

consideration. Comments should be supplied to the DFO at the address/contact information noted above in the following formats: one hard copy with original signature, and one electronic copy via e-mail (acceptable file format: Adobe Acrobat, WordPerfect, Word, or Rich Text files (in IBM-PC/Windows 98/2000/XP format)).

Dated: March 4, 2005.

**Vanessa T. Vu,**  
Director, EPA Science Advisory Board Staff Office.

[FR Doc. 05-5322 Filed 3-16-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7885-3]

### Science Advisory Board Staff Office; Notification of an Upcoming Science Advisory Board Meeting

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA Science Advisory Board (SAB) Staff Office announces a public teleconference meeting of the SAB Quality Review Committee (QRC) to discuss the review of the draft SAB report, *Review of EPA Region 5 Critical Ecosystem Assessment Model*.

**DATES:** April 4, 2005. A public telephone conference meeting to discuss the draft SAB report will be held on April 4, 2005 from 3:30 p.m. to 5 p.m. (Eastern Time).

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting for this review will be held by telephone only.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Members of the public who wish to obtain further information regarding this teleconference meeting may contact Mr. Thomas O. Miller, Designated Federal Officer (DFO), U.S. EPA Science Advisory Board via phone (202-343-9982) or e-mail at [miller.tom@epa.gov](mailto:miller.tom@epa.gov).

The SAB mailing address is: U.S. EPA, Science Advisory Board (1400F), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460. General information about the SAB, as well as any updates concerning the meeting announced in this notice, may be found on the SAB Web site at: <http://www.epa.gov/sab>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Background:** EPA Region V requested that the SAB conduct a review of the Critical Ecosystem Assessment Model (CrEAM). The CrEAM is a spatially explicit model developed by EPA Region V for predicting the ecological significance of undeveloped land using

ecological theory, existing data sets, and geographic information system (GIS) technology. The model identifies ecologically significant areas by integrating three important conditions: (1) Ecosystem diversity, (2) ecological self sustainability, and (3) species and land cover rarity. The CrEAM was developed to assess the ecological significance of land areas across the states of EPA Region V (Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Wisconsin). EPA Region V asked the SAB to comment on the scientific validity of the conceptual framework and methodology used in the CrEAM to identify ecologically significant ecosystems, and on the scientific defensibility of the results generated from the CrEAM. To conduct this review, the SAB Staff Office formed the Critical Ecosystem Assessment Review Panel. The Panel was formed by augmenting the SAB's Ecological Processes and Effects Committee with experts in ecology and the use of geographic information system technology to conduct landscape scale analyses. The Panel has completed a draft report that is now being submitted to a Quality Review Committee (QRC) of the chartered Science Advisory Board for review. The review was conducted as part of the SAB's mission, established by 42 U.S.C. 4365, to provide independent scientific and technical advice, consultation, and recommendations to the EPA Administrator on the technical bases for EPA policies and regulations. Background information on SAB's review of the CrEAM was provided in **Federal Register** Notices published on June 7, 2004 (69 FR 31819-31820) and April 21, 2004 (69 FR 21524-21525).

The purpose of an SAB QRC meeting is to conduct a public review and discussion of the SAB draft report. The focus of the discussion will be on whether: (i) The original charge questions to the SAB review panel have been adequately addressed, (ii) the report is clear and logical, and (iii) any conclusions drawn, or recommendations provided, are supported by the body of information in the review report. The outcome of the QRC review will be one, or a combination of one or more, of the following: (i) recommend SAB approval of the report without substantive change, (ii) return the report to the review panel for further work, or (iii) reject the work of the review panel and request a reconsideration and a revised report in the future.

**Availability of Review Material for the Board Meeting:** Documents that are the subject of this meeting are available on

the SAB Web site at: <http://www.epa.gov/sab/>.

**Procedures for Providing Public Comment:** The SAB Staff Office accepts written public comments of any length, and accommodates oral public comments whenever possible. The SAB Staff Office expects that public statements presented at SAB meetings will not be repetitive of previously submitted oral or written statements. **Oral Comments:** In general, each individual or group requesting an oral presentation at a teleconference meeting will usually be limited to no more than three minutes per speaker and no more than fifteen minutes total. Interested parties should contact the DFO noted above in writing via e-mail at least one week prior to the meeting in order to be placed on the public speaker list for the meeting. Speakers should provide an electronic copy of their comments for distribution to interested parties and participants in the meeting. **Written Comments:** Although written comments are accepted until the date of the meeting (unless otherwise stated), written comments should be received in the SAB Staff Office at least one week prior to the meeting date so that the comments may be made available to the committee for their consideration. Comments should be supplied to the DFO at the address/contact information above in the following formats: one hard copy with original signature, and one electronic copy via e-mail (acceptable file format: Adobe Acrobat, WordPerfect, Word, or Rich Text files (in IBM-PC/Windows 98/2000/XP format)).

**Meeting Accommodations:** Individuals requiring special accommodation to access these meetings, should contact the DFO at least five business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

Dated: March 11, 2005.

**Vanessa T. Vu,**  
Director, EPA Science Advisory Board Staff Office.

[FR Doc. 05-5323 Filed 3-16-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

March 9, 2005.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden

invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before April 18, 2005. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all comments regarding this Paperwork Reduction Act submission to Judith B. Herman, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–C804, 445 12th Street, SW., DC 20554 or via the Internet to [Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov](mailto:Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judith B. Herman at 202–418–0214 or via the Internet at [Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov](mailto:Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*OMB Control No.:* 3060–0816.

*Title:* Local Telephone Competition and Broadband Reporting, WC Docket No. 04–141, FCC 04–266 (Report and Order).

*Form No:* FCC Form 477.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit, not-for-profit institutions, and state, local or tribal government.

*Number of Respondents:* 1,400 respondents; 2,800 responses.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 21.9 hours.

*Frequency of Response:* Semi-annual reporting requirement.

*Total Annual Burden:* 61,320 hours.

*Total Annual Cost:* N/A.

*Privacy Act Impact Assessment:* N/A.

*Needs and Uses:* With this submission to OMB, the Commission revised this information collection by taking the following steps to reduce burdens: (1) We declined to adopt certain modifications to FCC Form 477 proposed in the Data Collection NPRM, including the proposed requirement that filers categorize broadband connections according to the information transfer rate (“speed”) actually observed by the end user; (2) we eliminated various questions from the wireline local telephone section of the form; (3) we eliminated the requirements that filers seeking confidential treatment of FCC Form 477 data prepare and submit a separate, redacted Form 477; (4) responded to comments submitted by the Office of Advocacy of the Small Business Administration (SBA), we will publish a Small Entity Compliance Guide to provide a set of user-friendly explanations to direct small entities to those sections of the Form 477 relevant to their operations.

The information will be used by the Commission to prepare reports that help inform consumers and policy makers at the federal and state level of the deployment of competition in the local telephone service market and the deployment of broadband services. We will continue to use the information to better inform our understanding of broadband deployment in conjunction with our congressionally-mandated section 706 reports.

*OMB Control No.:* 3060–0767.

*Title:* Auction Forms and License Transfer Disclosure Requirements—Supplement for the Second Order on Reconsideration of the Fifth Report and Order in WT Docket No. 97–82.

*Form No:* N/A.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit, not-for-profit institutions, and State, local or tribal government.

*Number of Respondents:* 22,000.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* .25–5.25 hours.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion reporting requirement, recordkeeping requirement and third party disclosure requirement.

*Total Annual Burden:* 770,250 hours.

*Total Annual Cost:* \$47,333,500.

*Privacy Act Impact Assessment:* N/A.

*Needs and Uses:* With this submission to OMB, the Commission adopted the Second Order on Reconsideration of the Fifth Report and Order, WT Docket No. 97–82, which revised this information collection because one of the eligibility

factors pertaining to a limited exemption from the attribution rules that are part of the Commission's Part 1 competitive bidding rules. Specifically, based on petitions for reconsideration of the Reconsideration of the Part 1 Fifth Report and Order, the Commission revised the third element of the exemption, by permitting a rural telephone cooperative applicant (or its controlling interest) to demonstrate that the rural telephone cooperatives in question is eligible for tax-exempt status pursuant to Section 501(c)(12) of the Internal Revenue Code or that it (or its controlling interests) adheres to the cooperative principles articulated in the Puget Sound.

*OMB Control No.:* 3060–1046.

*Title:* Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96–128, Order on Reconsideration.

*Form No:* N/A.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit.

*Number of Respondents:* 1,023 respondents; 4,854 responses.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 100 hours.

*Frequency of Response:* Quarterly and annual reporting requirements, recordkeeping requirement and third party disclosure requirement.

*Total Annual Burden:* 485,400 hours.

*Total Annual Cost:* N/A.

*Privacy Act Impact Assessment:* N/A.

*Needs and Uses:* The Commission adopted an Order on Reconsideration, CC Docket No. 96–128, which increased the time carriers must retain certain data and adds burden in that regard, it also removes potentially burdensome paperwork requirements by encouraging carriers to comply with the reporting requirements through electronic means. We believe that the clarifications contained in this submission will also significantly decrease the paperwork burden on carriers. Specifically, the Commission did the following: (1) Clarified alternative arrangements for small businesses. A Completing Carrier must give the Public Service Provider (PSP) adequate notice of an alternative compensation arrangement (ACA) prior to its effective date with sufficient time for the PSP to object to an ACA, and also prior to the termination of an ACA; (2) clarified any paperwork burdens imposed on carriers. A Completing Carrier must give PSPs adequate notice of ACAs by placing a notice on a clearinghouse Web site; (3) requiring Completing Carrier and Intermediate Carriers to report only completed calls

in their quarterly reports; and (4) extended the time period from 18 to 27 months for Completing Carriers and Intermediate Carriers to retain certain payphone records.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Marlene H. Dortch,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 05-5311 Filed 3-16-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension Under Delegated Authority

March 9, 2005.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should submit comments May 16, 2005. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments to Judith B. Herman, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Room 1-C804, Washington,

DC 20554 or via the Internet to *Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov*.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Judith B. Herman at 202-418-0214 or via the Internet at *Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov*.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*OMB Control No.:* 3060-0290.

*Title:* Section 90.517, Report of Operation.

*Form No.:* N/A.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Business or other for profit and state, local or tribal government.

*Number of Respondents:* 100.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 2 hours.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion reporting requirement.

*Total Annual Burden:* 200 hours.

*Annual Cost Burden:* N/A.

*Privacy Act Impact Assessment:* N/A.

*Needs and Uses:* Section 90.517 provides developmental authorizations that are usually employed by licensees who wish to test and develop new use of radio communications facilities.

Each such developmental licensee must report upon termination of development, or application for license renewal, specific information evaluating the usefulness of previous or desired continued operation of such a system. Commission personnel use the data to evaluate the need for renewal of the applicant's authorization. This information is also used by policy-making personnel to decide the desirability of instituting rulemaking proceedings involving new technologies or new uses of the radio spectrum.

*OMB Control No.:* 3060-0434.

*Title:* Section 90.20(e)(6), Stolen Vehicle Recovery System Requirements.

*Form No.:* N/A.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Business or other for profit.

*Number of Respondents:* 20.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 4 hours.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion reporting requirement and third party disclosure requirement.

*Total Annual Burden:* 80 hours.

*Annual Cost Burden:* N/A.

*Privacy Act Impact Assessment:* N/A.

*Needs and Uses:* Applications for base stations operating on the 173.075 MHz frequency band shall require coordination with the Federal Government. Applicants shall perform an analysis for each base station located within 169 km (105 miles) of a TV

channel 7 transmitter of potential interference to TV channel 7 viewers. Applicants will have to certify to certain requirements set forth in rule section 90.20(e)(6). Commission personnel use the data to determine the interference potential of the proposed operation.

*OMB Control No.:* 3060-0537.

*Title:* Section 13.217, Records.

*Form No.:* N/A.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Business or other for profit.

*Number of Respondents:* 15.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 1 hour.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion reporting requirement and recordkeeping requirement.

*Total Annual Burden:* 15 hours.

*Annual Cost Burden:* N/A.

*Privacy Act Impact Assessment:* N/A.

*Needs and Uses:* Section 13.217 requires each Commercial Operator License Examination Manager (COLEM) to recover fees from examinees who took the Commercial Operator Examination to maintain records of expenses and revenues, frequency of examinations administered, and examination pass rates. Records must cover the period from January 1 through December 31 of the preceding year and must be submitted as directed by the FCC. These records must be maintained for one year and made available to the Commission upon request. The FCC requires these records to be kept so that the Commission can detect any wrongdoing in the program.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Marlene H. Dortch,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 05-5312 Filed 3-16-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisition of Shares of Bank or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the office of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their