and interoperability for such technology; (e) to develop and/or promulgate uniform standards for such technology; and (f) to conduct cooperative research, perform tests and prepare and disseminate informational materials relating to such technology.

Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 04–25835 Filed 11–19–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Southwest Research Institute: The Consortium for NASGRO Development and Support

Notice is hereby given that, on October 18, 2004, pursuant to section 6(a) of the nat8ional Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Southwest Research Institute: The Consortium for NASGRO Development and Support has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in the membership and project status of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, the period of performance has been extended to May 31, 2007. Additionally, Honeywell International, Inc., Phoenix, AZ, has been added as a party to this venture; and Agusta s.p.a., Costina Costa de Samarate, Italy; Korea Aerospace Industries, Ltd., Kyungnam, Korea; and Mitsubishi Heavy Industry, Ltd., Nagoya, Japan are no longer active participants.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Southwest Research Institute: The Consortium for NASGRO Development and Support intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On October 3, 2001, Southwest Research Institute: the Consortium for NASGRO Development and Support filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on January 22, 2002 (67 FR 2910).

The last notification was filed with the Department on August 7, 2003. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on September 8, 2003 (68 FR 52959).

Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 04–25861 Filed 11–19–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Steel Joist Institute

Notice is hereby given that, on September 17, 2004, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Steel Joist Institute ("SJI") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the name and principal place of business of the standards development organization and (2) the nature and scope of its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the name and principal place of business of the standards development organization is: Steel Joist Institute, Myrtle Beach, SC. The nature and scope of SJI's standards development activities are: Continually develop standard specifications for the steel joist industry through the American National Standards Institute through consensus balloting.

Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 04-25864 Filed 11-19-04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Steel Tank Institute

Notice is hereby given that, on September 16, 2004, pursuant to section

6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Steel Tank Institute ("STI") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the name and principal place of business of the standards development organization and (2) the nature and scope of its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the name and principal place of business of the standards development organization is: Steel Tank Institute, Lake Zurich, IL. The nature and scope of STI's standards development activities are: To develop, promulgate and publish voluntary consensus standards, recommended practices and installation guidelines for the manufacture, installation, testing and inspection of underground and aboveground shop-fabricated steel storage tanks. STI standards ensure that underground and aboveground shopfabricated steel storage tanks meet standards for safety and reliability so that users and other members of the public are assured that such tanks are properly manufactured, installed, tested and inspected. STI's voluntary consensus standards are developed by STI members and other interested parties who wish to participate in the process.

Additional information concerning STI can be obtained from Wayne Geyer, Executive Director, STI, at (847) 438–8265 (ext. 234).

Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 04–25847 Filed 11–19–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Unified Abrasive Manufacturers Association

Notice is hereby given that, on September 17, 2004, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Unified Abrasive Manufacturers Association ("UAMA") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the name and principal place of business of the standards development organization and (2) the nature and scope of its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the name and principal place of business of the standards development organization is: Unified Abrasive Manufacturers Association, Cleveland, OH. The nature and scope of UAMA's standards development activities are: UAMA acts as secretariat for two ANSI accredited standards committees which develop (1) specifications for safety in the use of bonded, coated and loose abrasives, excluding natural sandstones, including safety requirements for abrasive products, abrasive machines and accessories, and requirements for the proper storage, handling and mounting of abrasive products; and (2) identification and dimensional standards and standard test methods for bonded, coated and loose abrasive in the natural and manufactured categories.

Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 04–25859 Filed 11–19–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Video Electronics Standards Association

Notice is hereby given that, on September 20, 2004, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Video **Electronics Standards Association** ("VESA") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the name and principal place of business of the standards development organization and (2) the nature and scope of its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the name and principal place of business of the standards development organization is: Video Electronics Standards Association, Milpitas, CA. The nature and scope of VESA's standards development activities are: To facilitate and promote personal computer graphics through improved graphics standards for the benefit of the end user; to support and set industry-wide interface standards for the personal computer, workstation and computing environments; and to promote and develop timely, relevant, open standards for the display and display interface industry, ensuring interoperability and encouraging innovation and market growth.

Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 04–25843 Filed 11–19–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Window & Door Manufacturers Association

Notice is hereby given that, on September 21, 2004, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993. 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Window & Door Manufacturers Association ("WDMA") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the name and principle place of business of the standards development organization and (2) the nature and scope of its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provision limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the name and principal place of business of the standards development organization is: Window & Door Manufacturers
Association, Des Plaines, IL. The nature and scope of WDMA's standards development activities are: WDMA develops voluntary consensus industry standards pertaining to the design and manufacture of products, and the components of the products, of the window, skylight and door industry. WDMA is currently recognized by the American National Standards Institute

(ANSI) as an Accredited Standards Developing Organization (SDO).

Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 04-25870 Filed 11-19-04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket Nos. 01-12; 01-13]

Indace, Inc., c/o Seegott, Inc.; Malladi, Inc.; Suspension of Shipments

On January 25, 2001, the then-Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) issued an Order to Suspend Shipment to Indace, Inc., c/o Seegot, Inc. (Indace) of Elgin, Illinois, notifying it that pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 971, DEA had ordered the suspension of a shipment of 3,000 kilograms of ephedrine hydrochloride, a listed chemical, from India into the United States. Indace indicated in its request for importation that the listed chemical was intended for further shipment to PDK Laboratories, Inc. (PDK) of Hauppage, New York. The Order to Suspend Shipment stated that DEA concluded that the listed chemical may be diverted to the clandestine manufacture of a controlled substance based upon the appearance of products manufactured from prior imports of ephedrine and pseudoephedrine destined for PDK at illicit criminal sites, including methamphetamine clandestine laboratories and dumpsites throughout the United States.

On January 26, 2001, the then-Administrator of DEA issued an Order to Suspend Shipment to Malladi, Inc. (Malladi) of Edison, New Jersey. notifying it that pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 971, DEA had ordered the suspension of a shipment of 3,000 kilograms of ephedrine hydrochloride, a listed chemical, from India into the United States. Malladi also had indicated in its request for importation that the listed chemical was intended for further shipment of PDK and the Order to Suspend Shipment similarly stated that DEA had concluded the listed chemical may be diverted to the clandestine manufacture of a controlled substance, based upon the appearance of products manufactured from prior imports of ephedrine and pseudoephedrine destined for PDK at illicit criminal sites, including methamphetamine clandestine laboratories and dumpsites throughout the United States.