

electronic docket at 67 FR 38102 (May 31, 2002), or go to <http://www.epa.gov/edocket>.

Title: Emission Certification and Compliance Requirements for Marine Spark-ignition Engines (Renewal).

Abstract: Under Title II of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7521 *et seq.*; the Act), EPA is charged with issuing certificates of conformity for certain spark-ignition engines used to propel marine vessels that comply with applicable emission standards. Such a certificate must be issued before engines may be legally introduced into commerce. To apply for a certificate of conformity, marine spark-ignition engine manufacturers are required to submit descriptions of their planned production line, including detailed descriptions of the emission control system and engine emission test data. This information is organized by "engine family" groups expected to have similar emission characteristics. To comply with the corporate average emission standard, manufacturers must use the Averaging, Banking and Trading Program (AB&T) and must submit information regarding the calculation, actual generation and usage of emission credits in an initial report, end-of-the-year report, and final report. These reports are used for engine family certification; that is, to insure pre-production compliance with emissions requirements, and for enforcement purposes. Manufacturers must maintain records for eight years on the engine families included in the program. In this notice, former ICRs 1725.03 ("Marine Engine Manufacturers Assembly-Line Testing Reporting & Recordkeeping Requirements," OMB Control Number 2060-0323, expiring on 9/30/2004) and 1726.03 ("Marine Engine Manufacturer Based In-Use Emission Testing Program," OMB Number 2060-0322, expiring on 10/31/2004) are being incorporated into ICR 1722.04. This action is undertaken to consolidate information requirements for the same industry into one ICR, for simplification. With this consolidation, we combine the burden associated with the certification, AB&T, Production-line Testing (PLT) and In-use Testing programs for marine spark-ignition engines. Under the PLT Program, manufacturers are required to test a sample of engines as they leave the assembly line. This self-audit program allows manufacturers to monitor compliance with statistical certainty and minimize the cost of correcting errors through early detection. Under the In-use Testing Program, manufacturers are required to test engines after a number of hours of use to verify that they comply with emission

standards throughout their useful lives. There are recordkeeping requirements in all programs.

The information requested by this information collection is used to enforce different provisions of the Act and maintain the integrity of the overall emissions reduction program. Data generated through the PLT, In-use Testing and AB&T programs may be used to evaluate future applications for certification, to identify potential issues, and as basis to suspend or revoke the certificate of conformity of those engines that fail. There are recordkeeping requirements in all programs.

The information is collected by the Engine Programs Group, Certification and Compliance Division, Office of Transportation and Air Quality, Office of Air and Radiation. Confidentiality of proprietary information submitted by manufacturers is granted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, EPA regulations at 40 CFR part 2, and class determinations issued by EPA's Office of General Counsel.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in 40 CFR are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and are identified on the form and/or instrument, if applicable.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 4,029 hours per respondent. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Manufacturers of marine spark-ignition engines.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 10.

Frequency of Response: Annually and quarterly.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 40,293.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$2,240,875 includes \$200,966 O&M costs, \$0 annualized capital costs, and \$2,039,909 labor costs.

Changes in the Estimates: There is an increase of 1,619 hours in the total estimated burden currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved ICR Burdens. This increase is due to the fact that we are consolidating three ICRs (1722.03, 1725.03, and 1726.03) into 1722.04, as explained above. The increase in burden is, therefore, due to an adjustment to the estimates.

Dated: September 21, 2004.

Oscar Morales,

Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. 04-21705 Filed 9-27-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7820-3]

Good Neighbor Environmental Board Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The next meeting of the Good Neighbor Environmental Board, a Federal advisory committee that reports to the President and Congress on environmental and infrastructure projects along the U.S. border with Mexico, will take place in Douglas, Arizona, on October 27th and the morning of October 28th, 2004. It is open to the public.

DATES: On October 27th, the meeting will begin at 8:30 a.m. (registration at 8 a.m.) and end at 5:30 p.m. On October 28th, the Board will hold a routine business meeting from 8 a.m. until 12 noon (registration at 7:30 a.m.).

ADDRESSES: The meeting site is the City of Douglas Police Department Conference Room, located at 300 14th St., Douglas, AZ, 85607. The phone number is (520) 364-8422.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elaine M. Koerner, Designated Federal Officer for the Good Neighbor Environmental Board, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 9 Office, 75 Hawthorne St., San Francisco, California, 94105. Tel: Region 9 office: (415) 972-3437; DC office (202) 233-0069. e-mail: koerner.elaine@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Agenda: On the first day of the meeting, which

begins at 8:30 a.m. (registration at 8 a.m.), the Board has invited the Mayor of Douglas to address attendees at the onset, followed by presentations from local experts throughout the day on three border-region environmental issues: drought; environmental impacts of immigration; and air quality. The first day also will include a public comment session, an update from Board members about their organizations' recent activities, and a report-out from a Mexican counterpart advisory group. It will conclude at 5:30 p.m. The second day of the meeting, October 28th, will take the form of a half-day routine business meeting. It will begin at 8 a.m., with registration at 7:30 a.m. The meeting will end at noon.

Public Attendance: The public is welcome to attend all portions of the meeting. Members of the public who plan to file written statements and/or make brief (suggested 5-minute limit) oral statements at the public comment session on the first day are encouraged to contact the Designated Federal Officer (DFO) for the Board prior to the meeting.

Meeting Access: Individuals requiring special accommodation at this meeting, including wheelchair access to the conference room, should contact the DFO at least five business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

Background: The Good Neighbor Environmental Board meets three times each calendar year at different locations along the U.S.-Mexico border and in Washington, DC. It was created by the Enterprise for the Americas Initiative Act of 1992. An Executive Order delegates implementing authority to the Administrator of EPA. The Board is responsible for providing advice to the President and the Congress on environmental and infrastructure issues and needs within the States contiguous to Mexico in order to improve the quality of life of persons residing on the United States side of the border. The statute calls for the Board to have representatives from U.S. Government agencies; the governments of the States of Arizona, California, New Mexico, and Texas; and private organizations with expertise on environmental and infrastructure problems along the southwest border. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency gives notice of this meeting of the Good Neighbor Environmental Board pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463).

Dated: September 7, 2004.

Elaine Koerner,

Designate Federal Officer.

[FR Doc. 04-21706 Filed 9-27-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

September 22, 2004.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before October 28, 2004. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments regarding this Paperwork Reduction Act submission to Judith B. Herman, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-C804, 445 12th Street, SW., DC 20554 or via the Internet to *Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judith B. Herman at 202-418-0214 or via the Internet at *Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060-0971.

Title: Numbering Resource Optimization, Second Report and Order, Order on Reconsideration in CC Docket No. 96-98 and CC Docket No. 99-200, and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 99-200.

Form No: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 2,050 respondents; 50,500 responses.

Estimated Time Per Response: .25-3 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion and semi-annual reporting requirements and third party disclosure requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 14,000 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

Needs and Uses: The Second Report and Order imposed two information collection requirements on carriers. First, a request for "For Cause" auditing requests, the North American Number Plan Administrator (NANPA), the Pooling Administrator or a state commission must state, in writing, the reason for the request (such as misleading or inaccurate data) and provide supporting documentation. Audits will be performed by the Commission's Enforcement Bureau or by other designated agents. State commissions may participate in these audits along with the commission's auditors or its designated agents. Secondly, State commissions requesting copies of carriers' applications for initial and growth numbering resources should obtain such copies directly from the carriers, rather than from the NANPA or the Pooling Administrator. This approach avoids a costly burden on national numbering administration while placing only a minimal burden on carriers. The Commission is seeking an extension (no change in requirements) for this information collection. We are requesting continued OMB approval for this collection and thus, the full three-year clearance from the OMB.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04-21729 Filed 9-27-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P