compliance with the corresponding action required by this AD.

Parts Installation

(h) As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install a PCB having P/N 7277220–501 with S/N 2108 through 6008 inclusive, on any PSU on any airplane.

Returning Parts Not Required

(i) Where EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145–25–0277, Change 02, dated June 28, 2004, specifies to return any PCB with a subject S/N to C&D Aerospace, this AD does not require that action.

Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(j) The Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

Related Information

(k) Brazilian airworthiness directive 2004–05–02, dated June 2, 2004, also addresses the subject of this AD.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 31, 2004.

Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 04–20402 Filed 9–8–04; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 100

[CGD07-04-099]

RIN 1625-AA08

Special Local Regulations; World Championship Super Boat Race, Deerfield Beach, FL

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

summary: The Coast Guard proposes to establish temporary special local regulations for the World Championship Super Boat Race held offshore of Deerfield Beach, Florida. These special local regulations limit the movement of non-participating vessels in the regulated race area and provide for a viewing area for spectator craft. This rule is needed to provide for the safety of life on navigable waters during the event.

DATES: Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before September 24, 2004.

ADDRESSES: You may mail comments and related material to Coast Guard

Sector Miami, 100 MacArthur Causeway, Miami Beach, FL 33139. Coast Guard Sector Miami maintains the public docket [CGD07–04–099] for this rulemaking. Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection or copying at Coast Guard Sector Miami, 100 MacArthur Causeway, Miami Beach, FL 33139 between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Boatswain's Mate Chief D. Vaughn, Coast Guard Sector Miami, FL at (305) 535–4317.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Comments

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related material. If you do so, please include your name and address, identify the docket number for this rulemaking [CGD07-04-099], indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. Please submit all comments and related material in an unbound format, no larger than 81/2 by 11 inches, suitable for copying. If you would like to know they reached us, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. We may change this proposed rule in view of them.

Public Meeting

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. But you may submit a request for a meeting by writing to Coast Guard Sector Miami at the address under ADDRESSES explaining why one would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place announced by later notice in the Federal Register.

Background and Purpose

Super Boat International Productions, Inc., is sponsoring a high-speed power boat race proposed for October 10, 2004, from 10 a.m. until 5 p.m., in the Atlantic Ocean off Deerfield Beach, Florida. The race organizers expect 80 participants and 200 spectator craft for this event. The event takes place outside of the marked channel so that it will not interfere with commercial shipping. Recreational vessels and fishing vessels normally operate in the waters proposed for the event. This rule is required to provide for the safety of life on navigable waters because of the inherent

dangers associated with power boats racing at high speeds in proximity to other vessels. The rule prohibits non-participating vessels from entering the regulated race area offshore of Deerfield Beach, Florida, during the event. The sponsoring organization proposes to patrol and provide safety services for the regulated area in the form of the following: 3 race equipment check boats, 6 medical boats, 10 safety and manatee—sea turtle watch boats, 3 media coverage boats, and 2 medical rescue helicopters. The race schedule follows:

1. The regulated area will be closed one (1) hour before the racing begins to ensure that manatees, sea turtles and spectators are no longer in the regulated area.

2. At 11 a.m., smaller vessels will race in the following manner:

Super Stock (S) 65 miles (10 Laps). Manufactures (F) 1 ... 59 miles (9 Laps). Divisional (P) 1, 2, 3, 40 miles (6 Laps).

3. At 1 p.m., racing begins for the Superboats in the following manner:

Superboat (Cat) & 104 miles (16 Laps).
Superboat VEE (V).

Superboat VEE (V).
Superboat Unlimited 104 miles (16 Laps).
& Superboat Vee

Unlimited.
Superboat Vee Limited (VL),
Superboat Limited (Cat), Super X (X).

A Coast Guard Patrol commander will be present during the event to monitor compliance with this regulation.

Discussion of Proposed Rule

This rule will create two regulated areas, a race area and a viewing area. These regulated areas assist in providing for the safety of life on navigable waters and minimizing the inherent dangers associated with powerboat races. These dangers include race craft traveling at high speed in close proximity to one other and in relatively close proximity to spectator craft. Due to these concerns, public safety requires these regulations to provide for the safety of life on the navigable waters.

Regulatory Evaluation

This proposed rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not "significant" under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

We expect the economic impact of this proposed rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under the regulatory policies and procedures of DHS is unnecessary.

This regulation would affect a limited area offshore of Deerfield Beach, Florida, and only for a limited time period. It would be effective October 10, 2004 from 10 a.m. until 5 p.m. for the duration of the scheduled races.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule would affect the following entities, some of which may be small entities: The owners or operators of vessels intending to transfer or anchor in a portion of the Atlantic Ocean near Deerfield Beach, Florida from 10 a.m. until 5 p.m. on October 10, 2004. The Coast Guard certifies under U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, because this rule would regulate a very small area, be in effect for a limited duration, and allow the transit of commercial and recreational vessels between races. Moreover, all vessel traffic can pass safely around the zone. Before the effective period, maritime advisories would be issued over VHF-FM radio to allow the maritime community to plan accordingly.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see ADDRESSES) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under Section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking. If the rule would affect your small

business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT for assistance in understanding and participating in this rulemaking. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247).

Collection of Information

This proposed rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State of local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

Unfunded Mandated Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

Taking of Private Property

This proposed rule would not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This proposed rule is not an economically significant rule and would not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that might disproportionately affect children.

Indian Tribal Governments

This proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order, because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The administrator of the Office or Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Technical Standards

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of material, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or

adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This proposed rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have concluded that there are not factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, this rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2-1, paragraph (34)(h), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation. Under figure 2-1, paragraph (34)(h), of the Instruction, an "Environmental Analysis Check List" and a "Categorical Exclusion Determination" are not required for this rule.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 100

Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 100, as follows:

PART 100—MARINE EVENTS

1. The authority citation for Part 100 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1233; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1

2. From 10 a.m. until 5 p.m. on October 10, 2004, add temporary § 100.35T–07–099 to read as follows:

§ 100.35T-07-099 World Championship Super Boat Race; Deerfield Beach, Florida.

(a) Regulated areas. (1) The regulated area encompasses all waters located inside of a line connecting the following positions located offshore of Deerfield Beach, Florida:

Point 1: 26°17′08″ N, 080°04′41″ W, Point 2: 26°17′06″ N, 080°04′17″ W, Point 3: 26°19′49″ N, 080°04′16″ W, Point 4: 26°19′49″ N, 080°03′48″ W,

All coordinates referenced use Datum: NAD 1983.

(2) The *spectator area* encompasses all waters located within a box bounded by the following positions located offshore of Deerfield Beach, Florida:

Point 1: 26°17′07″ N, 080°04′26″ W, Point 2: 26°17′06″ N, 080°04′17″ W, Point 3: 26°19′49″ N, 080°03′57″ W,

Point 3: 26°19′49″ N, 080°03′57″ W, Point 4: 26°19′49″ N, 080°03′48″ W.

All coordinates referenced use Datum NAD: 1983.

(b) Coast Guard Patrol Commander. The Coast Guard Patrol Commander is a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer of the Coast Guard who has been designated by Commanding Officer, Coast Guard Sector Miami, Florida.

(c) Special Local Regulations. From 10 a.m. until 5 p.m. on October 10, 2004, non-participant vessels are prohibited from entering the regulated area unless authorized by the Coast Guard Patrol Commander. Spectator craft may remain in the designated spectator area but must follow the directions of the Coast Guard Patrol Commander. The Coast Guard Patrol Commander can be contacted on VHF marine band radio, channel 16.

(d) *Dates:* This section is effective from 10 a.m. until 5 p.m. on October 10, 2004.

Dated: August 30, 2004.

D.B. Peterman,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Seventh Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 04–20456 Filed 9–8–04; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4910–15–M**

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

36 CFR Part 294

RIN 0596-AC10

Special Areas; State Petitions for Inventoried Roadless Area Management

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Proposed rule; extension of public comment period.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the public comment period for the proposed rule for Special Areas; State Petitions for Inventoried Roadless Area Management, published in the **Federal Register** on July 16, 2004 (69 FR 42636), is being extended.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before November 15, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments by mail to: Content Analysis Team, Attn: Roadless State Petitions, USDA Forest Service, P.O. Box 221090, Salt Lake City, UT 84122; by facsimile to (801) 517–1014; or by e-mail at statepetitionroadless@fs.fed.us. If you intend to submit comments in batched e-mails from the same server, please be aware that electronic security safeguards on Forest Service and Department of Agriculture computer systems for prevention of commercial spamming may limit batched e-mail access. However, the Forest Service is

interested in receiving all comments on this proposed rule. Therefore, please call (801) 517-1020 to facilitate transfer of comments in batched e-mail messages. Comments also may be submitted via the World Wide Web/ Internet Web site http:// www.regulations.gov. Please note that all comments, including names and addresses when provided, will be placed in the record and will be available for public inspection and copying. The agency cannot confirm receipt of comments. Individuals wishing to inspect the comments should call Jody Sutton at (801) 517-1023 to schedule an appointment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dave Barone, Planning Specialist, Ecosystem Management Coordination Staff, Forest Service, USDA, (202) 205–

Dated: September 2, 2004.

Dale N. Bosworth,

Chief.

[FR Doc. 04–20370 Filed 9–8–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[VA155-5081b; FRL-7809-4]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Virginia; NO_× RACT Determinations for Two Individual Sources

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Virginia for the purpose of determining the reasonably available control technology (RACT) for the control of nitrogen oxides (NO_X) from two individual sources located in Fairfax County, Virginia; namely, the Central Intelligence Agency, and the National Reconnaissance Office. In the Final Rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the Commonwealth's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule