the basic classes of controlled substances listed:

The company plans to manufacture small quantities of the listed controlled substances to produce isotope labeled standards for drug analysis.

Any other such applicant and any person who is presently registered with DEA to manufacture such a substance may file comments or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.33(a).

Any such comments or objections may be addressed, in quintuplicate, to the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20537, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative (CCD) and must be filed no later than November 29, 2004.

Dated: September 16, 2004.

William J. Walker,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 04–21947 Filed 9–29–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–09–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 03-27]

Paramabaloth Edwin, M.D.; Revocation of Registration

On April 24, 2003, the Deputy Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause/Immediate Suspension of Registration to Paramabaloth Edwin, M.D. (Dr. Edwin), notifying him of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not revoke his DEA Certificate of Registration, AE7528295, as a practitioner, and deny any pending applications for renewal of registration pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(4), for reason that Dr. Edwin's continued registration would be inconsistent with the public interest. The Order to Show Cause/Immediate Suspension of Registration further advised Dr. Edwin that his DEA Certification of Registration had been suspended, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 824(d), as an imminent danger to public health and safety.

The Order to Show Cause/Immediate Suspension of Registration alleged, in part, that in August 2001, DEA had received information from a cooperating source that Dr. Edwin was selling and prescribing controlled substances for non-therapeutic uses. This information was corroborated by interviews of former patients, pharmacists and acquaintances of Dr. Edwin, as well as through a cooperating individual who purchased controlled substances from Dr. Edwin. It further alleged Dr. Edwin had purchased excessive quantities of controlled substances, stored controlled substances at an unregistered location and that his prescribing practices had hastened the addiction and/or death of patients.

Finally, it was alleged that on April 17, 2003, the Illinois Department of Professional Regulations (IDPR) summarily suspended Dr. Edwin's state medical and controlled substance licenses, thus rendering him without state authority to handle controlled substances.

By letter dated May 21, 2003, Dr. Edwin, through counsel, requested a hearing and on May 29, 2003, Presiding Administrative Law Judge Mary Ellen Bittner (Judge Bittner) ordered the parties to file prehearing statements. On June 13, 2003, in lieu of filing a prehearing statement, the Government filed a Motion for Summary Disposition, asserting Dr. Edwin was without authorization to handle controlled substances in the State of Illinois and as a result, further proceedings in the matter were not required. Attached to the Government's motion was a copy of the IDPR's Order dated April 17, 2003, directing Dr. Edwin to "immediately surrender all indicia of licensure to the Department."

On June 16, 2003, Judge Bittner issued a Memorandum to the Parties affording Dr. Edwin an opportunity to respond to the Government's motion. In his response, Dr. Edwin argued it would violate due process to summarily dispose of the case premised on the IDPR's Order, which, according to Dr. Edwin, was "based on mere allegations, which have not yet been tested." Dr. Edwin further argued there had been no hearings before the IDPR in which he

had been afforded a chance to present evidence or rebut the allegations against him. However, Dr. Edwin did not deny that his state professional licenses had been surrendered.

On July 18, 2003, Judge Bittner issued the Opinion and Recommended Decision of the Administrative Law Judge (Opinion and Recommended Decision). As part of her recommended ruling, Judge Bittner granted the Government's Motion for Summary Disposition, finding Dr. Edwin lacked authorization to handle controlled substances in Illinois, the jurisdiction in which he is registered with DEA.

In granting the Government's motion, Judge Bittner further recommended that Dr. Edwin's DEA registration be revoked and any pending applications for modification or renewal be denied. No exceptions to the Opinion and Recommended Decision were filed.

The Deputy Administrator has considered the record in its entirety and pursuant to 21 CFR 1316.67, hereby issues her final order based upon findings of fact and conclusions of law as hereinafter set forth. The Deputy Administrator adopts, in full, the Opinion and Recommended Decision of the Administrative Law Judge.

The Deputy Administrator finds that Dr. Edwin currently possesses DEA Certificate of Registration AE7528295, and is registered to handle controlled substances in the State of Illinois. The Deputy Administrator further finds that in response to allegations of professional misconduct, on April 17, 2003, the IDPR issued an order directing Dr. Edwin to surrender all professional licenses. There is no evidence before the Deputy Administrator that IDPR's Order has been lifted, staved or modified. Therefore, the Deputy Administrator finds that Dr. Edwin is currently not licensed to practice medicine in Illinois and as a result, it is reasonable to infer he is also without authorization to handle controlled substances in that

DEA does not have statutory authority under the Controlled Substances Act to issue or maintain a registration if the applicant or registrant is without state authority to handle controlled substances in the state in which he conducts business. See 21 U.S.C. 802(21), 823(f) and 824(a)(3). This prerequisite has been consistently upheld. See Stephen J. Graham, M.D., 69 FR 11661 (2004); Dominick A. Ricci, M.D., 58 FR 51104 (1993); Bobby Watts, M.D., 53 FR 11919 (1988). Revocation is also appropriate when a state license has been suspended, but with the possibility of future reinstatement. See Alton E. Ingram, Jr., M.D., 69 FR 22562

(2004); Anne Lazar Thorn, M.D., 62 FR 847 (1997).

Here, it is clear Dr. Edwin is not currently licensed to handle controlled substances in Illinois, where he is registered with DEA. Therefore, he is not entitled to maintain that registration. Because Dr. Edwin is not entitled to a DEA registration in Illinois due to lack of state authorization to handle controlled substances, the Deputy Administrator concludes it is unnecessary to address whether Dr. Edwin's registration should be revoked based upon the remaining public interest grounds asserted in the Order to Show Cause/Immediate Suspension of Registration. See Fereida Walker-Graham, M.D., 68 FR 24761 (2003); Nathaniel-Aikens-Afful, M.D., 69 FR 16871 (1997); Sam F. Moore, D.V.M., 58 FR 14428 (1993).

Accordingly, the Deputy
Administrator of the Drug Enforcement
Administration, pursuant to the
authority vested in her by 21 U.S.C. 823
and 824 and 28 CFR 0.100(b) and 0.104,
hereby orders that DEA Certificate of
Registration, AE7528295, issued to
Paramabaloth Edwin, M.D., be, and it
hereby is, revoked. The Deputy
Administrator further orders that any
pending applications for renewal or
modification of such registration be, and
they hereby are, denied. This order is
effective November 1, 2004.

Dated: September 13, 2004.

Michele M. Leonhart,

Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc. 04–21967 Filed 9–29–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Application

Pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.33(a), this is a notice that on June 10, 2004 Aldrich Chemical Company Inc., DBA Isotec, 3858 Benner Road, Miamisburg, Ohio 45342–4304, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) for registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed:

Drug	Schedule
Cathinone (1235)	 - - -
Aminorex (1585)	1
Gamma hydroxybutyric acid (2010).	
Methaqualone (2565)	l I

Drug	Schedule
Lysergic acid dethylamide (7315)	1
Tetrahydrocannabinols (7370)	1
Mescaline (7381)	I
2,5-Dimethoxyamophetamine	1
(7396).	
3,4-Methylenedioxyamphetamine	1
(7400).	
3,4-Methylenedioxy-N-	1
ethylamphetamine (7404).	
3,4-Methylenedioxy-methamphet-	I
amine (7405).	
4-Methoxyamphetamine (7411)	ļ
Psilocybin (7437)	!
Psilocyn (7438)	!
N-Ethyl-1-phenylcyclohexalamine	I
(7455).	
Dihydromorphine (9145)	
Normorphine (9313)Acetylmethadol (9601)	i i
Alphacetylmethadol Except Levo-	i
Alphacetylmethadol (9603).	'
Normethadone (9635)	ı
3-Methylfentanyl (9813)	i
Amphetamine (1100)	i
Methamphetamine (1105)	ii .
Methylphenidate (1724)	ii
Amobarbital (2125)	II
Pentobarbital (2270)	II
Secobarbital (2315)	II
1-Phenylcyclohexalamine (7460)	II
Phencyclidine (7471)	II
Phenylacetone (8501)	II
1-Piperidinocyclohexane-	II
carbonitrile (8603).	
Codeine (9050)	II
Dihydrocodeine (9120)	II
Oxycodone (9143)	II
Hydromorphone (9150)	II
Benzoylecgonine (9180)	II II
Ethylmorphine (9190) Hydrocodone (9193)	II II
Isomethadone (9226)	II II
Meperidine (9230)	II
Meperidine (9230)	ii
Meperidine intermediate-B (9233)	ii
Methadone (9250)	ii
Methadone intermediate (9254)	ii .
Dextropropoxyphene, bulk, (non-	ii
dosage forms) (9273).	
Levo-alphacetylmethadol (9648)	II
Oxymorphone (9652)	Ï
Fentanyl (9801)	II

The company plans to manufacture small quantities of the listed controlled substances to produce isotope labeled standards for drug analysis.

Any other such applicant and any person who is presently registered with DEA to manufacture such a substance may file comments or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.33(a).

Any such comments or objections may be addressed, in quintuplicate, to the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20537, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative (CCD) and must be filed no later than (60 days from publication).

Dated: September 16, 2004.

William J. Walker,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 04–21944 Filed 9–29–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–09–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Sheldon Kantor, D.P.M.; Revocation of Registration

On March 28, 2003, the then-Acting Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) issued an Order to Show Cause/Immediate Suspension of Registration to Sheldon Kantor, D.P.M. (Dr. Kantor) of Hollywood, Florida. Dr. Kantor was notified of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not revoke his DEA Certificate of Registration, AK4080545, as a practitioner, and deny any pending applications for renewal or modification of such registration pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823(f) and 824(a) for reason that his continued registration would be inconsistent with the public interest. Dr. Kantor was further notified that his DEA registration was immediately suspended as an imminent danger to the public health and safety pursuant to 21 U.S.C.

The Order to Show Cause/Immediate Suspension alleged in relevant part, that during the week of February 24, 2003, and again on March 3, 2003, DEA received information from a registered distributor of controlled substances that Dr. Kantor had ordered and received large quantities of Schedule III and IV controlled substances. In response, DEA investigators presented Dr. Kantor with a Notice of Inspection, however, he refused to consent to the inspection. While speaking with investigators, Dr. Kantor admitted he had not maintained a log of controlled substances dispensed. When an investigator inquired as to the location of previously received controlled substances, Dr. Kantor stated that they were in the trunk of his car. He then refused to disclose the whereabouts of that vehicle. The Order to Show Cause alleged that Dr. Kantor also refused to consent to a subsequent inspection of his registered location.

The Order to Show Cause alleged that Dr. Kantor had been convicted in federal court and sentenced to 21 months imprisonment, commencing March 23, 2003, for his involvement in a scheme