6002, Washington, DC 20579. Telephone: (202) 616–6988.

Mauricio J. Tamargo,

Chairman

[FR Doc. 05-16302 Filed 8-12-05; 1:05 pm]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# Office of Justice Programs

[OJP (OJP)-1420]

# Meeting of the Global Justice Information Sharing Initiative Federal Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Office of Justice Programs

(OJP), Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** This is an announcement of a meeting of the Global Justice Information Sharing Initiative (Global) Federal Advisory Committee (GAC) to discuss the Global Initiative, as described at <a href="http://www.it.ojp.gov/global">http://www.it.ojp.gov/global</a>.

**DATES:** The meeting will take place on Thursday, October 20, 2005, from 9 a.m. to 3 p.m. e.t.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will take place at the Sheraton Crystal City Hotel, 1800 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202; phone: (703) 486–1111.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: J. Patrick McCreary, Global Designated Federal Employee (DFE), Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, 810 7th Street, Washington, DC 20531; phone: (202) 616–0532 (note: this is not a toll-free number); e-mail:

James.P.McCreary@usdoj.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This meeting is open to the public. Due to security measures, however, members of the public who wish to attend this meeting must register with J. Patrick McCreary at the above address at least seven (7) days in advance of the meeting. Registrations will be accepted on a space available basis. Access to the meeting will not be allowed without registration. All attendees will be required to sign in at the meeting registration desk. Please bring photo identification and allow extra time prior to the meeting.

Anyone requiring special accommodations should notify J. Patrick McCreary at least seven (7) days in advance of the meeting.

## Purpose

The GAC will act as the focal point to explore and recommend policies regarding national justice information

sharing issues in support of the Administration's justice priorities.

The GAC will support the development of justice information sharing concepts. It will advise the Attorney General, and the President (through the Attorney General); and local, state, tribal, and federal policymakers in the executive, legislative, and judicial branches. The GAC will also promote strategies for accomplishing justice information sharing capabilities.

Interested persons whose registrations have been accepted may be permitted to participate in the discussions at the discretion of the meeting chairman and with approval of the DFE.

## J. Patrick McCreary,

Global DFE, Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs.

[FR Doc. 05–16159 Filed 8–15–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–18–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

#### **Bureau of Labor Statistics**

# Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) is soliciting comments concerning the proposed revision of the "Local Area Unemployment Statistics (LAUS) Program." A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the individual listed below in the Addresses section of this notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the Addresses section below on or before October 17, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to Amy A. Hobby, BLS Clearance Officer, Division of Management Systems, Bureau of

Labor Statistics, Room 4080, 2 Massachusetts Avenue, NE., Washington, DC 20212, telephone number 202–691–7628 (This is not a toll free number.)

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amy A. Hobby, BLS Clearance Officer, telephone number 202–691–7628. (See Addresses section.)

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. Background

The BLS has been charged by Congress (29 U.S.C. Section 1 and 2) with the responsibility of collecting and publishing monthly information on employment, the average wage received, and the hours worked by area and industry. The process for developing residency-based employment and unemployment estimates is a cooperative Federal-State program which uses employment and unemployment inputs available in State Workforce Agencies.

The labor force estimates developed and issued in this program are used for economic analysis and as a tool in the implementation of Federal economic policy in such areas as employment and economic development under the Workforce Investment Act and the Public Works and Economic Development Act, among others.

The estimates also are used in economic analysis by public agencies and private industry, and for State and area funding allocations and eligibility determinations according to legal and administrative requirements.

Implementation of current policy and legislative authorities could not be accomplished without collection of the data.

The reports and manual covered by this request are integral parts of the LAUS program insofar as they insure and/or measure the timeliness, quality, consistency, and adherence to program directions of the LAUS estimates and related research.

## **II. Current Action**

The BLS is revising the information collection request that makes up the LAUS program. All aspects of the program are automated. All data are entered directly into BLS-provided systems

The BLS, as part of its responsibility to develop concepts and methods by which States prepare estimates under the LAUS program, developed a manual for use by the States. The manual explains the conceptual framework for the State and area estimates of employment and unemployment, specifies the procedures to be used,

provides input information, and discusses the theoretical and empirical basis for each procedure. This manual is updated on a regular schedule. The LAUS program implemented a major program redesign in January 2005. The Redesign was announced in the **Federal Register** on November 8, 2004.

The increase in the number of responses from the last collection is due to the increase in the number of areas covered by the program.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Agency: Bureau of Labor Statistics.

*Title:* Local Area Unemployment Statistics (LAUS) Program.

OMB Number: 1220–0017.

Affected Public: State government.

Total Respondents: 52.

Frequency: Monthly and Annually.

Total Responses: 95,069.

Average Time Per Response: 1.50 hours.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 142,298 hours.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): \$0.

Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance): \$0.

## **III. Desired Focus of Comments**

The Bureau of Labor Statistics is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they also will become a matter of public record. Signed at Washington, DC, this 9th day of August, 2005.

#### Cathy Kazanowski,

Chief, Division of Management Systems, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

[FR Doc. 05–16191 Filed 8–15–05; 8:45 am]

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-368]

Entergy Operations, Incorporated; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission, NRC) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NFP– 6, issued to Entergy Operations Incorporated (the licensee), for operation of Arkansas Nuclear One Unit 2 (ANO–2), located in Pope county.

The proposed amendment would define spent fuel loading restrictions for the Holtec International HI–STORM 100 Cask System Multi–Purpose Canister (MPC)–32. The licensee will be removing spent fuel from the spent fuel pool and placing it in dry storage as early as September 2005. This activity will restore the full-core offload capability at ANO–2.

The licensee believed that the calculation that considered the requirements of 10 CFR 50.68 for loading/unloading an MPC-32 met the criteria of 10 CFR 50.59 and 10 CFR 50.36, and did not require NRC review and approval. However, based on Regulatory Information Summary (RIS) 2005-05, "Regulatory Issues Regarding Criticality Analyses for Spent Fuel Pools and Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installations," the licensee submitted a pre-application letter to the NRC outlining the plans to submit a nonexigent technical specification (TS) change and justification for continued operations without prior NRC approval based on guidance contained in Administrative Letter 98-10, "Dispositioning of Technical Specifications that are Insufficient to Assure Plant Safety," and Generic Letter 91-18, "Information to Licensees Regarding Two NRC Inspection Manual Sections on Resolution of Degraded and Nonconforming Conditions and on Operabiltiy." In a teleconference between the licensee and the NRC staff held on July 19, 2005, the NRC stated that it did not believe ANO-2 was in

compliance with 10 CFR 50.68 and, therefore, the proposed change required NRC approval prior to proceeding with cask loading activities.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6) for amendments to be granted under exigent circumstances, the NRC staff must determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does the proposed change involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.

The fuel handling accidents described below can be postulated to increase reactivity. However, for these accident conditions, the double contingency principle of ANS N16.1–1975 is applied. This states that it is unnecessary to assume two unlikely, independent, concurrent events to ensure protection against a criticality accident. Thus, for accident conditions, the presence of soluble boron in the SFP [spent fuel pool] water can be assumed as a realistic initial condition since its absence would be a second unlikely event.

Loading/unloading a storage cask in the SFP does not affect the previously evaluated fuel handling accidents (*i.e.*, criticality effects) in the SFP. The ANO–2 TS for SFP boron concentration ensures subcritical conditions in the SFP during fuel movement activities, whether within the SFP racks or to a storage cask during normal and accident conditions.

The cask configuration for the storage cask (MPC-32) is sufficiently similar to spent fuel racks in the SFP as to not induce new or different spent fuel assembly damage in the unlikely event of the occurrence of a fuel handling accident during storage cask loading/unloading activities. The fuel handling accident includes four drop scenarios (fuel drop horizontally on a cask, fuel drop on a fuel assembly, fuel drop next to a cask, and a fuel drop on the cask basket). The same equipment and procedural controls for controlling fuel within the SFP are utilized when loading/unloading a storage