to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC, this 12th day of August, 2005.

Elliott S. Kushner, Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E5–4673 Filed 8–25–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,620]

International Manufacturing, El Paso, TX; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on July 26, 2005 in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at International Manufacturing, El Paso, Texas.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by an earlier petition (TA–W– 57,599) filed on July 20, 2005 that is the subject of an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued. Further investigation in this case would duplicate efforts and serve no purpose; therefore the investigation under this petition has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 2nd day of August, 2005.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E5–4681 Filed 8–25–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,077]

Radicispandex Corporation, Fall River, MA; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By application of July 15, 2005 a company official requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA). The denial notice was signed on June 9, 2005 and published in the **Federal Register** on July 14, 2005 (70 FR 40741). The TAA petition, filed on behalf of workers at Radicispandex Corporation, Fall River, Massachusetts engaged in administrative support related to production of spandex fibers was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 was not met.

A review of the initial investigation determined that the workers of the subject firm may qualify for TAA eligibility on the basis of a secondary upstream supplier impact.

Having conducted an investigation of subject firm workers on the basis of secondary impact, it was revealed that workers of Radicispandex Corporation, Fall River, Massachusetts supported production of affiliated facilities which supplied spandex fibers that were used in the production of knit fabric, and a loss of business with domestic manufacturers (whose workers were certified eligible to apply for adjustment assistance) contributed importantly to the workers separation or threat of separation.

În accordance with Section 246 the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents the results of its investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) for older workers.

The group eligibility criteria for the ATAA program that the Department must consider under Section 246 of the Trade Act are:

1. Whether a significant number of workers in the workers' firm are 50 years of age or older.

2. Whether the workers in the workers' firm possess skills that are not easily transferable.

3. The competitive conditions within the workers' industry (i.e., conditions within the industry are adverse).

The Department has determined that criterion 3 has not been met.

The investigation revealed workers in the workers' firm do possess skills that are easily transferable skills.

Conclusion

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I determine that workers of Radicispandex Corporation, Fall River, Massachusetts qualify as adversely affected secondary workers under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

"All workers of Radicispandex Corporation, Fall River, Massachusetts who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 28, 2004 through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974;" and

I further determine that all workers of Radicispandex Corporation, Fall River, Massachusetts are denied eligibility to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 11th day of August, 2005.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E5–4671 Filed 8–25–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,320]

Sabre, Inc., Travel Network North America Division, Southlake, TX; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Sabre, Inc., Travel Network North America Division, Southlake, Texas. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA–W–57,320; Sabre, Inc. Travel Network North America Division Southlake, Texas (August 19, 2005)

Signed at Washington, DC, this 19th day of August, 2005.

Timothy Sullivan,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5–4672 Filed 8–25–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,370]

Sportrack Automotive, Port Huron, MI; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on June 13, 2005 in response to petition filed by the State of Michigan, Macomb/St. Clair Workforce Development Board on behalf of workers at Sportrack Automotive, Port Huron, Michigan.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 5th day of August, 2005.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E5–4676 Filed 8–25–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards Administration

Wage and Hour Division; Minimum Wages for Federal and Federally Assisted Construction; General Wage Determination Decisions

General wage determination decisions of the Secretary of Labor are issued in accordance with applicable law and are based on the information obtained by the Department of Labor from its study of local wage conditions and data made available from other sources. They specify the basic hourly wage rates and fringe benefits which are determined to be prevailing for the described classes of laborers and mechanics employed on construction projects of a similar character and in the localities specified therein.

The determinations in these decisions of prevailing rates and fringe benefits have been made in accordance with 29 CFR part 1, by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR part 1, Appendix, as well as such additional statutes as may from time to time be enacted containing provisions for the payment of wages determined to be prevailing by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with the Davis-Bacon Act. The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in these decisions shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wage payable on Federal and federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged on contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Good cause is hereby found for not utilizing notice and public comment procedure thereon prior to the issuance of these determinations as prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 and not providing for delay in the effective date as prescribed in that section, because the necessity to issue current construction industry wage determinations frequently and in large volume causes procedures to be impractical and contrary to the public interest.

General wage determination decisions, and modifications and supersedeas decisions thereto, contain no expiration dates and are effective from the date of notice in the "Federal Register", or on the date written notice is received by the agency, whichever is earlier. These decisions are to be used in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR parts 1 and 5. Accordingly, the applicable decision, together with any modifications issued, must be made a part of every contract for performance of the described work within the geographic area indicated as required by an applicable Federal prevailing wage law and 29 CFR part 5. The wage rates and fringe benefits, notice of which is published herein, and which are contained in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under The Davis-Bacon And Related Acts," shall be the minimum paid by contractors and subcontractors to laborers and mechanics.

Any person, organization, or governmental agency having an interest in the rates determined as prevailing is encouraged to submit wage rate and fringe benefit information for consideration by the Department.

Further information and selfexplanatory forms for the purpose of submitting this data may be obtained by writing to the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Wage and Hour Division, Division of Wage Determinations, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room S–3014, Washington, DC 20210.

Modification to General Wage Determination Decisions

The number of decisions listed to the Government Printing Office document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts" being modified are listed by Volume and State. Dates of publication in the "**Federal Register**" are in parentheses following the decision being modified.

Volume I:

New Jersey NJ20030001 (JUN. 13, 2003) NJ20030009 (JUN. 13, 2003) Vermont VT20030001 (JUN. 13, 2003) VT20030007 (JUN. 13, 2003) VT20030009 (JUN. 13, 2003) VT20030010 (JUN. 13, 2003) VT20030011 (JUN. 13, 2003) VT20030013 (JUN. 13, 2003) VT20030042 (JUN. 13, 2003) VT20030043 (JUN. 13, 2003) VT20030044 (JUN. 13, 2003)

VOLUME II:

District of Columbia DC20030001 (JUN. 13, 2003) DC20030003 (JUN. 13, 2003) Maryland MD20030016 (JUN. 13, 2003) MD20030036 (JUN. 13, 2003) MD20030048 (JUN. 13, 2003) MD20030056 (JUN. 13, 2003) MD20030057 (JUN. 13, 2003) Virginia VA20030052 (JUN. 13, 2003) VA20030079 (JUN. 13, 2003) VA20030092 (JUN. 13, 2003) VA20030099 (JUN. 13, 2003) VOLUME III: Kentuckv KY20030001 (JUN. 13, 2003) KY20030002 (JUN. 13, 2003) KY20030003 (JUN. 13, 2003) KY20030004 (JUN. 13, 2003) KY20030006 (JUN. 13, 2003) KY20030007 (JUN. 13, 2003) KY20030025 (JUN. 13, 2003) KY20030027 (JUN. 13, 2003) KY20030028 (JUN. 13, 2003) KY20030029 (JUN. 13, 2003) KY20030035 (JUN. 13, 2003) VOLUME IV:

Michigan

MI20030007 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030036 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030040 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030041 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030046 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030047 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030049 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030050 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030052 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030079 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030080 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030081 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030082 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030083 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030084 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030085 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030086 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030087 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030088 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030099 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030100 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030101 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030103 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030104 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030105 (JUN. 13, 2003) MI20030106 (JUN. 13, 2003)

VOLUME V:

Kansas KS20030006 (JUN. 13, 2003) KS20030008 (JUN. 13, 2003) KS20030009 (JUN. 13, 2003) KS20030010 (JUN. 13, 2003) KS20030012 (JUN. 13, 2003) KS20030015 (JUN. 13, 2003) Missouri MO20030002 (JUN. 13, 2003) MO20030009 (JUN. 13, 2003)