Department reports on information gathered and compiled from its facilities nationwide on the usage of in-house printing and duplicating facilities as well as all printing procedures from external vendors.

DATES: Comments regarding this collection must be received on or before July 25, 2005. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, please advise the OMB Desk Officer of your intention to make a submission as soon as possible. The Desk Officer may be telephoned at 202–395–4650.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: DOE Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10102, 735 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

Comments should also be addressed to:

Sharon A. Evelin, U.S. Department of Energy, M/S IM-11, 19901
Germantown Road, Germantown, Maryland, 20874, or by fax at 301–903-9061 or by e-mail at Sharon.evelin@hq.doe.gov, and to Dallas Woodruff, Team Leader Printing Specialist, US Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave., SW., M/S ME-421, Washington, DC 20585, or by fax at 202-586-0753 or by e-mail at dallas.woodruff@hq.doe.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The individuals listed in the above **ADDRESSES.**

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This package contains: (1) OMB No.: 1910-0100; (2) Package Title: Printing and Publishing Activities; (3) Purpose: The Department of Energy collects data from its printing and duplicating facilities nationwide regarding its inventory and printing procurement activities. This information is reported to the Joint Committee on Printing. See U.S. Code Title 44, sections 101–103. (4) Estimated Number of Respondents: 336; (5) Estimated Total Burden Hours: 947 (6) Number of Collections: The package contains 4 information and/or recordkeeping requirements.

Statutory Authority: Rules pursuant to Title 44 U.S. Code sections 101–103.

Issued in Washington, DC on June 17, 2005.

Lorretta Bryant,

Acting Director, Records Management Division, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 05–12520 Filed 6–23–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 10482-000]

Mirant NY-Gen LLC; Notice Rejecting Request for Rehearing

June 17, 2005.

On April 18, 2005, the Commission issued an order denying the licensee's application to amend the recreation plan for the Swinging Bridge Project No. 10482.¹ On May 16, 2005, the Woodstone Lakes Development, LLC (Woodstone Lakes) submitted a request for rehearing to the Director of the Division of Hydropower Administration and Compliance.

Pursuant to section 313(a) of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 825l(a), a request for rehearing may be filed only by a party to the proceeding. In order for Woodstone Lakes to be a party to the proceeding, it must have timely filed a motion to intervene pursuant to Rule 214 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.214.2 Since Woodstone Lakes did not file a motion to intervene, its request for rehearing must be rejected.3

This notice constitutes final agency action. Request for rehearing by the Commission of this rejection notice must be filed within 30 days of the date of issuance of this notice, pursuant to 18 CFR 385.713.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5–3292 Filed 6–23–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. CP05-380-000, CP05-381-000, and CP05-382-000]

Point Comfort Pipeline Company, L.P.; Notice of Application

June 17, 2005.

Take notice that on June 10, 2005, Point Comfort Pipeline Company, L.P. (Point Comfort), Three Riverway, Suite 525, Houston, Texas 77056 filed an application, pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), for authorization to construct, own and operate the Point Comfort Pipeline and related facilities, and for approval of its Pro Forma Tariff and proposed initial rates for service. Point Comfort also requests blanket certificates authorizing it to engage in certain routine activities under part 157, subpart F and for authority to transport natural gas under part 284, subpart G of the Commission's regulations.

The Point Comfort Pipeline facilities would consist of an approximately 27-mile-long, 36-inch-diameter pipeline in Calhoun and Jackson Counties, Texas connecting the proposed Calhoun LNG import terminal (filed on March 18, 2005 in Docket No. CP05–91–000) to interconnects with interstate and intrastate pipelines and two industrial facilities located near the proposed

pipeline route.

The Point Comfort Pipeline facilities would also include two approximately 0.25-mile-long lateral pipelines, above ground pig launcher and receiver facilities, metering and regulation facilities and a supervisory control and data acquisition system. The Point Comfort Pipeline facilities are designed to transport a maximum average daily throughput of 1.0 billion cubic feet per day of natural gas.

This application is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at http://www.ferc.gov using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at

FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll free at (866) 208–3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502–8659.

Any questions concerning this application should be directed to Counsel for Point Comfort Pipeline, L.P., Tania S. Perez, King & Spalding LLP, 1185 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036, at (212) 556–2161 or fax (212) 556–2222 or tperez@kslaw.com.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with

 $^{^1}$ Mirant NY-Gen LLC, 111 FERC ¶ 61,077. The proposed amendment would have closed a boat launch and expanded a recreation area.

 $^{^2}$ See Pacific Gas and Electric Company, 40 FERC ¶ 61,035 (1987). Notice of the application in this proceeding was issued on November 5, 2004, setting December 6, 2004 as the deadline for filing interventions.

³ In addition, Woodstone Lakes did not file its request for rehearing with the Commission Secretary as required by Rule 2001 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. 18 CFR 385.2001.

the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. Unless filing electronically, a party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site (http://www.ferc.gov) under the "e-Filing" link.

Comment Date: July 8, 2005.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5–3293 Filed 6–23–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EG05-67-000, et al.]

Blue Breezes II LLC, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

June 15, 2005.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

1. Blue Breezes II LLC

[Docket No. EG05-67-000]

Take notice that on May 23, 2005, Blue Breezes II LLC (Applicant) submitted an application for Exempt Wholesale Generator status. Applicant states that it intends to construct a 1.25 MW wind project in Faribault County, Minnesota. Applicant further states that it will own and operate the project.

Applicant states that the filing has been served on the Securities Exchange Commission and Minnesota Public Utilities Commission.

Comment date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on June 29, 2005.

2. Blue Breezes LLC

[Docket No. EG05-68-000]

Take notice that on May 23, 2005, Blue Breezes, LLC (Applicant) submitted an application for Exempt Wholesale Generator status. Applicant states that it intends to construct a 1.25 MW wind project in Faribault County, Minnesota. Applicant further states that it will own and operate the project.

Applicant states that a copy of the filing has been served on the Securities Exchange Commission and the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission.

Comment date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on June 29, 2005.

3. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER05-10-003]

Take notice that on June 1, 2005, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM) submitted an errata to its May 31, 2005 compliance filing in the above-referenced docket number consisting of amendments to the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff and the Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of PJM to specify that offers for regulation service by American Electric Power Company and

Virginia Electric Power Company or their affiliates in the PJM West/South Regulation Zone shall be cost-based and to enumerate the components of such cost-based offers.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. on June 27, 2005.

4. Black Hills Colorado, LLC; Black Hills Power, Inc.; Black Hills Wyoming, Inc.; Fountain Valley Power, LLC; Harbor Cogeneration Company, LLC; Las Vegas Cogeneration II, LLC

[Docket Nos. ER05–930–001, ER04–1208–001, ER03–802–003, ER01–1784–006, ER99–1248–005, and ER03–222–005]

Take notice that on June 6, 2005, Black Hills Corporation on behalf of Black Hills Colorado, LLC; Black Hills Pepperell Power Associates, Inc.; Black Hills Power, Inc.; Black Hills Wyoming, Inc.; Fountain Valley Power, LLC; Harbor Cogeneration Company LLC; and Las Vegas Cogeneration II, LLC (collectively, the Black Hills Utilities), filed an amended and restated versions of the market-based rate wholesale power sales rates schedules of the Black Hills Utilities in compliance with the Commission's order in Black Hills Colorado, LLC, et al., 111 FERC ¶ 61, 170 (2005).

Comment Date: 5 p.m. on June 27, 2005.

Standard Paragraph

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 385.211 and § 385.214) on or before 5 p.m. Eastern Time on the specified comment date. It is not necessary to separately intervene again in a subdocket related to a compliance filing if you have previously intervened in the same docket. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant. In reference to filings initiating a new proceeding, interventions or protests submitted on or before the comment deadline need not be served on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper, using the FERC Online links at http://www.ferc.gov. To facilitate electronic service, persons with Internet access who will eFile a document and/or be listed as a contact for an intervenor must create and validate an eRegistration account using the