

certain historic and future water uses in the Platte River Basin in Colorado, Nebraska, and Wyoming. The habitat objectives of the proposed Program include: improving flows in the Central Platte River through water re-regulation and conservation/supply projects; and protecting, restoring, and maintaining at least 10,000 acres of habitat in the Central Platte River area between Lexington and Chapman, Nebraska. The DEIS analyzes the impacts of four alternatives to implement the Program.

The programmatic DEIS focuses on impacts that the Program may have on hydrology, water quality, land, target species and their habitat, other species, hydropower, recreation, economics, and social and cultural resources. Subsequent National Environmental Policy Act and ESA documents required for implementation of specific Program actions will be tiered off of this document.

Public Disclosure Statement

Comments received in response to this notice will become part of the administrative record for this project and are subject to public inspection. Comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, will be available for public review. Individual respondents may request that Reclamation withhold their home address from public disclosure, which will be honored to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which Reclamation would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish to have your name and/or address withheld, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. Reclamation will make all submissions from organizations or businesses and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Dated: July 29, 2004.

Mary Josie Blanchard,

Deputy Director, Environmental Policy & Compliance.

[FR Doc. 04-18558 Filed 8-12-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-492]

In the Matter of Certain Plastic Grocery and Retail Bags; Notice of Issuance of General Exclusion Order; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission, having previously determined not to review the final initial determination (ID) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) finding a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the above-captioned investigation, has issued a general exclusion order, and terminated the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andrea Casson, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3105. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on May 2, 2003, based on a complaint filed by Superbag Corp. ("Superbag") of Houston, Texas, against four respondents. 68 FR 24755 (May 8, 2003). These respondents were Thai Plastic Bags Company, Ltd. of Thailand; Hmong Industries, Inc. of St. Paul, Minnesota; Spectrum Plastics, Inc. ("Spectrum") of Cerritos, California; and Pan Pacific Plastics Mfg., Inc. ("Pan Pacific") of Union City, California. Subsequently, the Commission granted Superbag's motion to amend the complaint to add six additional respondents to the investigation—Advance Polybag, Inc. ("API") of Metairie, Louisiana; Universal Polybag

Co., Ltd. ("Universal") of Thailand; Prime Source International LLC ("Prime Source") of Westerville, Ohio; Nantong Huasheng Plastic Products Co. ("Nantong") of China; Bee Lian Plastic Marketing PTE Ltd. ("Bee Lian") of Singapore; and Polson Products Limited of Hong Kong. 68 FR 54740 (Sept. 18, 2003).

Superbag's complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, sale for importation, and/or sale within the United States after importation of certain T-styled plastic grocery and retail bags that infringe one or more of claims 1-8 and 15-19 of Superbag's U.S. Patent No. 5,188,235 ("the '235 patent'").

Prior to the hearing before the ALJ, the Commission terminated the investigation as to respondents Spectrum and Prime Source on the basis of consent orders, and as to respondents API, Universal, and Pan Pacific on the basis of settlement agreements. The Commission also found respondents Nantong and Bee Lian in default.

On March 30, 2004, the ALJ issued his final ID and recommended determination on remedy and bonding, finding that there is a violation of section 337 and recommending that the Commission issue a general exclusion order. He also recommended that the bond permitting temporary importation during the Presidential review period be set at 80 percent of the entered value.

On May 28, 2004, the Commission issued notice that it had determined not to review the ID, and requested written submissions on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. 69 FR 31638 (June 4, 2004). Superbag and the Commission investigative attorney timely filed submissions that addressed the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered, the effect of a remedy on the public interest, and the amount of the bond that should be imposed during the 60-day Presidential review period.

Having reviewed the record in this investigation, including the written submissions of the parties, the Commission determined that the appropriate form of relief is a general exclusion order prohibiting the unlicensed entry for consumption of plastic grocery and retail bags that infringe one or more of claims 1-8 and 15-19 of the '235 patent. The Commission also determined that the public interest factors enumerated in subsection (d) of section 337 do not preclude the issuance of the aforementioned general exclusion order, and that the bond during the Presidential review period shall be in

the amount of 80 percent of the entered value of the articles in question.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and §§ 210.49–210.51 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.49–210.51.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 10, 2004.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 04–18521 Filed 8–12–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Foreign Claims Settlement Commission; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 60-Day notice of information collection under review: Claims of U.S. National Against Albania

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Foreign Claims Settlement Commission (FCSC), has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for “sixty days” until October 12, 2004. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact David Bradley, Chief Counsel, FCSC, 600 E St., NW., Suite 6002, Washington, DC 20579.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

—Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

—Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Reinstatement, without change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Claims of U.S. Nationals Against Albania.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Form Number FCSC 1–04, Foreign Claims Settlement Commission.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Individuals or households. Others: Not-for-profit institutions. The information collected will be used as the basis for determining entitlement of claimants to awards payable by the Department of the Treasury out of Albania Compensation Fund in claims of U.S. nationals against the Albanian government for expropriation of property.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* There are approximately 100 one-time annual respondents who will complete the form within approximately 2 hours per response.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated total annual burden hours associated with this collection is 200.

If additional information is required, contact: Brenda E. Dyer, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: August 10, 2004.

Brenda E. Dyer,

Clearance Officer, Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 04–18560 Filed 8–12–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–BA–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards Administration; Wage and Hour Division

Minimum Wages for Federal and Federally Assisted Construction; General Wage Determination Decisions

General wage determination decisions of the Secretary of Labor are issued in accordance with applicable law and are based on the information obtained by the Department of Labor from its study of local wage conditions and data made available from other sources. They specify the basic hourly wage rates and fringe benefits which are determined to be prevailing for the described classes of laborers and mechanics employed on construction projects of a similar character and in the localities specified therein.

The determinations in these decisions of prevailing rates and fringe benefits have been made in accordance with 29 CFR part 1, by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR Part 1, Appendix, as well as such additional statutes as may from time to time be enacted containing provisions for the payment of wages determined to be prevailing by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with the Davis-Bacon Act. The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in these decisions shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged on contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Good cause is hereby found for not utilizing notice and public comment procedure thereon prior to the issuance of these determinations as prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 and not providing for delay in the effective date as prescribed in that section, because the necessity to issue current construction industry wage determinations frequently and in large volume causes procedures to be impractical and contrary to the public interest.

General wage determination decisions, and modifications and supersedeas decisions thereto, contain no expiration dates and are effective from their date of notice in the **Federal Register**, or on the date written notice is received by the agency, whichever is earlier. These decisions are to be used