

consistent with a settlement filed on October 27, 2004, between Avista Corporation (Avista), Paiute, Public Service Resources Corporation (PSRC), Sierra Pacific Power Company (Sierra), Southwest Gas Corporation (Southwest), Tuscarora Gas Transmission Company (Tuscarora), and Uzal, LLC (Uzal). Paiute additionally requests authorization to render new, long-term LNG storage services under its existing Rate Schedule LGS-1. Take further notice that on October 28, 2004, Paiute filed in Docket No. CP04-343-002 an amendment to revise the proposed levels for each of the prospective storage service customers from what was proposed in Docket No. CP04-343-001, all as more fully set forth in the applications which are on file with the

Commission and open to public inspection. The filings may also be viewed on the Web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, call (202) 502-3676 or TTY (202) 502-8659.

In addition to the authorizations sought by Paiute in the subject amendments, the named parties to the settlement request Commission approval of the settlement. Paiute states the settlement resolves five pending Commission proceedings and two court cases. Among the settlements numerous other aspects Paiute requests approval for several key provisions including a determination that acquisition cost of

\$21,970,000 is a prudent expenditure, approval of an allocation of \$12,970,000 to its storage function and \$9,000,000 to its transmission function and approval of rolling into Paiute's rates the transmission costs. Paiute notes that Tuscarora and Uzal have filed to withdraw their respective applications in Docket Nos. CP04-344-000, CP04-388-000, CP04-389-000 and CP04-390-000, but indicates that such withdrawals are specifically conditioned on Commission approval of the settlement.

The second amendment, Docket No. CP04-343-002, amends the proposal in Docket No. CP04-343-001 to reflect the newly contracted service agreements as follows:

Customer	Storage capacity	Daily delivery capacity	Effective date of service
Avista	86,267 Dth	6,535 Dth	05/01/2005
Sierra	303,604 Dth	23,000 Dth	04/01/2005
Southwest—N. California	64,219 Dth	4,865 Dth	03/01/2005
Southwest—N. Nevada	495,782 Dth	37,559 Dth	03/01/2005

Any questions regarding this amendment should be directed to Edward C. McMurtrie, Paiute Pipeline Company, PO Box 94197, Las Vegas, Nevada 89193, at (702) 876-7178.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project, or in support of or in opposition to this project, should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the

Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the applicant. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests, and interventions via the internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site (<http://www.ferc.gov>) under the "e-Filing" link.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on November 12, 2004.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4-3208 Filed 11-16-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP04-64-001]

Trunkline Gas Company, LLC; Notice of Filing

November 10, 2004.

Take notice that on November 5, 2004, Trunkline Gas Company, LLC (Trunkline Gas), PO Box 4967, Houston, Texas 77210-4967, pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, as amended, and subpart A of part 157 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, filed an application to amend its Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity which was issued on September 17, 2004, in the above captioned docket.¹ Trunkline Gas requests that the Commission amend the certificate to increase the proposed LNG Loop Project from a 30-inch to a 36-inch diameter pipeline and certain modifications to the proposed interconnection facilities. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact

¹ Trunkline Gas Company, LLC, *et al.*, 10 FERC ¶ 61,251 (2004).

FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659.

On September 17, 2004, Trunkline Gas and its customer, BG LNG, entered into a Supplement and Amendment to the January 28, 2004, Agreement for Construction of Facilities. The amended agreement provides BG LNG with additional operational reliability and flexibility in Trunkline Gas Field Zone to accommodate BG LNG's presently contracted, as well as potentially expanded levels of regasified LNG volumes. Under the amended agreement, Trunkline Gas and BG LNG have agreed in principle to certain modifications to their existing arrangements. These modifications include (a) changing the proposed pipeline loop from a 30-inch to a 36-inch diameter pipeline, and (b) modifying the capacity and delivery pressure at some of the proposed delivery points. The LNG Loop Project modifications will not change the proposed construction footprint or construction procedures. Trunkline Gas does not propose to change the Amended LNG Loop Project's authorized take away capacity from the Trunkline LNG Company, LLC's terminal. The LNG import terminal is currently authorized to provide a regasified LNG sendout volume of 2.1 Bcf/d on a peak day basis, and 1.8 Bcf/d on a sustained basis.

Any questions regarding the application are to be directed to William W. Grygar, Vice President of Rates and Regulatory Affairs, Trunkline Gas Company, LLC, PO Box 4967, Houston, Texas 77210.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the below listed comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the

proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

Motions to intervene, protests and comments may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18

CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Comment Date: December 1, 2004.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4-3253 Filed 11-18-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER95-1528-009, et al.]

Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

November 12, 2004.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

1. Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, WPS Power Development, Inc., and WPS Energy Services, Inc., Mid-American Power, LLC, Sunbury Generation, LLC, WPS Canada Generation, Inc. and WPS New England Generation, Inc., WPS Westwood Generation, LLC, Advantage Energy Inc.

[Docket Nos. ER95-1528-0090, ER96-1088-034, ER96-1858-014, ER99-3420-003, ER99-1936-002, ER01-1114-002, ER97-2758-009]

Take notice that on November 5, 2004, WPS Resources Corporation (WPSR) on behalf of the following subsidiaries: Wisconsin Public Service Corporation; WPS Energy Services, Inc.; WPS Power Development, Inc.; Mid-American Power, LLC; Sunbury Generation, LLC; WPS Canada Generation, Inc.; WPS New England Generation, Inc.; WPS Westwood Generation, LLC, and Advantage Energy, Inc., tendered for filing tariff sheets that modify their market-based rate tariffs to add the Market Behavior Rules as adopted by the Commission. WPSR states that on September 27, 2004, it submitted a request for three-year renewal of the market-based rate authority for each of the subsidiaries. WPSR requests an effective date of December 17, 2003.

WPSR states that a copy of the filing was served on all parties listed on the Commission's official service lists in the referenced proceedings and the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin.