each application. Following the Answer period DOT may process the application by expedited procedures. Such procedures may consist of the adoption of a show-cause order, a tentative order, or in appropriate cases a final order without further proceedings.

Docket Number: OST-2004-18980. Date Filed: August 25, 2004. Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope: September 15, 2004.

Description: Application of St. Barth Commuter requesting a foreign air carrier permit authorizing it to engage in the carriage of persons, property and mail in charter foreign air transportation between St. Martin, St. Barthelemy, Guadeloupe and Martinique in the French West Indies and San Juan, Puerto Rico and St. Croix and St. Thomas in the U.S. Virgin Islands.

Docket Number: OST-2004-18974. Date Filed: August 25, 2004. Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope: September 15, 2004.

Description: Application of Paradigm Air, Inc. requesting a certificate of public convenience and necessity to authorize it to engage in interstate charter air transportation of persons, property, and mail.

Docket Number: OST-2004-18976.
Date Filed: August 25, 2004.
Due Date for Answers, Conforming
Applications, or Motion to Modify
Scope: September 15, 2004.

Description: Application of Paradigm Air, Inc. requesting a certificate of public convenience and necessity to authorize it to engage in foreign charter air transportation of persons, property and mail between any point or points in the United States, its territories and possessions, or the District of Columbia, and any other point or points outside the United States, its territories and possessions. Paradigm Air also seeks the right to hold itself out and trade as "Paradigm Air."

Andrea M. Jenkins,

Program Manager, Docket Operations, Federal Register Liaison. [FR Doc. 04–20326 Filed 9–7–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Notice of Application for Approval of Discontinuance or Modification of a Railroad Signal System or Relief From the Requirements of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations Part 236

Pursuant to title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 235 and 49 U.S.C. 20502(a), the following railroad has petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) seeking approval for the discontinuance or modification of the signal system or relief from the requirements of 49 CFR part 236 as detailed below.

Docket Number FRA-2004-18741

Applicant: Union Pacific Railroad Company, Mr. Phil Abaray, Chief Engineer—Signals, 1416 Dodge Street, Room 1000, Omaha, Nebraska 68179– 1000.

The Union Pacific Railroad Company seeks approval of the proposed modification of the traffic control system at milepost 211.9, on the Valley Subdivision, Roseville Area, at Tehama, California. The proposed changes consist of the conversion of power-operated switch No. 159A to hand operation, equipped with a switch circuit controller, and relocation of controlled signal No. 162R to the north of switch No. 159A, so that the switch is no longer within the limits of the control point.

The reason given for the proposed changes is that the track accessed by switch No. 159A will only be used occasionally as a storage track and the controlled power-operated switch machine is no longer needed, and since there is no available route through switch 159A, signal 162R will be moved northward, nearer the power-operated crossover it protects.

Any interested party desiring to protest the granting of an application shall set forth specifically the grounds upon which the protest is made, and include a concise statement of the interest of the party in the proceeding. Additionally, one copy of the protest shall be furnished to the applicant at the address listed above.

All communications concerning this proceeding should be identified by the docket number and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PL-401 (Plaza Level), 400 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590–0001. Communications received within 45 days of the date of this notice will be considered by the FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m.-5 p.m.) at the above facility. All documents in the public docket are also available for inspection and copying on the internet at the docket facility's Web site at http:/ /dms.dot.gov.

FRA wishes to inform all potential commenters that anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (volume 65, number 70; pages 19477—78), or you may visit http://dms.dot.gov.

FRA expects to be able to determine these matters without an oral hearing. However, if a specific request for an oral hearing is accompanied by a showing that the party is unable to adequately present his or her position by written statements, an application may be set for public hearing.

Issued in Washington, DC on August 31, 2004.

Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,

Acting Associate Administrator for Safety. [FR Doc. 04–20257 Filed 9–7–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2004-18945]

Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 2000 Cadillac Deville Passenger Cars, Manufactured From August 1, 1999, through December 31, 2000, Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of petition for decision that nonconforming 2000 Cadillac Deville passenger cars, manufactured from August 1, 1999, through December 31, 2000, are eligible for importation.

SUMMARY: This document announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that 2000 Cadillac Deville passenger cars, manufactured from August 1, 1999, through December 31, 2000, that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards are eligible for importation into the United States because (1) they are substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured for sale in the United States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards, and (2) they are

capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATES: The closing date for comments on the petition is October 8, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to the docket number and notice number, and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590. (Docket hours are from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.) Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000 (volume 65, number 70; pages 19477-78), or you may visit http://dms.dot.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Coleman Sachs, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202–366–3151).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the Federal Register of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal Register.

Automobile Concepts, Inc. ("AMC"), of Miami, Florida (Registered Importer 01–278), has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether nonconforming 2000 Cadillac Deville passenger cars, manufactured from August 1, 1999, through December 31, 2000, are eligible for importation into the United States.

The vehicles which AMC believes are substantially similar are 2000 Cadillac Deville passenger cars, manufactured from August 1, 1999, through December 31, 2000, that were manufactured for sale in the United States and certified by their manufacturer as conforming to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

The petitioner claims that it carefully compared non-U.S. certified 2000 Cadillac Deville passenger cars, manufactured from August 1, 1999, through December 31, 2000, to their U.S.-certified counterparts, and found the vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

AMC submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that non-U.S. certified 2000 Cadillac Deville passenger cars, manufactured from August 1, 1999, through December 31, 2000, as originally manufactured, conform to many Federal motor vehicle safety standards in the same manner as their U.S. certified counterparts, or are capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claims that non-U.S. certified 2000 Cadillac Deville passenger cars, manufactured from August 1, 1999, through December 31, 2000, are identical to their U.S. certified counterparts with respect to compliance with Standard Nos. 101 Controls and Displays, 102 Transmission Shift Lever Sequence, Starter Interlock, and Transmission Braking Effect, 103 Windshield Defrosting and Defogging Systems, 104 Windshield Wiping and Washing Systems, 105 Hydraulic and Electric Brake Systems, 106 Brake Hoses, 108 Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment, 109 New Pneumatic Tires, 113 Hood Latch System, 114 Theft Protection, 116 Motor Vehicle Brake Fluids, 118 Power Operated Window, Partition, and Roof Panel Systems, 124 Accelerator Control Systems, 201 Occupant Protection in Interior Impact, 202 Head Restraints, 204 Steering Control Rearward Displacement, 205 Glazing Materials, 206 Door Locks and Door Retention Components, 207 Seating Systems, 212 Windshield Mounting, 214 Side Impact Protection, 216 Roof Crush Resistance, 219 Windshield Zone Intrusion, 225 Child Restraint Anchorage Systems, 301 Fuel System Integrity, and 302 Flammability of Interior Materials.

Additionally, the petitioner states that non-U.S. certified 2000 Cadillac Deville passenger cars, manufactured from August 1, 1999, through December 31, 2000, comply with the Bumper Standard found in 49 CFR part 581. The petitioner also contends that the vehicles are capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

Standard No. 110 *Tire Selection and Rims:* a tire placard must be installed to ensure compliance with the standard.

Standard No. 111 Rearview Mirrors: installation of U.S.-model passenger side rearview mirror, or inscription of the required warning statement on the face of the passenger side rearview mirror.

Standard No. 208 Occupant Crash Protection: inspection of all vehicles and replacement of non U.S. model components with U.S.-model components to ensure compliance with the standard.

The petitioner states that the automatic restraint system installed in these vehicles consists of dual front airbags and knee bolsters.

The petitioner also states that the vehicles have combination lap and shoulder belts at the outboard front and rear seating positions. These seat belt systems are self-tensioning and are released by means of a single red pushbutton.

Standard No. 209 Seat Belt Assemblies: inspection of all vehicles and replacement of non U.S. model seat belt assemblies with U.S.-model components.

Standard No. 210 Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages: inspection of all vehicles and replacement of non U.S. model seat belt anchorage assemblies with U.S.-model components.

The petitioner also states that all vehicles will be inspected prior to importation to assure compliance with the Theft Prevention Standard at 49 CFR part 541 and that U.S.-model antitheft components will be installed, if necessary, to achieve compliance with that standard.

The petitioner additionally states that a vehicle identification plate must be affixed to the vehicles near the left windshield post to meet the requirements of 49 CFR part 565.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the petition described above. Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL—401, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590. (Docket hours are from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.) It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the

closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.8; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Claude H. Harris,

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance. [FR Doc. 04–20259 Filed 9–7–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2004-18946]

Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 1998 Toyota 4-Runner Multipurpose Passenger Vehicles Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of petition for decision that nonconforming 1998 Toyota 4-Runner multipurpose passenger vehicles are eligible for importation.

SUMMARY: This document announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that 1998 Toyota 4-Runner multipurpose passenger vehicles that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards are eligible for importation into the United States because (1) they are substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards, and (2) they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATES: The closing date for comments on the petition is October 8, 2004. **ADDRESSES:** Comments should refer to the docket number and notice number, and be submitted to: Docket

the docket number and notice number, and be submitted to: Docket
Management, Room PL—401, 400
Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC
20590. (Docket hours are from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.) Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act

Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (volume 65, number 70; pages 19477–78), or you may visit *http://dms.dot.gov.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Coleman Sachs, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202–366–3151).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States. certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the Federal Register of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal Register.

Sunshine Car Import ("SCI") of Ft.
Myers, Florida (Registered Importer 01–
289) has petitioned NHTSA to decide
whether nonconforming 1998 Toyota 4Runner multipurpose passenger
vehicles are eligible for importation into
the United States. The vehicles which
SCI believes are substantially similar are
1998 Toyota 4-Runner multipurpose
passenger vehicles that were
manufactured for importation into, and
sale in, the United States and certified
by their manufacturer as conforming to
all applicable Federal motor vehicle
safety standards.

The petitioner claims that it carefully compared non-U.S. certified 1998
Toyota 4-Runner multipurpose passenger vehicles to their U.S.-certified counterparts, and found the vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

SCI submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that non-U.S. certified 1998 Toyota 4-Runner multipurpose passenger vehicles as originally manufactured, conform to many Federal motor vehicle safety standards in the same manner as their U.S. certified counterparts, or are capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claims that non-U.S. certified 1998 Toyota 4-Runner multipurpose passenger vehicles are identical to their U.S. certified counterparts with respect to compliance with Standard Nos. 102 Transmission Shift Lever Sequence, Starter Interlock, and Transmission Braking Effect, 103 Windshield Defrosting and Defogging Systems, 104 Windshield Wiping and Washing Systems, 105 Hydraulic and Electric Brake Systems, 106 Brake Hoses, 111 Rearview Mirrors, 113 Hood Latch System, 114 Theft Protection, 116 Motor Vehicle Brake Fluids, 118 Power-Operated Window, Partition, and Roof Panel Systems, 119 New Pneumatic Tires for Vehicles Other than Passenger Cars, 124 Accelerator Control Systems, 201 Occupant Protection in Interior Impact, 202 Head Restraints, 204 Steering Control Rearward Displacement, 205 Glazing Materials, 206 Door Locks and Door Retention Components, 207 Seating Systems, 209 Seat Belt Assemblies, 210 Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages, 212 Windshield Mounting, 216 Roof Crush Resistance, 219 Windshield Zone Intrusion, and 302 Flammability of Interior Materials.

The petitioner also contends that the vehicles are capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

Standard No. 101 *Controls and Displays:* installation of entire U.S.-model instrument cluster.

Standard No. 108 Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment: inspection of all vehicles and replacement of non U.S.-model components with U.S.-model components to ensure compliance with the standard.

Standard No. 120 Tire Selection and Rims for Motor Vehicles Other than Passenger Cars: a tire information placard must be installed to ensure compliance with the standard.

Standard No. 208 Occupant Crash Protection: (a) Installation of a seatbelt warning buzzer, warning lamp and associated wiring, and (b) inspection of all vehicles, and replacement of non U.S.-model components with U.S.-model components to ensure compliance with the standard.

The petitioner states that the passive restraint system used in these vehicles consists of dual front airbags and knee bolsters.