#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP05-5-000]

### Questar Pipeline Company; Notice of Application

October 20, 2004.

Take notice that on October 12, 2004, Questar Pipeline Company (Questar), 180 East 100 South, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111, filed an application with the Commission in Docket No. CP05-5-000 under Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), as amended, seeking authority to construct, install, modify, and operate certain natural gas pipeline facilities which would expand Questar's interstate natural gas transmission system in Carbon and Duchesne Counties, Utah, and Rio Blanco County, Colorado, all as more fully stated in the application which is open to public inspection. The application is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. The filing may also be viewed on the Web at http:// www.ferc.gov using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, call (202) 502-8222 or TYY. (202) 502-8659.

Questar proposes to: (1) Construct, install, and operate approximately 18.7 miles of 24-inch diameter pipeline as an extension of its existing Mainline 104 (ML 104) in Carbon County, Utah; (2) install and operate a new 6,200 horsepower (HP) compressor station, to be known as the Thistle Creek Compressor Station in Utah County, Utah; (3) install and operate a new 9,400 HP compressor station, to be known as the Blind Canyon Compressor Station, in Duchesne County, Utah; and (4) modify the existing Oak Spring Compressor Station in Carbon County, Utah, and the Greasewood Compressor Station in Rio Blanco County, Colorado, to increase the maximum allowable operating pressure on a 23.5-mile segment of Mainline 40 downstream of the proposed Blind Canyon Compressor Station.

Questar states that its proposed Southern System Expansion Project (SSXP) would enable it to transport an additional 102,000 dekatherm equivalent of natural gas per day from various receipt points on its interstate transmission system to a single delivery point at the existing ML 104/Kern River Gas Transmission Company interconnection in Goshen, Utah. Questar further states that it estimates the total construction cost of the proposed facilities is \$54,600,000 and that the three contracting shippers have agreed to pay the SSXP project-specific reservation charge of \$7.82712 per dekatherm per month for 100 percent of the incremental transportation capacity resulting from the proposed expansion.

Any questions regarding the application should be directed to Lenard G. Wright, Director, Federal Regulation, Questar Pipeline Company, 180 East 100 South, P.O. Box 45360, Salt Lake City, Utah 84145–0360 or at (801) 324–2459, (801) 324–5485 (fax), or lenard.wright@questar.com.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date stated below, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area,

and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a) (1) (iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Comment Date: November 10, 2004.

### Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4–2866 Filed 10–26–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER02-188-002, et al.]

## Geyers Power Company, LLC, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

October 20, 2004.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

#### 1. Geyers Power Company, LLC

[Docket Nos. ER02–188–002, ER02–236–003, ER02–407–003]

Take notice that on October 15, 2004, Geyers Power Company, LLC (Geyers Power) submitted an amendment to its September 7, 2004 refund report in Docket Nos. ER02–188–001, ER02–236–002 and ER02–407–002 in compliance with the Commission Settlement Order issued February 27, 2003.

Geyers Power states that a copy of this filing has been mailed to all the parties.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on November 5, 2004.

## 2. KeySpan Generation LLC

[Docket No. ER04-112-003]

Take notice that, on October 18, 2004, KeySpan Generation LLC (KeySpan) submitted a compliance filing pursuant to the Commission's order issued October 1, 2004 in Docket No. ER04–112–000, 109 FERC ¶61,011.

KeySpan Generation LLC states that copies of the filing were served on parties on the official service list in the above-captioned proceeding.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on November 8, 2004.

# 3. Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.

[Docket Nos. ER04–691–009, EL04–104–008, ER04–106–002 ]

Take notice that on October 18, 2004, the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. (Midwest ISO) submitted a compliance filing pursuant to the Commission's order issued September 16, 2004, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., 108 FERC ¶61,236 (2004). Midwest ISO requests a waiver of the service requirements set forth in 18 CFR 385.2010.

Midwest ISO has electronically served a copy of this filing, with its attachments, upon all Midwest ISO Members, Member representatives of Transmission Owners and Non-Transmission Owners, the Midwest ISO Advisory Committee participants, Policy Subcommittee participants, as well as all state commissions within the region. In addition, Midwest ISO states that the filing has been electronically posted on the Midwest ISO's Web site at http://www.midwestiso.org under the heading "Filings to FERC" for other interested parties in this matter. Midwest ISO futher states that it will provide hard copies to any interested party upon request.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on November 8, 2004.

#### 4. ISO New England Inc.

[Docket No. ER04-749-001]

Take notice that on October 15, 2004, ISO New England Inc. (ISO) submitted changes to its Capital Funding Tariff in compliance with the May 27, 2004 order issued in Docket No. ER04–749–000.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on November 5, 2004.

## 5. Florida Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER04-1034-001]

Take notice that on October 15, 2004, Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) submitted a compliance filing pursuant to the Commission's September 16, 2004 Order in Docket No. ER04–1034–000 on FPL's Order No. 2003–A compliant standard large generator interconnection procedures and agreement.

FPL states that it has served a copy of this compliance filing on all parties in this proceeding.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on November 5, 2004.

## 6. Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation

[Docket No. ER05-47-000]

Take notice that on October 15, 2004, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, a National Grid company (Niagara Mohawk), submitted for filing an Interconnection Service Agreement between Niagara Mohawk and Cedars Rapids Transmission Company Limited. Niagara Mohawk requests an effective date of September 17, 2004.

Niagara Mohawk states that a copy of this filing will be served upon CRT, as well as the New York Independent System Operator, Inc., and the New York Public Service Commission.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on November 5, 2004.

## 7. Ameren Services Company

[Docket No. ER05-49-000]

Take notice that on October 15, 2004, Ameren Services Company (Ameren), on behalf of Union Electric Company dba AmerenUE and Central Illinois Public Service Company dba AmerenCIPS, submitted a revised Schedule 4A, Illinois Retail Energy Imbalance Service, to the Open Access Transmission Tariff of the Ameren Operating Companies. Ameren Operating Companies' FERC Electric Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1. Ameren states that it proposes to eliminate the Schedule 4A capacity charge and to reduce the Schedule 4A energy charge.

Ameren states that it has served a copy of this filing on all current customers under Schedule 4A and on the Illinois Commerce Commission and the Missouri Public Service Commission. Ameren states that it has also posted a copy of the filing on the Midwest ISO's Web site at http://www.midwestiso.org under the heading Filings to FERC and has made a copy available for public inspection in its main offices in St. Louis, Missouri.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on November 5, 2004.

#### 8. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER05-50-000]

Take notice that on October 15, 2004, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM), submitted for filing an executed interconnection service agreement among PJM, PSEG Nuclear LLC, and Exelon Generation Company, LLC, and Public Service Electric and Gas Company, Atlantic City Electric Company, Delmarva Power & Light Company, and PECO Energy Company, and a notice of cancellation of an interconnection service agreement that has been superseded. PJM requests an effective date of September 16, 2004.

PJM states that copies of this filing were served upon the parties to the agreement and the state regulatory commissions within the PJM region.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on November 5, 2004.

#### 9. Quiet Light Trading, LLC

[Docket No. ER05-51-000]

Take notice that on October 15, 2004, Quiet Light Trading, LLC (QLT) petitioned the Commission for acceptance of QLT Rate Schedule FERC No. 1; the granting of certain blanket approvals, including the authority to sell electricity at market-based rates; and the waiver of certain Commission regulations.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on November 5, 2004.

#### 10. New England Power Pool

[Docket No. ER05-52-000]

Take notice that on October 15, the New England Power Pool (NEPOOL) Participants Committee and ISO New England, Inc. jointly filed the Hydro-Quebec Interconnection Capability Credit values established by NEPOOL for NEPOOL's 2005/2006 Power Year, which begins on June 1, 2005. NEPOOL requests an effective date of June 1, 2005.

NEPOOL states that copies of these materials were sent to the NEPOOL Participants and the New England state governors and regulatory commissions.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on November 5, 2004.

# 11. Montana-Dakota Utilities Co., a Division of MDU Resources Group, Inc.

[Docket Nos. ER05-53-000, ER98-4289-003]

Take notice that on October 18, 2004, Montana-Dakota Utilities Co., a Division of MDU Resources Group, Inc. (Montana-Dakota) tendered for filing with the Commission an updated market analysis pursuant to the Commission's Order issued on October 16, 1998 authorizing market based rate authority and revisions to market-based tariff.

Montana-Dakota states that copies of the filing have been provided to the Montana Consumer Counsel, Montana Public Service Commission, North Dakota Public Service Commission, South Dakota Public Utilities Commission, and Wyoming Public Service Commission.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on November 8, 2004.

#### 12. Western Systems Power Pool, Inc.

[Docket No. ER05-54-000]

Take notice that on October 18, 2004, the Western Systems Power Pool, Inc. (WSPP) submitted a request to amend the WSPP Agreement to make clerical revisions to its membership list and to reflect the recent membership of Calpine Energy Management, L.P. (Calpine). WSPP requests an effective date of October 18, 2004.

WSPP states that copies of this filing will be electronically served upon WSPP members who have supplied email addresses for the Contract Committee and Contacts lists. WSPP also states that a copy of this filing will also be served upon Calpine. WSPP further states that this filing also has been posted on the WSPP homepage (http://www.wspp.org) thereby providing notice to all WSPP members.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on November 8, 2004.

## Standard Paragraph

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant and all parties to this proceeding.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at http://www.ferc.gov. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at <a href="http://www.ferc.gov">http://www.ferc.gov</a>, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email <a href="ferc.gov">FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov</a>, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

### Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4–2872 Filed 10–26–04; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL02-77-001]

# Puget Sound Energy, Inc.; Notice of Technical Conference

October 20, 2004.

Parties are invited to attend a technical conference in the above-referenced Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (Puget) proceeding on Tuesday November 9, 2004 at 10 a.m. (EST) in a room to be designated at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The purpose of the conference is to identify the issues raised in this proceeding, develop information for use by Commission staff in preparing an order on Puget's October 10, 2003 request for rehearing of the Commission's September 11, 2003 Order, and to facilitate any possible settlements in this proceeding. Specifically, the parties will discuss, among other things, Puget's request for clarification that the Commission's September 11, 2003 Order authorizes the following: (1) Puget will provide wholesale transmission service over the facilities described as transmission

facilities in its petition for declaratory order (Petition) under Puget's Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT), at the rates approved in the Commission's February 15, 2002 order;<sup>2</sup> (2) Puget will provide "wholesale distribution" service over the facilities described as "distribution" in its Petition (primarily facilities 34.5 kV to 115 kV) at the rates and terms of service approved in the February 15 Order; (3) Puget will provide unbundled retail transmission service over the facilities described as transmission facilities in Puget's Petition to customers participating in its state-approved retail access program, at OATT rates, in accordance with the service agreements accepted by the Commission in Docket No. ER01–2149;3 (4) Puget will provide "unbundled retail distribution service" over the facilities described as "distribution" in Puget's Petition (primarily facilities 34.5 kV to 115 kV) to customers participating in its state-approved retail access program at the rates and terms of service approved by the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (WUTC); and (5) Puget will continue to account for its transmission and "local distribution" facilities as proposed in Docket ER02-605; that filing was accepted in part in the February 10 Order.

Questions about the conference should be directed to: Sarah H. McWane, Office of the General Counsel—Markets, Tariffs and Rates, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502–8372, Sarah.McWane@ferc.gov.

#### Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4–2860 Filed 10–26–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7830-5]

Request for Applications for the National Environmental Education Advisory Council; Due Date: January 31, 2005

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Section 9(a) and (b) of the National Environmental Education Act

 $<sup>^1</sup>Puget\ Sound\ Energy,\ Inc.,\ 104\ FERC\ \P61,272$  (2003) (September 11 Order).

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Puget Sound Energy, Inc., 98 FERC  $\P$  61,168 at 61,622 (2002) (February 15 Order), reh'g denied, 99 FERC  $\P$  61,134 (2002).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Those service agreements were accepted for filing by Commission letter order on July 11, 2001.