С	Mn	Р	S	Si	Cr	Cu	Ni
0.005%	Treated -	0.01 - 0.70%					

Width = 39.37 inches; Thickness = 0.181 inches maximum; Yield Strength = 70,000 psi minimum for thickness # 0.148 inches and 65,000 psi minimum for "thicknesses" > 0.148 inches; account for 64 FR 38650; Tensile Strength = 80,000 psi minimum.

• Hot-rolled dual phase steel, phase—hardened, primarily with a ferritic—martensitic microstructure, contains 0.9

- Hot-rolled dual phase steel, phase—hardened, primarily with a ferritic—martensitic microstructure, contains 0.9 percent up to and including 1.5 percent silicon by weight, further characterized by either (i) tensile strength between 540 N/mm² and 640 N/mm² and an elongation percentage ≥ 26 percent for thicknesses of 2 mm and above, or (ii) a tensile strength between 590 N/mm² and 690 N/mm² and an elongation percentage ≥ 25 percent for thicknesses of 2 mm and above.
- Hot–rolled bearing quality steel, SAE grade 1050, in coils, with an inclusion rating of 1.0 maximum per ASTM E 45, Method A, with excellent surface quality and chemistry restrictions as follows: 0.012 percent maximum phosphorus, 0.015 percent maximum sulfur, and 0.20 percent maximum residuals including 0.15 percent maximum chromium.
- Grade ASTM A570–50 hot–rolled steel sheet in coils or cut lengths, width of 74 inches (nominal, within ASTM tolerances), thickness of 11 gauge (0.119 inch nominal), mill edge and skin passed, with a minimum copper content of 0.20%.

The merchandise subject to this order is classified in the HTSUS at subheadings: 7208.10.15.00, 7208.10.30.00, 7208.10.60.00, 7208.25.30.00, 7208.25.60.00, 7208.26.00.30, 7208.26.00.60, 7208.27.00.30, 7208.27.00.60, 7208.36.00.30, 7208.36.00.60, 7208.37.00.30, 7208.37.00.60, 7208.38.00.15, 7208.38.00.30, 7208.38.00.90, 7208.39.00.15, 7208.39.00.30, 7208.39.00.90, 7208.40.60.30, 7208.40.60.60, 7208.53.00.00, 7208.54.00.00, 7208.90.00.00, 7210.70.30.00, 7210.90.90.00, 7211.14.00.30, 7211.14.00.90, 7211.19.15.00, 7211.19.20.00, 7211.19.30.00, 7211.19.45.00, 7211.19.60.00, 7211.19.75.30, 7211.19.75.60, 7211.19.75.90, 7212.40.10.00, 7212.40.50.00, 7212.50.00.00. Certain hot-rolled flat-rolled carbon-quality steel covered by this order, including: vacuum degassed, fully stabilized; high

strength low alloy; and the substrate for motor lamination steel may also enter under the following tariff numbers: 7225.11.00.00, 7225.19.00.00, 7225.30.30.50, 7225.30.70.00, 7225.40.70.00, 7225.99.00.90, 7226.11.10.00, 7226.11.90.30, 7226.11.90.60, 7226.19.10.00, 7226.19.90.00, 7226.91.50.00, 7226.91.70.00, 7226.91.80.00, and 7226.99.00.00. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under this order is dispositive.

#### **Rescission of Administrative Review**

On October 7, 2005, the Department published in the Federal Register its intent to rescind the administrative review. As noted above, CSN certified that it did not have any shipments or entries of subject merchandise during the POR and CST certified that the only shipments or entries of subject merchandise it had during the POR were being reviewed by the Department as part of a new shipper review of CST for the period March 1, 2004, through August 31, 2004. See Notice of Intent to Rescind. The Department conducted an internal customs data query to confirm that CSN had no entries of subject merchandise into the United States during the POR, and that CST had no entries of subject merchandise other than those already being reviewed as part of the new shipper review. The customs data showed no entries of subject merchandise by CSN during the POR, and no additional entries by CST that should be reviewed. We invited interested parties to comment on our intent to rescind the administrative review; no comments were submitted.

Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), we are rescinding this review for CSN based on our determination that this company did not have entries of subject merchandise during the POR. Pursuant to 19 CFR 315.214(j), we are rescinding this review for CST because any entries of subject merchandise during the POR are already being reviewed by the Department as part of a new shipper review. This review was requested for only these two companies.

We are issuing this notice in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: November 8, 2005.

#### Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–6299 Filed 11–14–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-427-820]

#### Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results in Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Bar From France

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 15, 2005.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Goldberger at (202) 482–4136, or Roberto Facundus at (202) 482–3464, Import Administration, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department shall make a preliminary determination in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend that 245—day period to up to 365 days if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period.

The preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from France are currently scheduled to be completed on December 1, 2005. However, the Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results in this administrative review within this time limit because additional time is needed to fully analyze the complex issues raised in the questionnaire responses and supplemental questionnaire responses submitted by the respondent.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of this review by 43 days to January 13, 2006. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: November 7, 2005.

#### Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–6298 Filed 11–14–05; 8:45 am] Billing Code: 3510–DS-S

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

[C-533-825]

#### Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip from India: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 15, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeff Pedersen or Drew Jackson, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–2769 or (202) 482–4406, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On August 30, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip from India, covering the period January 1, 2003, through December 31, 2003. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 69 FR 52857 (August 30, 2004).

On August 10, 2005, the Department published in the Federal Register the preliminary results of review. See Notice of Preliminary Results and Rescission in Part of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From India, 70 FR 46483 (August 10, 2005). The final results of review are currently due no later than December 8, 2005.

### Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Review

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination in an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order or finding for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the 245-day time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days and the time limit for the final determination to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary determination) from the date of publication of the preliminary determination.

We have determined that it is not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the original time limit because the Department has required additional time to consider a number of complex issues involving certain sales tax incentive programs. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the final results of review by 60 days. We intend to issue the final results of review no later than February 6, 2006.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: November 8, 2005.

#### Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5-6301 Filed 11-14-05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

## President's Export Control: Meeting of the President's Export Council

**AGENCY:** International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of an open meeting.

SUMMARY: The President's Export Council (PEC) will hold a full Council meeting to discuss topics related to export expansion. The meeting will include discussion of trade priorities and initiatives, PEC subcommittee activity and proposed letters of recommendation. The PEC was established on December 20, 1973, and reconstituted May 4, 1979, to advise the

President on matters relating to U.S. trade. It was most recently renewed by Executive Order 13385.

DATES: December 6, 2005.

Time: 10:15 a.m. to 12 noon (e.s.t.).

ADDRESSES: Room G-50, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Washington, DC 20510. This program will be physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be submitted no later than November 30, 2005, to J. Marc Chittum, President's Export Council, Room 4043, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone 202–482–1124, Marc.Chittum@mail.doc.gov. Seating is limited and will be on a first come, first served basis.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: J.

Marc Chittum, President's Export Council, Room 4043, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: 202–482–1124, Marc.Chittum@mail.doc.gov, or visit the PEC Web site, http://www.ita.doc.gov/td/pec.

Dated: November 10, 2005.

#### J. Marc Chittum,

Executive Secretary and Staff Director, President's Export Council.

[FR Doc. 05–22707 Filed 11–14–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### Department of the Army

Preparation of the Fort Bliss, TX and New Mexico, Mission Master Plan Supplemental Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DOD. **ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** This announces the intention of United States Army Installation Management Agency and the Fort Bliss Garrison Command to prepare a Supplemental Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) to analyze the impacts of land use changes in support of Army Transformation, the Army Campaign Plan, and other Army initiatives. The SEIS will supplement the Fort Bliss, Texas and New Mexico, Mission Master Plan Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement, for which a Record of Decision was signed in 2001. The proposed action will provide Fort Bliss with greater flexibility in planning and developing training missions and strategies in response to rapidly changing world conditions, Army Transformation initiatives, and long-