

	Plan of operations	Notice of intent	Ces-sation of op-er-ations
Estimated Total Annual Burden on Re-spondents.	5,888 ....	2,792 ....	19

*Comment is invited on:* (1) Whether this collection of information is necessary for the stated purposes and the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical or scientific utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

All comments received in response to this notice, including names and addresses when provided, will be a matter of public record. Comments will be summarized and included in the submission for Office of Management and Budget approval.

Dated: December 28, 2004.

**Dave Holland,**

*Acting Deputy Chief for National Forest System.*

[FR Doc. 05-180 Filed 1-4-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### Wrangell-Petersburg Resource Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Wrangell-Petersburg Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) will meet from 8 a.m. until 5:15 p.m. (or until the conclusion of public testimony) on Friday, January 7, and from 8 a.m. until 9 a.m., Saturday, January 8, 2005, in Wrangell, Alaska. The purpose of this meeting is to review, discuss and potentially recommend for funding proposals received pursuant to Title II, Pub. L. 106-393, H.R. 2389, the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-

Determination Act of 2000, also called the "Payments to States" Act. Public testimony regarding the proposals will also be taken.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held commencing at 8 a.m. on Friday, January 7, through 9 a.m., Saturday, January 8, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the James and Elsie Nolan Center, 1096 Outer Drive, Wrangell, Alaska.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Davis, Acting Wrangell District Ranger, P.O. Box 51, Wrangell, AK 99929, phone (907) 874-2323, e-mail [michaeldavis@fs.fed.us](mailto:michaeldavis@fs.fed.us) or Patty Grantham, Petersburg District Ranger, P.O. Box 1328, Petersburg, AK 99833, phone (907) 772-3871, e-mail [pagrantham@fs.fed.us](mailto:pagrantham@fs.fed.us). Toll-free conference calling is available for this meeting; please call or e-mail for specific information. For further information on RAC history, operations, and the application process, a Web site is available at <http://www.fs.fed.us/payments>. Once in the Web site, follow the links to the Wrangell-Petersburg Resource Advisory Committee.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This meeting will focus on the review and discussion of proposals received by the RAC for funding under Title II of the Payments to States legislation (Pub. L. 106-393), particularly proposals that were of high interest to the committee, but lacked enough information for the committee to act. New information may be introduced concerning these proposals. New proposals (initial reading) may be discussed at this meeting. The committee may make recommendations for project funding at this meeting. A field trip to review proposals proximate to the Wrangell, Alaska, area may take place. The meeting is open to the public. Public input opportunity will be provided and individuals will have the opportunity to address the committee at that time.

Dated: December 27, 2004.

**Dennis Neill,**

*Acting Forest Supervisor.*

[FR Doc. 05-113 Filed 1-4-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

(A-122-840)

#### Notice of Correction to Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Canada

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 22, 2004

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Daniel O'Brien or David Neubacher, at (202) 482-1376 or (202) 482-5823, respectively; AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

#### CORRECTION:

On November 24, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published its final results of the antidumping administrative review of the order of carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod (subject merchandise) from Canada for the period April 10, 2002, through September 30, 2003. *See Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Canada* 69 FR 68309 (November 24, 2004). Subsequent to the issuance of the final results, we identified an inadvertent error in the **Federal Register**.

In the "Assessment" section of the review notice, the Department indicated that it would "issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of these final results of review." The "within 15 days of publication" description is incorrect in the notice. Section 356.8 of the applicable regulations provides that the Department shall not order liquidation until the "forty-first day after the date of publication of the notice ..." following an administrative review of merchandise exported from Canada or Mexico. Accordingly, the notice should be corrected to indicate that the Department will send assessment instructions to CBP "on or after the 41st day after publication."

This correction is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: December 29, 2004.

**Barbara E. Tillman,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 05-194 Filed 1-4-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

A-122-822

#### Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Canada

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 5, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Sean Carey or Douglas Kirby, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-3964 and (202) 482-3782, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Background

The Department published the antidumping duty order on certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products (CORE) from Canada on August 19, 1993 (58 FR 44162). Based on timely requests, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act, on September 30, 2003, the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on CORE from Canada, covering the period August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Request for Revocation in Part and Deferral of Administrative Reviews*, 68 FR 56262 (September 30, 2003). This administrative review was initiated on the following exporters: Continuous Colour Coat, Ltd. ("CCC"), Dofasco Inc. ("Dofasco"), Ideal Roofing Company, Ltd. ("Ideal Roofing"), Impact Steel Canada, Ltd. ("Impact Steel"), Russel Metals Export ("Russel Metals"), Sorevco and Company, Ltd. ("Sorevco"), and Stelco Inc. ("Stelco"). On December 19, 2003, the Department published a rescission, in part, of its administrative review with respect to CCC, Impact Steel, and Ideal Roofing. *See Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Canada: Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty*

*Administrative Review*, 68 FR 70764 (December 19, 2003). On March 30, 2004, the Department published a rescission, in part, of its administrative review with respect to Russel Metals. *See Notice of Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Canada*, 69 FR 16521 (March 30, 2004).

On April 29, 2004, the Department extended the deadline for the preliminary results of this antidumping duty administrative review from May 2, 2004, until no later than August 30, 2004. *See Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Canada*, 69 FR 23495 (April 29, 2004). On August 30, 2004, the Department issued the preliminary results on CORE from Canada. *See Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Canada: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 69 FR 555138 (September 13, 2004) (*Preliminary Results*).

#### Extension of Time Limits for Final Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an antidumping duty order for which a review is requested and issue the final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

The Department recently received case briefs and rebuttal briefs from the interested parties involved in this administrative review. The Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete the review within the statutory time limit due to the need for analysis of certain complex issues, including the treatment of certain U.S. sales and considering whether the Department should accept certain "surface type" product characteristics reported by Dofasco for purposes of the Department's model match and cost reporting methodologies. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time limit for the final results from January 11, 2004, to no later than March 14, 2005, which is the next business day since 180 days from the date of

publication of the *Preliminary Results* occurs on a weekend. This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: December 28, 2004.

**Barbara E. Tillman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Operations.*

[FR Doc. 05-193 Filed 1-4-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-831]

#### Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 5, 2005.

**SUMMARY:** In November 2004, the Department of Commerce received three requests to conduct new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China. We have determined that these requests meet the statutory and regulatory requirements for the initiation of a new shipper review.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sochieta Moth or Brian Ledgerwood at (202) 482-0168 and (202) 482-3836, respectively, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Background

The notice announcing the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China (PRC) was published on November 16, 1994. On November 22, 2004, we received a request for a new shipper review from Zhangqui Quingyuan Vegetable Co., Ltd. (Quingyuan). On November 30, 2004, we received requests for new shipper reviews from Shanghai LJ International Trading Co., Ltd. (Shanghai LJ) and Huaiyang Huamei Foodstuff Co., Ltd. (Huamei).

Quingyuan and Huamei certified that they both grew and exported the subject merchandise on which they based their requests for a new shipper review. Shanghai LJ certified that it exported the subject merchandise on which it based its request for a new shipper review, but that it did not grow the subject