## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and To Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport, Atlanta, GA

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to use the revenue from a PFC at Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990) (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158). DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 20, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Atlanta Airports District Office, 1701 Columbia Ave., Suite 2–260, College Park, Georgia 30337–2747.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Arthur L. Bacon, Director of Finance of the City of Atlanta, Department of Aviation at the following address: City of Atlanta, Department of Aviation, PO Box 20509, Atlanta, Georgia 30320–2509.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the City of Atlanta, Department of Aviation under section 158.23 of part 158.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Philip R. Cannon, Program Manager, Atlanta Airports District Office, 1701 Columbia Ave., Suite 2–260, College Park, Georgia, 30337–2747, Telephone Number 404–305–7152. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to use the review from a PFC at Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On November 9, 2004, the FAA determined that the application to use the revenue from a PFC submitted by

The City of Atlanta was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than March 1, 2005.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

PFC Application No.: 05–07–U–00–

Level of the Proposed PFC: \$4.50. Proposed Charge Effective Date: August 2018.

Proposed Charge Expiration Date: January 2019.

Total Estimated Net PFC Revenue: \$30,721,000.

Brief Description of Proposed Project(s):

## Runway 8R End Around Taxiway (Use)

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs and was previously approved as part of the impose and use PFC application 02–03–C–00–ATL: Air Taxi/Commercial Operators (ATCO) when enplaning revenue passengers in limited, irregular, special service air taxi/commercial operations such as air ambulance services, student instruction, non-stop sightseeing flights that begin and end at the airport and are concluded within a 25 mile radius of the airport.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.** 

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the City of Atlanta's Department of Aviation.

Issued in College Park, Georgia on November 9, 2004.

#### Kelvin L. Solco,

Acting Manager, Atlanta Airports District Office Southern Region.

[FR Doc. 04–25702 Filed 11–18–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Highway Administration**

## Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement: Clackamas County, OR

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that a supplement to a draft environmental impact statement will be prepared for a proposed project in Clackamas County, Oregon.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michelle Eraut, Environmental Specialist, Federal Highway Administration, 530 Center Street, NE., Suite 100, Salem, Oregon 97301, Telephone (503) 587–4716.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the Oregon Department of Transportation and the Clackamas County Department of Transportation and Development, will prepare a supplement to the draft environmental impact statement (EIS) on a proposed transportation improvement project to the ORE 212 Corridor between I–205 and the junction of ORE 212 and ORE 224 at Rock Creek (4 miles). The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has declined to serve as a cooperating agency on this supplemental draft EIS. The proposed transportation improvement will improve capacity and safety within the ORE 212 Corridor are based on needs identified in the Regional Transportation Plan.

The original Draft Sunrise Corridor EIS was approved in 1993 and covered the corridor between I-205 and US 26. Due to lack of funding and uncertainty on the planned urbanization of rural lands in the corridor, a final EIS was not prepared which would have documented the selection of a new limited access expressway as the regionally preferred alternative for the corridor. A recent reevaluation of the draft EIS concluded that the section from I-205 to Rock Creek Junction has an existing transportation need, has independent utility, and does not preclude any alternatives proposed for the section from Rock Creek Junction to US 26. The reevaluation also concludes that the project planning and regulatory context has changed sufficiently to warrant the preparation of a supplemental draft EIS. A Major Investment Study conducted in 1997 supported the build alternative concept of a new limited-access expressway on a new alignment between I-205 and the Rock Creek Junction. Public involvement and agency coordination activities will be used to confirm that the no-build and design variations of an expressway are an appropriate range of alternatives for consideration in the supplemental draft EIS. The adopted regional transportation plan has demonstrated that the capacity problems are not appropriately solved with transportation systems management or transit-only solutions.

Letters describing the proposed action and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate Federal, State and local agencies, and to provide organizations and citizens who have previously expressed or are known to have an interest in this project. A series of public meetings will be held in the fall and winter of 2004/2005 and spring 2005. In addition, a public hearing will be conducted following the issuance of the supplemental draft EIS in the fall of 2005. Public notice will be given of the time and place of the meetings and hearing. The draft of the supplemental EIS will be available for public and agency review and comment prior to the public hearing. No formal scoping meeting is planned at this time.

To ensure the full range of issues related to this proposed action are addressed and all significant issues identified, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments or questions concerning this proposed action should be directed to the FHWA at the address provided above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program)

Issued on: November 15, 2004.

#### Elton Chang,

Environmental Programs Coordinator, Oregon Division.

[FR Doc. 04–25673 Filed 11–18–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–22–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[U.S. DOT Docket Number NHTSA-2004-19627]

# Reports, Forms, and Recordkeeping Requirements

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation.

**ACTION:** Request for public comment on proposed collection of information.

SUMMARY: Before a Federal agency can collect certain information from the public, it must receive approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Under procedures established by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, before seeking OMB approval, Federal agencies must solicit public comment on proposed collections of information, including extensions and reinstatement of previously approved collections.

This document describes one collection of information for which NHTSA intends to seek OMB approval. **DATES:** Comments must be received on or before January 18, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Comments must refer to the docket notice numbers cited at the beginning of this notice and be submitted to Docket Management, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Please identify the proposed collection of information for which a comment is provided, by referencing its OMB clearance Number. It is requested, but not required, that 2 copies of the comment be provided. The Docket Section is open on weekdays from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Complete copies of each request for collection of information may be obtained at no charge from Anetris Campbell, NHTSA 400 Seventh Street, SW., Room 5401–NVS–100, Washington, DC 20590. Anetris Campbell's telephone number is (202) 366–0933. Please identify the relevant collection of information by referring to its OMB Control Number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, before an agency submits a proposed collection of information to OMB for approval, it must first publish a document in the Federal Register providing a 60-day comment period and otherwise consult with members of the public and affected agencies concerning each proposed collection of information. The OMB has promulgated regulations describing what must be included in such a document. Under OMB's regulation (at 5 CFR 1320.8(d)), an agency must ask for public comment on the following:

(i) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used:

(iii) How to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected;

(iv) How to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses.

In compliance with these requirements, NHTSA asks for public

comments on the following proposed collections of information:

*Title:* 49 CFR 552, Petitions for Rulemaking, Defects, and Noncompliance Orders.

OMB Control Number: 2127-0046.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit.

Form Number: This collection of information uses no standard forms.

Abstract: 49 U.S.C. section 30162 specifies that any "interested person may file a petition with the Secretary of Transportation requesting the Secretary to begin a proceeding" to prescribe a motor vehicle safety standard under 49 U.S.C. chapter 301, or to decide whether to issue an order under 49 U.S.C. section 30118(b). 49 U.S.C. 30111 gives the Secretary authority to prescribe motor vehicle safety standards. 49 U.S.C. section 30118(b) gives the Secretary authority to issue an order to a manufacturer to notify vehicle or equipment owners, purchasers, and dealers of the defect or noncompliance and to remedy the defect or noncompliance.

Section 30162 further specifies that all petitions filed under its authority shall set forth the facts, which it is claimed establish. that an order is necessary and briefly describe the order the Secretary should issue.

Estimated Annual Burden: 20. Number of Respondents: 20.

Comments Are Invited on: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Issued on: November 12, 2004.

## Stephen R. Kratzke,

Associate Administrator for Rulemaking. [FR Doc. 04–25653 Filed 11–18–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–59–P