

undeveloped buffer area. The Campo Indian Reservation, which consists of 15,580 acres in southeastern San Diego County, lies just north of the United States/Mexico border and approximately 45 miles inland from the Pacific Ocean.

The Campo Band previously entered into a lease agreement with Muht-Hei, Inc. (MHI), pursuant to which MHI would lease a portion of the Campo Indian Reservation for the purpose of constructing and operating a solid waste landfill, recycling facility, and composting facility. The Campo Band originally authorized MHI in 1989 to enter into a sublease with Mid-American Waste Systems, Inc. (MAWS) for the development of the solid waste landfill facility. The Campo Band requested the BIA approve the lease and sublease for the proposed project. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) was prepared by BIA, in accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act, to evaluate the environmental impacts of the proposed project prior to determining whether the proposed lease related to the project should be approved. A Final EIS (FEIS) was released on November 24, 1992. The BIA issued a Record of Decision (ROD) with conditions on April 27, 1993, and approved the lease between the Campo Band and MHI and sublease between MHI and MAWS for the proposed solid waste landfill. The Campo Environmental Protection Agency (CEPA) issued a final Authority to Construct Permit (ATC) to MHI and MAWS on April 5, 1994, to construct the proposed solid waste landfill, subject to the conditions of the ROD and ATC.

Subsequently, MAWS failed to proceed with construction of the project and MHI was found in default of the sublease terms. Since that time, MHI has solicited interest from other solid waste landfill developers. In early 2003, MHI began negotiations with BLT Enterprises, Inc. (BLT), Oxnard, California, on the terms of a sublease to develop the solid waste landfill described in the FEIS. Those negotiations were successfully concluded and on December 12, 2004, the Campo Band authorized MHI to enter into a sublease with BLT.

The BIA has decided to prepare a SEIS to address changes in the proposed action, relevant information that has become available, or circumstances that have changed over the years since the FEIS was issued. Areas of concern addressed in the FEIS included land resources, water resources, air quality, living resources, cultural resources, socio-economics, transportation, land

use, resource use patterns, noise and other values. The FEIS included five alternatives to the proposed action, as follows: (1) Alternative Site One, (2) Alternative Site Two, (3) Reduced Waste Stream at the Proposed Site, (4) Reduced Area of Disturbance at the Proposed Site, and (5) No Action. The SEIS will incorporate the proposed project description from the FEIS by reference, and will discuss aspects of the project that have changed since the FEIS was released in 1992, any changes in environmental impacts associated with those changes, and changes to alternatives.

#### Public Comment Availability

Comments, including names and addresses of respondents, will be available for public review at the BIA address shown in the **ADDRESSES** section, during business hours, 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. Individual respondents may request confidentiality. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address from public review or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your written comment. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by the law. We will not, however, consider anonymous comments. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

#### Authority

This notice is published in accordance with sections 1503.1, 1506.6 and 1508.22 of the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500 through 1508) implementing the procedural requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), Department of the Interior Manual (516 DM 1–6), and is in the exercise of authority delegated to the Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs by 209 DM 8.1.

Dated: October 24, 2005.

**Michael D. Olsen,**

*Acting Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 05–22173 Filed 11–7–05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-W7-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[ID–300–1020–PH]

#### Notice of Public Meeting, Idaho Falls District Resource Advisory Council Meeting

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA), the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Idaho Falls District Resource Advisory Council (RAC), will meet as indicated below.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held November 29–30, 2005 at the Hampton Inn, 2500 Channing Way, Idaho Falls, Idaho 83404. The meeting will start at 1 p.m. November 29, with an orientation session for new members. This first day will be information only, and no decisions will be made. The second day, November 30, will be for the full Advisory Council, and the public comment period as the first agenda item, starting at 8 a.m. The meeting will adjourn at or before 3 p.m. At this meeting, the Advisory Council will set its agenda for the coming year, schedule meetings, and discuss current issues before the BLM Idaho Falls District.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The 15-member Council advises the Secretary of the Interior, through the Bureau of Land Management, on a variety of planning and management issues associated with public land management in the BLM Idaho Falls District (IFD), which covers eastern Idaho. At this meeting, topics we plan to discuss include:

- Orientation for new members.
- A discussion of recreational upgrades to the Blackfoot Reservoir campground and the need to set fees. RAC members will be asked for their input as to an appropriate range of fees for the site, in preparation for a broader public outreach campaign in December and January.
- Updates on Idaho's Sage Grouse Conservation strategy, if completed and released for public review.
- A field trip to the Cress Creek Trail, *weather permitting*. If remaining indoors, the discussion will also include a brief update on the Upper Snake Field Office South Fork Activity Plan revision.
- Updates on the latest litigation for BLM, and other current issues as appropriate.

- The RAC will set an annual agenda.
- Other items of interest raised by the Council.

Transportation for the field trip will be provided for RAC members. Members of the public wishing to accompany the RAC on the field trip must furnish their own transportation.

All meetings are open to the public. The public may present written comments to the Council. Each formal Council meeting will also have time allocated for hearing public comments. Depending on the number of persons wishing to comment and time available, the time for individual oral comments may be limited. Individuals who plan to attend and need special assistance, such as sign language interpretation, tour transportation or other reasonable accommodations, should contact the BLM as provided below.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David Howell, RAC Coordinator, Idaho Falls District, 1405 Hollipark Dr., Idaho Falls, ID 83401. Telephone (208) 524-7559. E-mail: [David\\_Howell@blm.gov](mailto:David_Howell@blm.gov).

Dated: November 2, 2005.

**David Howell,**

*RAC Coordinator, Public Affairs Specialist.*

[FR Doc. 05-22206 Filed 11-7-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-GG-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 731-TA-298 and 299 (Second Review); Investigations Nos. 701-TA-267 and 268 and 731-TA-304 and 305 (Second Review)]

### Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware From China and Taiwan; Top-of-the-Stove Stainless Steel Cooking Ware From Korea and Taiwan

#### Determinations

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from China and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission also determines that revocation of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on top-of-the-stove stainless steel cooking ware

from Korea would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time,<sup>2</sup> and that revocation of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on top-of-the-stove stainless steel cooking ware from Taiwan would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

#### Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on March 1, 2005 (70 FR 9974) and determined on June 6, 2005 that it would conduct expedited reviews (70 FR 35708, June 21, 2005).

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on October 27, 2005. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3808 (October 2005), entitled *Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware From China and Taiwan, and Top-of-the-Stove Stainless Steel Cooking Ware From Korea and Taiwan* (Investigation Nos. 731-TA-298 and 299 (Second Review) and Investigation Nos. 701-TA-267 and 268 and 731-TA-304 and 305 (Second Review)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 1, 2005.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 05-22158 Filed 11-7-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

**Editorial Note:** This document was inadvertently omitted from the issue of Thursday, November 3, 2005.

**ACTION:** 30-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Application for Registration (DEA Form 225); Application for Registration Renewal (DEA Form 225a); Affidavit for Chain Renewal (DEA Form 225b).

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with

the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register**, Volume 70, Number 154, page 46887 on August 11, 2005, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until December 8, 2005. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395-5806. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Application for registration (DEA Form 225); Application for registration renewal (DEA Form 225a); Affidavit for chain renewal (DEA Form 225B).

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:*

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

<sup>2</sup> Vice Chairman Deanna Tanner Okun, Commissioner Charlotte R. Lane, and Commissioner Daniel R. Pearson dissenting.