

(7 CFR part 1b), and (4) APHIS' NEPA Implementing Procedures (7 CFR part 372).

The environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact are available for viewing on the Internet at <http://www.aphis.usda.gov/ppq/ep/ff>. Copies of the environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact are also available for public inspection in our reading room (information on the location and hours of the reading room is provided under the heading

ADDRESSES at the beginning of this proposed rule). In addition, copies may be obtained by writing to the individual listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This interim rule contains no information collection or recordkeeping requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 301

Agricultural commodities, Plant diseases and pests, Quarantine, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Transportation.

■ Accordingly, we are amending 7 CFR part 301 as follows:

PART 301—DOMESTIC QUARANTINE NOTICES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 301 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 7701–7772; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.3.

Section 301.75–15 also issued under Sec. 204, Title II, Pub. L. 106–113, 113 Stat. 1501A–293; sections 301.75–15 and 301.75–16 also issued under Sec. 203, Title II, Pub. L. 106–224, 114 Stat. 400 (7 U.S.C. 1421 note).

■ 2. In § 301.93–3, paragraph (c) is revised to read as follows:

§ 301.93–3 Quarantined areas.

* * * * *

(c) The areas described below are designated as quarantined areas:

CALIFORNIA

Orange County. That portion of Orange County in the Santa Ana area bounded by a line as follows: Beginning at the intersection of South Euclid Street and West Broadway; then east on West Broadway to East Broadway; then east on East Broadway to South East Street; then northwest on South East Street to East Lincoln Avenue; then east on East Lincoln Avenue to West Lincoln Avenue; then east on West Lincoln Avenue to East Lincoln Avenue; then east on East Lincoln Avenue to Nohl Ranch Road; then east and northeast on

Nohl Ranch Road to South Imperial Highway; then south and southwest on South Imperial Highway to Edison Ridge Road; then east and northeast on Edison Ridge Road to Nohl Ranch Road; then southeast on Nohl Ranch Road to Serrano Avenue; then southwest on Serrano Avenue to northern boundary of Santiago Oaks Regional Park; then east, southeast, northeast, southeast, south, southwest, west, south, and west along the park boundary line to Santiago Creek; then southeast along Santiago Creek to the boundary of Irvine Regional Park; then northeast, southeast, south, southeast, northeast, southeast, south, southwest, and northwest along the park boundary line to Peters Canyon Road; then south and southwest on Peters Canyon Road to Santiago Canyon Road; then southeast on Santiago Canyon Road to the Eastern Transportation Corridor; then south along an imaginary line from the intersection of Santiago Canyon Road and the Eastern Transportation Corridor to the northernmost point of Culver Drive; then southwest on Culver Drive to Walnut Avenue; then northwest on Walnut Avenue to Jamboree Road; then southwest on Jamboree Road to Alton Parkway; then northwest on Alton Parkway to Red Hill Avenue; then southwest on Red Hill Avenue to Macarthur Boulevard; then northwest on Macarthur Boulevard to State Highway 55; then southwest on State Highway 55 to Interstate Highway 405; then west and northwest on Interstate Highway 405 to Magnolia Street; then north on Magnolia Street to McFadden Avenue; then west on McFadden Avenue to Newland Street; then north on Newland Street to Garden Grove Boulevard; then east on Garden Grove Boulevard to Magnolia Street; then north on Magnolia Street to Magnolia Avenue; then north on Magnolia Avenue to South Magnolia Avenue; then north on South Magnolia Avenue to West Ball Road; then east on West Ball Road to Ball Road; then east on Ball Road to South Euclid Street; then north on South Euclid Street to the point of the beginning.

■ 3. In § 301.93–10, paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:

§ 301.93–10 Treatments.

* * * * *

(b) *Premises.* A field, grove, or area that is located within the quarantined area but outside the infested core area, and that produces regulated articles, must receive regular treatments with either malathion or spinosad bait spray. These treatments must take place at 6- to 10-day intervals, starting a sufficient time before harvest (but not less than 30 days before harvest) to allow for

completion of egg and larvae development of the Oriental fruit fly. Determination of the time period must be based on the day degrees model for the Oriental fruit fly. Once treatment has begun, it must continue through the harvest period. The malathion bait spray treatment must be applied by aircraft or ground equipment at a rate of 2.4 oz technical grade malathion and 9.6 oz of protein hydrolysate per acre. The spinosad bait spray treatment must be applied by aircraft or ground equipment at a rate of 0.01 oz of a USDA-approved spinosad formulation and 48 oz of protein hydrolysate per acre. For ground applications, the mixture may be diluted with water to improve coverage.

* * * * *

Done in Washington, DC, this 14th day of September, 2004.

Kevin Shea,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 04–21084 Filed 9–17–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Commodity Credit Corporation

Natural Resources Conservation Service

7 CFR Part 1469

Conservation Security Program

AGENCY: Commodity Credit Corporation and the Natural Resources Conservation Service, USDA.

ACTION: Interim final rule; extension of public comment period.

SUMMARY: The Conservation Security Program (CSP) is authorized by Title XII, Chapter 2, Subchapter A, of the Food Security Act of 1985, as amended by the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) published an Interim Final Rule for CSP on June 21, 2004, (69 FR 34502), with a comment period expiring September 20, 2004. By this document, NRCS is extending the period during which it will accept public comment on the Interim Final Rule for CSP to October 5, 2004. This extension is to give the public additional time to comment on key issues that have been raised regarding the implementation of the program.

DATES: Comments must be postmarked by midnight October 5, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Send comments in writing, by mail, to Financial Assistance

Programs Division, Natural Resources Conservation Service, P.O. Box 2890, Washington, DC 20013-2890, or by e-mail to FarmBillRules@usda.gov; Attn: Conservation Security Program.

The Interim Final Rule may also be accessed via the Internet through the NRCS homepage, at <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov>, and by selecting Programs. All comments, including names and addresses when provided, are placed in the record and are available for public inspection.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Craig Derickson, Conservation Security Program Manager, Financial Assistance Programs Division, NRCS, P.O. Box 2890, Washington, DC 20013-2890, telephone: (202) 720-1845; fax: (202) 720-4265. Submit e-mail to: craig.derickson@usda.gov, Attention: Conservation Security Program.

Signed in Washington, DC, on September 13, 2004.

Bruce I. Knight,

Chief, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

[FR Doc. 04-21026 Filed 9-17-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2002-NM-227-AD; Amendment 39-13796; AD 2004-19-02]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Dassault Model Fan Jet Falcon Series Airplanes and Model Mystere-Falcon 20 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to all Dassault Model Fan Jet Falcon series airplanes and Model Mystere-Falcon 20 series airplanes, that requires inspecting and testing for fatigue cracking due to stress corrosion in the vertical posts of the window frames in the flight compartment. This action is necessary to prevent fatigue cracking of the window frames, which could result in rapid depressurization of the fuselage and consequent reduced structural integrity of the airplane. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Effective October 25, 2004.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Dassault Falcon Jet, P.O. Box 2000, South Hackensack, New Jersey 07606. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call (202) 741-6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom Rodriguez, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-1137; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Dassault Model Fan Jet Falcon series airplanes and Model Mystere-Falcon 20 series airplanes was published as a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) in the **Federal Register** on June 17, 2004 (69 FR 33872). That action proposed to require inspecting and testing for fatigue cracking due to stress corrosion in the vertical posts of the window frames in the flight compartment. That action also proposed to add airplanes to the applicability, to clarify which airplanes must do certain actions, and to specify which window frames to ultrasonically inspect.

Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. No comments were submitted in response to the proposal or the FAA's determination of the cost to the public.

Explanation of Change Made to Final Rule

We have changed paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this final rule to specify that the actions shall be done in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, or the Direction Générale de l'Aviation Civile (or its delegated agent). In addition, Dassault Aviation Work Card 53-30-12, titled "Endoscopic Inspection of the Frames of Pilot, Co-Pilot, and Front Glass Panels (Aircraft Not Changed Per SB No. 701)," of the

Dassault Aviation Fan Jet Falcon Maintenance Manual, is listed as one approved method for doing the detailed (endoscopic) inspection specified in paragraph (a)(1) of this final rule. Additionally, Dassault Aviation Work Card 53-30-07, titled "Non-Destructive Ultrasonic Testing of Vertical Posts on Screw-Mounted Windows," of the Dassault Aviation Fan Jet Falcon Maintenance Manual, is listed as one approved method of doing the ultrasonic test specified in paragraph (a)(2) of this final rule.

Conclusion

The FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 220 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 4 work hours per airplane to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor rate is \$65 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$57,200, or \$260 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted. The cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by other administrative actions.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory