

(b) The adjustments displayed in paragraph (a) of this section apply to acts occurring beginning on November 1, 2004.

[FR Doc. 04-22537 Filed 10-6-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2003-CE-40-AD; Amendment 39-13795; AD 2004-19-01]

RIN 2120-AA64

**Airworthiness Directives; Cessna Aircraft Company 120, 140, 140A, 150, F150, 170, 172, F172, FR172, P172D, 175, 177, 180, 182, 185, A185E, 190, 195, 206, P206, U206, TP206, TU206, 207, T207, 210, T210, 336, 337, and T337 Series Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document makes a correction to Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2004-19-04, which was published in the **Federal Register** on September 17, 2004 (69 FR 55943), and applies to certain Cessna Aircraft Company (Cessna) 120, 140, 140A, 150, F150, 170, 172, F172, FR172, P172D, 175, 177, 180, 182, 185, A185E, 190, 195, 205, 205A, 206, P206, P206E, TP206A, TU206, TU206E, U206, U206E, 207, T207, 210, T210, 336, 337, and T337 series airplanes. We incorrectly referenced the AD number as AD 2004-19-04. The correct AD number is 2004-19-01. This action corrects the regulatory text.

**DATES:** The effective date of this AD remains November 1, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gary D. Park, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office, 1801 Airport Road, Room 100, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas 67209; telephone: (316) 946-4123; facsimile: (316) 946-4107.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Discussion

On September 8, 2004, FAA issued AD 2004-19-04, Amendment 39-13795 (69 FR 55943, September 17, 2004), which applies to certain Cessna 120, 140, 140A, 150, F150, 170, 172, F172, FR172, P172D, 175, 177, 180, 182, 185, A185E, 190, 195, 205, 205A, 206, P206, P206E, TP206A, TU206, TU206E, U206, U206E, 207, T207, 210, T210, 336, 337, and T337 series airplanes. This AD supersedes AD 86-26-04 with a new

AD that requires you to inspect and, if necessary, modify the pilot/co-pilot upper shoulder harness adjusters that have certain Cessna accessory kits incorporated.

#### Need for the Correction

The FAA incorrectly referenced the AD number as 2004-19-04. The correct AD number is AD 2004-19-01. This correction is needed to ensure that the correct AD number is entered in the logbook and to eliminate misunderstanding in the field.

#### Correction of Publication

■ Accordingly, the publication of September 17, 2004 (69 FR 55943), of Amendment 39-13795; AD 2004-19-04, which was the subject of FR Doc. 04-20774, is corrected as follows:

##### § 39.13 [Corrected]

■ On page 55945, in section 39.13 [Amended], replace 2004-19-04 with 2004-19-01.

Action is taken herein to correct this reference in AD 2004-19-04 and to add this AD correction to section 39.13 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 39.13).

The effective date remains November 1, 2004.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on September 23, 2004.

**David R. Showers,**

*Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 04-21814 Filed 10-6-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-NM-235-AD; Amendment 39-12861; AD 2002-16-22]

RIN 2120-AA64

**Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 727 Series Airplanes Modified in Accordance With Supplemental Type Certificate SA1444SO, SA1509SO, SA1543SO, or SA1896SO**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects an error that appeared in airworthiness directive (AD) 2002-16-22 (final rule, correction) that was published in the **Federal Register** on August 16, 2004 (69 FR 50299). The error resulted in an incorrect reference to certain

supplemental type certificates. This AD is applicable to certain Boeing Model 727 series airplanes that have been converted from a passenger- to a cargo-carrying ("freighter") configuration. This AD requires, among other actions, installation of a fail-safe hinge, redesigned main deck cargo door warning and power control systems, and 9g crash barrier.

**DATES:** Effective September 19, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** M. Hassan Amani, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ACE-117A, FAA, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, One Crown Center, 1895 Phoenix Boulevard, suite 450, Atlanta, Georgia 30349; telephone (770) 703-6080; fax (770) 703-6097.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2002-16-22, amendment 39-12861, applicable to certain Boeing Model 727 series airplanes that have been converted from a passenger- to a cargo-carrying ("freighter") configuration, was published in the **Federal Register** on August 15, 2002 (67 FR 53434). That AD requires, among other actions, installation of a fail-safe hinge, redesigned main deck cargo door warning and power control systems, and 9g crash barrier.

On August 16, 2004, we issued a final rule, correction (69 FR 50299, August 16, 2004), to AD 2002-16-22. The final rule, correction corrects an error that resulted in an incorrect reference to a supplemental type certificate. As published, the title of final rule, correction states "Boeing Model 727 Series Airplanes Modified with Supplemental Type Certificate SA1767SO or SA1768SO." However, the correct applicable supplemental type certificates (STC) are SA1444SO, SA1509SO, SA1543SO, or SA1896SO, as specified in AD 2002-16-22.

Since no other part of the regulatory information has been changed, the final rule is not being republished in the **Federal Register**.

The effective date of this AD remains September 19, 2002.

##### § 39.13 [Corrected]

■ On page 50299, in the second column, the title of AD 2002-16-22 is corrected to read as follows:

\* \* \* \* \*

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 727 Series Airplanes Modified in Accordance With Supplemental Type Certificate SA1444SO, SA1509SO, SA1543SO, or SA1896SO.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Renton, Washington, on September 20, 2004.

**Kalene C. Yanamura,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 04-21815 Filed 10-6-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Customs and Border Protection

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### 19 CFR Part 191

[CBP Dec. 04—33]

RIN 1505-AB44

### Merchandise Processing Fees Eligible To Be Claimed as Certain Types of Drawback Based on Substitution of Finished Petroleum Derivatives

**AGENCY:** Customs and Border Protection, Homeland Security; Treasury.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document amends the Customs and Border Protection (CBP) Regulations to provide that merchandise processing fees are eligible to be claimed, in limited circumstances, as drawback based on substitution of finished petroleum derivatives. The changes implemented by this document are consistent with a court decision in which merchandise processing fees were found to be eligible to be claimed as unused merchandise drawback. As drawback based on substitution of finished petroleum derivatives is, in limited circumstances, treated in the same manner as unused merchandise drawback, this amendment reflects that merchandise processing fees are also eligible to be claimed as drawback in these circumstances.

**DATES:** Effective November 8, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William G. Rosoff, Chief, Duty and Refund Determinations Branch, Office of Regulations and Rulings, U.S. Customs Service, Tel. (202) 572-8807.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

##### *Merchandise Processing Fees*

Merchandise processing fees are fees the Secretary of the Treasury charges and collects for the processing of merchandise that is formally entered or released into the United States. *See* 19 U.S.C. 58c(a)(9)(A). Merchandise processing fees are assessed as a percentage of the value of the imported

merchandise, as determined under 19 U.S.C. 1401a.

##### *Merchandise Processing Fees Eligible To Be Claimed as Drawback*

Section 313 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (19 U.S.C. 1313), concerns drawback and refunds. Drawback is a refund of certain duties, taxes and fees paid by the importer of record and granted to a drawback claimant under specific conditions.

In *Texport Oil v. United States*, 185 F.3d 1291 (Fed. Cir. 1999), the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) held that merchandise processing fees were assessed under Federal law and imposed by reason of importation and therefore eligible to be claimed as unused merchandise drawback pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1313(j).

Subsection (p) of 19 U.S.C. 1313 authorizes drawback that is based on “substitution of finished petroleum derivatives.” Subsection (p)(4)(B) of 19 U.S.C. 1313, in pertinent part, limits the amount of drawback payable under this subsection to the amount of drawback that would be attributable to the article “if imported under [subsection 1313(p)(2)(A)(iii) or (iv)] *had the claim qualified for drawback under subsection (j).*” [emphasis added]

Subsection 1313(p)(2)(A)(iii) requires that the exporter of the exported article imported the qualified article in a quantity equal to or greater than the quantity of the exported article. Subsection 1313(p)(2)(A)(iv) requires that the exporter of the exported article purchased or exchanged, directly or indirectly, an imported qualified article from an importer in a quantity equal to or greater than the quantity of the exported article.

The language “had the claim qualified for drawback under subsection (j)” reflects that drawback is payable under 1313(p)(2)(A)(iii) or (iv) pursuant to the same formula set forth in subsection 1313(j), *i.e.*, the amount of drawback payable under 19 U.S.C. 1313(j) is not to exceed 99 percent of any duty, tax, or fee imposed under Federal law because of the imported article’s importation. The term “drawback payable” under 19 U.S.C. 1313(p)(2)(A)(iii) and (iv) includes the merchandise processing fee.

Consistent with the determination of the CAFC that merchandise processing fees are eligible to be claimed as drawback pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1313(j), such fees are also eligible to be claimed as drawback when drawback is based on substitution of finished petroleum derivatives pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1313(p)(2)(A)(iii) or (iv).

##### *Amendment to CBP Regulations To Reflect the Texport Oil Decision*

The *Texport Oil* decision is reflected in the CBP Regulations at §§ 191.3 and 191.51. *See* 67 FR 48547 (July 25, 2002), in which a final rule was published amending the CBP Regulations to reflect that merchandise processing fees are eligible to be claimed as unused merchandise drawback pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1313(j).

On October 2, 2003, CBP published in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 56804) a proposal to amend §§ 191.3, 191.51 and 191.171 to reflect that the *Texport Oil* decision is applicable, in limited circumstances, to drawback based on substitution of finished petroleum derivatives.

Comments were solicited on the proposal.

#### Discussion of Comment

One comment was received in response to the solicitation of public comment in 68 FR 56804. The commenter supported CBP’s proposal to reflect the *Texport Oil* court decision in part 191 of the CBP Regulations as regards drawback based on substitution of finished petroleum derivatives. The commenter noted that the proposed amendments contribute to the goal of offsetting the cost of raw materials.

#### Conclusion

After review of the one comment received, and upon consideration, CBP has decided to adopt as final the proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 56804) on October 2, 2003.

#### The Regulatory Flexibility Act and Executive Order 12866

Because these regulations serve to conform the CBP Regulations to reflect the full scope of a recent decision by the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit whereby, in limited circumstances, merchandise processing fees are eligible to be claimed as drawback, it is certified pursuant to the provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.* that this amendment will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Further, this amendment does not meet the criteria for a “significant regulatory action” as specified in Executive Order 12866.

#### Signing Authority

This document is being issued in accordance with 19 CFR 0.1(a)(1).

#### Drafting Information

The principal author of this document was Ms. Suzanne Kingsbury,