requiring the immediate display of customer limit orders that better the posted quote.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b) of the Act, ¹⁶ in general, and furthers the objectives of section 6(b)(5), ¹⁷ in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, and to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The proposed rule change will impose no burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will:

- (A) By order approve such proposed rule change, as amended, or
- (B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to *rule-comments@sec.gov*. Please include File

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549–0609.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Amex-00-27. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Amex-00-27 and should be submitted on or before September 9,

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority. 18

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–18980 Filed 8–18–04; 8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-50184; File No. SR-ISE-2004-20]

Self Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change by International Securities Exchange, Inc. To Amend ISE Rule 722 Relating to Ratio Orders

August 12, 2004.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on July 21, 2004, the International Securities Exchange, Inc. (the "ISE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared by the ISE. The Exchange has filed the proposal as a "noncontroversial" rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act,3 and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.4 which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission.⁵ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The ISE proposes to amend Exchange Rule 722 "Complex Orders" to allow ratio orders equal to or greater than one-to-three (.333) and less than or equal to three-to-one (3.00). The text of the proposed rule change appears below. Proposed new language is in *italics*; proposed deletions are in [brackets].

Rule 722. Complex Orders:

- (a) Complex Orders Defined. A complex order is any order for the same account as defined below:
 - (1)-(5)-No change.
- (6) Ratio Order. A spread, straddle, or combination order may consist of legs that have a different number of contracts, so long as the number of contracts differs by a permissible ratio. For purposes of this paragraph, a permissible ratio [of contracts] is any ratio that is equal to or greater than [.5] one-to-three (.333) and less than or equal to three-to-one (3.00). For example, a one-to-two (.5) ratio, a two-

Number SR–Amex–00–27 on the subject line.

^{18 17} CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6).

 $^{^5}$ The Exchange asked the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay. See Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii).

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

^{17 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

to-three (.667) ratio, or a two-to-one (2.0) ratio is permissible, whereas a one-to-four (.25) ratio or a four-to-one (4.0) ratio is not [(which is equal to .5) and a six-to-ten ratio (which is equal to .6) are permitted, but one-to-three ratio (which is equal to .333) is not].

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The ISE has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

Under Exchange Rule 722(a)(6), a spread, straddle, or combination order may consist of legs that have a different number of contracts, so long as the number of contracts differs by a permissible ratio. Currently, a permissible ratio is any ratio that is equal to or greater than .5. For example, under the current rule, a one-to-two ratio (which is equal to .5) and a six-to-ten ratio (which is equal to .6) are permitted, but one-to-four ratio (which is equal to .25) is not.

The Exchange proposes to amend the definition of a ratio order under Exchange Rule 722 to allow ratios down to one-to-three (.333). The Exchange also proposes to clarify the language of Exchange Rule 722(a)(6) to specify that ratios of up to three-to-one (3.0) are also permitted. For example, a one-to-two (.5) ratio, a two-to-three (.667) ratio, or a two-to-one (2.0) ratio will be permissible, whereas a one-to-four (.25) ratio or a four-to-one (4.0) ratio will not. The Exchange believes that permitting ratio orders to have ratios equal to or greater than one-to-three or less than or equal to three-to-one will help market participants to tailor their positions more precisely to implement their trading and hedging strategies.

The Exchange notes that it is only proposing to change the definition of ratio order in Exchange Rule 722(a)(6) by changing which ratios are permissible thereunder. The Exchange intends to apply the same, current

priority rules set forth in Exchange Rule 722(b) to the proposed ratio orders.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements under Section 6(b)(5) of the Act 6 in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism for a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with these objectives in that it helps market participants to tailor their positions more precisely to implement their trading and hedging strategies.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

The Exchange has neither solicited nor received written comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the proposed rule change: (1) Does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (2) does not impose any significant burden on competition; and (3) does not become operative for 30 days from the date of filing (or such shorter time as the Commission may designate if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest), the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act ⁷ and Rule 19b–4(f)(6) thereunder.⁸

A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b–4(f)(6) normally does not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of filing. However, Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii) permits the Commission to designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange satisfied the five-day prefiling requirement. The Exchange further requests that the Commission

waive the 30-day operative delay, as specified in Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii),9 and designate the proposed rule change to become operative immediately. The Exchange represents that the proposed rule change is based on a Chicago Board Options Exchange ("CBOE") rule change recently approved by the Commission, 10 and that, as a result, the ISE's proposed rule change does not present any novel issues.

The Commission believes that it is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest to designate the proposal immediately operative. 11 The Commission believes that permitting ratio orders to have ratios equal to or greater than one-tothree (.333) or less than or equal to three-to-one (3.00) may provide market participants with greater flexibility and precision in effectuating trading and hedging strategies. The Commission also believes that the procedures governing ratio orders serve to reduce the risk of incomplete or inadequate executions.¹² In designating the proposal immediately operative, the Commission also does not believe that the proposed rule change raises any new issues of regulatory concern. The Commission notes that the proposed rule change is similar to a CBOE proposed rule change recently approved by the Commission that was subject to the full notice and comment period.¹³ No comments were received on the CBOE proposal. Accordingly, the Commission, consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, has waived the 30-day operative date requirement for this proposed rule change, and has determined to designate the proposed rule change as operative on July 21, 2004, the date it was submitted to the Commission.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such proposed rule change if it appears

^{6 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁷¹⁵ U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

^{8 17} CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

^{9 17} CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

¹⁰ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 48858 (December 1, 2003), 68 FR 68128 (December 5, 2003).

¹¹ For purposes only of accelerating the operative date of this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(fl.

¹² We note that because of concerns that a higher ratio could provide market participants with a means to enter a ratio order that was designed primarily to give priority over orders on the limit order book or in the trading crowd, rather than to effectuate a bona-fide trading or hedging strategy, the Commission would need to closely examine any proposal to provide a higher ratio for ratio orders and would be concerned about whether such a proposal would be consistent with investor protection and the public interest under the Act.

¹³ See supra note 10.

to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.¹⁴

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to *rule-comments@sec.gov*. Please include File No. SR–ISE–2004–20 on the subject line

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549–0609.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–ISE–2004–20. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the ISE. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-ISE-2004-20 and should be submitted by September 9, 2004.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁵

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–18978 Filed 8–18–04; 8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-50176; File No. SR-NASD-2004-065]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc.; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change Regarding the Nasdaq Closing Cross

August 10, 2004.

On April 19, 2004, the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD"), through its subsidiary, The Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. ("Nasdaq"), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") 1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to amend the Nasdaq Closing Cross. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on June 4, 2004.3 The Commission received no comments on the proposal. This order approves the proposed rule change.

The proposed rule change would amend NASD Rule 4709 to permit market participants to cancel Imbalance Only orders ("IOs"), Market on Close orders ("MOC"), or Limit on Close orders ("LOC") between 3:50 p.m. EST and 3:55 p.m. EST where a firm is able to clearly demonstrate a legitimate error, including in the side, size, symbol, price, or duplication of the order. Market participants would not be permitted to cancel IO, MOC, or LOC orders after 3:55 p.m. EST for any

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities association.⁴ The Commission believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 15A(b) of the

Act,⁵ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 15A(b)(6),6 in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The proposed rule change will allow Nasdaq greater flexibility to correct errors prior to the Nasdaq Closing Cross, which should result in a Nasdaq Closing Cross that more accurately reflects the trading in a particular security at the close.

For the foregoing reasons, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and rules and regulations thereunder.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁷ that the proposed rule change (SR–NASD–2004–065) is approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁸

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–18977 Filed 8–18–04; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 8010–01–P**

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-50192; File No. SR-NASD-2004-123]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. To Delete IM–2210–4(b) and Rule Series 3400 as Obsolete

August 13, 2004.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") 1 and Rule 19b-4 2 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on August 10, 2004, the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items

 $^{^{14}\,}See$ Section 19(b)(3)(C) of the Act, 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(C).

^{15 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

 $^{^3\,}See$ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 49783 (May 27, 2004), 69 FR 31650.

⁴ In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78*o*–3(b).

^{6 15} U.S.C. 78o-3(b)(6).

^{7 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

^{8 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

^{2 17} CFR 240.19b-4.