Pure unwrought magnesium contains at least 99.8 percent magnesium by weight and is sold in various slab and ingot forms and sizes. Granular and secondary magnesium are excluded from the scope currently classifiable under subheading 8104.11.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule ("HTS"). The HTS item number is provided for convenience and for customs purposes. The written description of the scope of the order remains dispositive.

# **Period of Review**

The period of review ("POR") is August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003.

#### **Partial Rescission**

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), and consistent with the *Preliminary Results*, we are rescinding this review with respect to Magnola Metallurgy Inc., which made no shipments of pure magnesium to the United States during this POR.

#### **Fair Value Comparisons**

To determine whether sales of pure magnesium from Canada to the United States were made at less than normal value ("NV"), we compared export price ("EP") to NV. Our calculations followed the methodologies described in the *Preliminary Results*.

#### **Currency Conversions**

We made currency conversions in accordance with section 773A of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act") in the same manner as in the *Preliminary Results*.

# Final Results of the Review

We have determined that no changes to our analysis are warranted for purposes of these final results. As a result of this review, we determine that the following percentage weightedaverage margin exists for the period August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin
Norsk Hydro Canada Inc.	0.01 (de minimis)

# **Assessment Rates**

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we have calculated importer (or customer)-specific assessment rates for merchandise subject to this review. To determine whether the duty assessment rates were de minimis, in accordance with the requirement set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we calculated importer (or customer)-specific ad valorem rates by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to that importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total value of the sales to that importer (or customer). Where an importer (or customer)-specific ad valorem rate was greater than de minimis, we calculated a per unit assessment rate by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to that importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total quantity sold to that importer (or customer).

Pending the final disposition of a NAFTA panel review, the Department